

Faculty Senate Curriculum Committee  
January 17, 2013  
3:10-5:00  
Minutes

Present: Jan Byers-Kirsch, Keith Salyer, Jeffrey Stinson, Liz Kerns, Jeff Dippmann, Suzanne Little, Kathy Temple, Ginny Blackson, Lori Braunstein, LeAnne Watrous and Rose Spodobalski-Brower

Absent: Gary Richardson

Guest(s): Toni Čuljak

Meeting was called to order at 3:09 p.m.

Approval of Agenda – It was agreed to table discussion of 9 and 10 on the agenda until the next meeting. Suzanne moved to approve agenda as amended. Ginny seconded. Agenda was approved as amended.

Approval of 1/3/13 Minutes - Jeff S moved to approve as amended. Kathy seconded. January 3, 2013 minutes were approved as amended.

Academic Planning Director updates – Lori sent a proposal to the Enterprise Team regarding the purchase of Currilog. The proposal has been neither been accepted or rejected at this time. Lori has been asked to talk with the University Academic Technology Committee and then come back through the Enterprise Team. There is some discussion of putting it out for bid and looking at other systems. Lori reported she has a meeting tomorrow with Scott. Currently curriculum going through the Graduate office has about a three month lag. Lori asked the committee what to do with curriculum that is in her office that needs attention from the department, but they have not responded. It was suggested that Lori send the curriculum back to the department with an explanation of what is needed for it to move forward.

College/Department curriculum committees – The committee discussed the pros and cons of department and college curriculum committees. The committee does not recommend requiring departments and colleges to develop curriculum committees. Jeff will report the committee's decision to the Executive Committee.

Approve Curriculum Summary Log – January 3rd log - Ginny moved and Suzanne seconded to approve with NUTR 592 as the only hold. Motion was approved.

Toni Čuljak – General Education proposal – Toni gave an overview of the General Education proposal. This proposal is being presented to fix some of the problems

with the current requirements and to make it more coherent and assessable. Currently there are no learner outcomes or criteria. The Curriculum committee did not feel comfortable moving this proposal forward without further review. The committee decided to not put it on this log and review it further at the February 7<sup>th</sup> meeting.

5-50-090 Procedures for Curriculum Change – Tabled to the next meeting

Catalog Narrative – Tabled to the next meeting

Learner outcomes for minors/certificates – Tabled to the next meeting

Syllabi for new courses – Tabled to the next meeting

Review Curriculum – Committee reviewed curriculum for the January 17<sup>th</sup> log. The TEACH major and two minors were given approval to be included on the log.

Meeting was adjourned at 4:46 p.m.

**Future Agenda Items:**

Number of lower division credits in a major  
Draft Pre-Professional narratives  
Teaching endorsement policy and/or procedures  
Hold petition deadline