## Peer Review of the Syllabus, Form 1

Name:	Reviewer:	Date:	Course:	
AREA 1: Completeness goals, materials, exams) a			atements on <u>Information Speci</u> lle)	ic to the Course (e.g.,
Comments				
AREA 2: Currency of cor	ntent: Degree to which	syllabus reflects the cu	rrent state of scholarly or creat	ve practice in the discipline
Comments				
	e varied and spread out a		vities in the syllabus are aligned access on the assessments app	
Comments				

AREA 4: Clarity and tone of communication Degree of clarity shown in describing the course, objectives, requirements, & assignments using direct, easy-to-follow language; tone is positive, respectful, and inviting  Comments					
AREA 5: Roadmap for course agenda learning course content	Degree to which the syllabus provides a clear and rational roadmap or pathway for				
Comments					
	Additional Comments:				

References Chism, N. (2007). *Peer review of teaching: A sourcebook* (2<sup>nd</sup>. ed.). Bolton, MA: Anker Publishing O'Brien, J. G., Millis, B., Cohen. M., & Diamond, R. (2008). *The course syllabus: A learning-centered approach* (2<sup>nd</sup>. ed.). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Palmer, M., Bach, D., & Streifer, A. (2014). Measuring the promise: A learning focused syllabus rubric. *To Improve the Academy: A Journal of Educational Development*, 33(1), 14-36.