

Minutes

Faculty Senate Academic Affairs Committee

January 27, 2022 3:00—5:00 p.m.

Zoom Meeting:

In attendance: Wendy Cook, Josh Welsh, Rose Spodobalksi-Brower, Bob Hickey, Mel Madlem , Ke Zhong, Andy Piacsek, Christina Barrigan, Hope Amason, Eric Foch

1. Call meeting to order

2. Approval of Jan 13 Meeting Minutes

3. Updates

- Josh won't be able to serve as AAC chair next year. If you are interested, let the committee know now and try to include it on your workload plan. Chairing AAC gets you two WLUs.

4. Old Business

- Charge 03 and 10. Warning, Probation, Suspension
Goal: Finalize Changes and Vote if Ready.
Bob moves to approve. Andy seconds. Motion passes.
- Charge 05 and 06: Academic Dishonesty and Plagiarism
Goal: Discuss new edits, look at appeals process, and vote if ready.

One question involved whether to try to prevent students caught cheating from completing an SEOI. We discussed whether to change this to may, which would give the faculty member some way to discuss SEOIs in the annual info.

Bob: The line gives the faculty member an out to ask review committees to ignore SEOIs that might be provided by a student found to have cheated.

Looking at the procedure. A hasn't changed since earlier discussions.

B. the process for dealing with the cheating is outlined differently from last year's draft.

B 4. Should we include the line that says faculty may consult chairs, pds, and oss to decide how to proceed? There is a pretty good consensus that including it will be helpful. This also tells chairs, pds and Oss that they do need to help with these if consulted.

In C, we tell the instructors what they shall do. We discussed the line that says instructors shall assign penalties, take no action, or have them do more work. One question was whether instructors could have them write a new paper if they didn't include that as an option in their syllabus. Ke is concerned about giving the instructor the option to take no action. Bob points out that the take no action is needed in case the instructor determines that the student didn't cheat.

We made a few changes, to make it clear that instructor has the discretion of whether to impose consequences as described in the syllabus, or impost no consequences.

Appeals. Bob and Andy drafted a separate appeals process for academic dishonesty.

WE decided the appeals process should essentially follow the course grade appeal process. Andy and Bob will work on that.

We ended the meeting.

6. New Business

- Charge 09. Honor Definitions and Honor Roll
- Charge 07. Disruptive Behavior

Goal: Review the policy that AAC passed last year. I'll invite reps from Student Success to discuss concerns at our next meeting.

7. Adjournment

Next Meeting:

Feb 10, 3:00 pm.