

Faculty Senate Evaluation and Assessment Committee

October 15, 2012

3:00 pm

Barge 304

Minutes

Present: Jeff Snedeker, Michael Pease, John Creech and John Hudelson

Absent: Lynn Richmond

Guest(s): None

Meeting was called to order at 3:10 p.m.

Approve October 1, 2012 minutes - Approved by acclamation.

Preparation for October 29 – Dr. Pellett and Tom Henderson will both be coming to the October 29th Evaluation and Assessment committee meeting. Jeff asked the committee what they specifically would like to know and Jeff will relay this information to Dr. Pellett and Tom. 1. Overall response rate? 2. How many faculty used the additional question feature? 3. Concerns about summary report size. 4. Any difference between faculty and administration summary pages? 5. Comparative data between old SEOIs and the new. 6. Statistical relevance (did a majority of students in a class answer?), did that include those with 5 or less in a section or were those prevented? 7. What other feedback have you received? 8. Concern with ad that was sent to students.

Survey – The committee talked about doing a survey or poll of faculty regarding online SEOIs. Committee agreed on using GoingOn to do a poll and starting a broader discussion. Poll questions: Should faculty be allowed to see who has completed their SEOI. Yes/No Did you use this information to encourage student to fill out SEOI? Yes/No

Academic Administrator evaluations- Committee reviewed the pool of questions. Members are asked to rank the questions and bring to next meeting or send to Janet prior to meeting so she can tally the data.

Student Teacher Online SEOI - Barry Donahue – Dr. Donahue gave a brief framework of why this request is being made. There are approximately 500-600 students who go through student teaching each year. The existing SEOI forms do not work well for student teaching. Field supervisors supervise somewhere between 12-14 students per quarter. Some only have 1 or 2 each quarter. Barry asked about combining quarters for those faculty who have fewer than 5 per quarter to help protect anonymity. Barry agreed to look at the form suggestions that had been submitted and see what questions were necessary. The committee agreed to begin looking at this concept and will speak with Dr. Pellett and Tom to see what is logistically possible.

Meeting was adjourned at 4:38 p.m.

Next meeting October 29