



Central Washington University

Faculty Senate Faculty Code

07/17/2026

Table of Contents

PREAMBLE	1
DEFINITIONS.....	1
ABBREVIATIONS	1
DISCLAIMER	2
PREFACE	3
HISTORY.....	3
SHARED GOVERNANCE	3
AUTHORITY	4
Faculty Code.....	5
SECTION I. FACULTY	5
A. Faculty-Defined.....	5
B. Other Faculty Appointments	5
SECTION II. FACULTY RIGHTS and RESPONSIBILITIES	7
SECTION III. DISTINGUISHED FACULTY AWARDS	10
SECTION IV. FACULTY SENATE.....	11
F. Internal Senate Procedures for the Protection of Faculty Rights and Responsibilities.....	16
G. External Senate Procedures for the Protection of Faculty Rights and Responsibilities....	18
Appendix A: Statement on Professional Ethics.....	23
Appendix B: Distinguished Faculty Awards.....	25
Section IV. Selection Committee.....	26
APPENDIX C: College Budget Committees.....	31
Section I. Definition.....	31
Section II. College Policies	31
Section III. Composition.....	31
Section IV. Committee Responsibilities	31
Section V. Committee Member Responsibilities	31

PREAMBLE

DEFINITIONS

College: In the Code, a college refers to any one of the Central Washington University's academic, faculty-led institutions headed by a dean or executive director. These are: College of Arts and Humanities (CAH); College of Business (CB); College of Education and Professional Studies (CEPS); College of the Sciences COTS); Central Washington University Libraries.

Consultations: Substantive discussion of mutual exchange between two or more parties. Consultation informs, receives feedback, and carefully considers feedback. Ideally, decisions will reflect consensus between the administrative leadership, appropriate bodies of the faculty, or other pertinent parties at Central Washington University (CWU).

Department: See [CWUP 5-60-030](#).

Interdisciplinary Programs: See [CWUP 5-90-070](#).

President: The President is the Chief Executive Officer of the University, and is appointed by the Board of Trustees (BOT), which delegates broad administrative authority to the President.

Office of the President: The staff within the Office of the President coordinate policy development, communications, special projects, budget, and other matters for the President's Division, as well as for CWU generally.

Secret Ballot: A ballot which lists all nominees and voters can indicate their choices without revealing how individual voters have voted (Robert's Rules 45:18).

Simple Majority: 50% of those casting votes plus one (1).

Unit: Any academic entity that falls under the category of program, school, library, department, or college.

ABBREVIATIONS

The following abbreviations shall be used in this document:

AAC&U: American Association of Colleges and Universities

AAUP: American Association of University Professors

AAC: Academic Affairs Committee

ADCO: Academic Department Chairs' Organization

ADI: Antiracism, Diversity, and Inclusivity

BOT: The Board of Trustees of CWU

BFCC: Bylaws and Faculty Code Committee

BPC: Budget and Planning Committee

Bylaws: The Bylaws of the CWU Faculty Senate

CAH: College of Arts and Humanities

CB: College of Business

CBA: The collective bargaining agreement between CWU and the United Faculty of Central, September 1, 2023 – August 31, 2027. The CBA can be found at <https://www.ufcentral.org/>.

CEPS: College of Education and Professional Studies

Code: The Faculty Code of the CWU Faculty Senate

COTS: College of the Sciences

CWU: Central Washington University

CWUP: Central Washington University Policy

CWUR: Central Washington University Procedure

EAC: Evaluation and Assessment Committee

EC: Executive Committee, The Executive Committee of the CWU Faculty Senate

FLR: Faculty Legislative Representative

FSCC: Faculty Senate Curriculum Committee

GEC: General Education Committee

NTT: Non-tenure Track Faculty

PBAC: President's Budget Advisory Committee

Provost: CWU's Provost and Executive Vice-President for Academic Affairs

Senate: The Faculty Senate of CWU

TT/T: Tenure track/Tenured faculty

UFC: United Faculty of Central

WLU: Workload unit

DISCLAIMER

The title of this document is the *Faculty Senate Faculty Code* (hereinafter referred to as the "Code"). The provisions of this document may not conflict with the actions of the Board of Trustees (BOT) or the Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA). The collective bargaining process addresses mandatory subjects of bargaining and other aspects of the terms and conditions of employment that the parties agree to bargain.

PREFACE

HISTORY

Central Washington University (CWU) faculty first created a “Faculty Code of Personnel and Policy” during the 1946-1947 academic year, which was subsequently approved by the faculty, President, and BOT. The Code approved an 11-member Faculty Council that in 1962 became the Faculty Senate (Senate). With the approval of a CBA in 2006, the BOT approved an Interim Faculty Code and charged a group with equal representation from the Senate and the administration to create a new Faculty Code reflecting the conditions of the post-Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA) environment. What follows is the result of that collaboration.

SHARED GOVERNANCE

Constituents: President, BOT, students, faculty, staff, alumni, and community members.

Shared governance is both an iterative planning process and a collaborative culture in which relevant constituents of CWU commit themselves to being partners in aligning their priorities to accomplish the mission of CWU. Shared governance functions through an organizational structure that fosters active collaboration, transparency, accountability, understanding, acceptance of compromise, mutual respect, and trust.

For effective shared governance, we, as a university, must strive to improve our commitment, culture, collaboration, accountability, and transparency.

Commitment in shared governance consists, not only of written statements of support for shared governance, but also the creation and maintenance of mechanisms to allow for the allocation of time and resources to effectively carry out shared governance.

Our informal, collective network of attitudes, behaviors, and assumptions comprise our culture. Improvements in culture come from a commitment from university constituents to jointly consider difficult issues and to jointly develop strategic directions. Faculty should be a critical part in discussions surrounding themes central to CWU’s mission. These themes include student outcomes, university revenue models, and campus capacity.

Meaningful participation by all relevant constituents during the formative stages of planning encompasses the ideal of collaboration in shared governance.

Shared governance is bolstered by consensus and clarity about who makes each type of decision on campus, as well as what role they have in the decision-making process. This clarity results in greater accountability.

Clear and honest communication by decision-makers to relevant constituents regarding the rationale for proposals and decisions aids transparency in shared governance.

Shared governance calls for a commitment on the part of faculty, the BOT and the administration to work together to strengthen and enhance CWU. Shared governance is based on the principle that the division of authority and decision-making responsibility between faculty

and administration should be based primarily on distinctive expertise and competence, and the legal responsibilities of each group as articulated in Washington State Law, the CBA and the Code. While the CBA strengthens that mission through evaluations of faculty, the Code and Senate help guarantee administrative quality through meaningful evaluations of CWU administration. Such evaluations include regular evaluation periods, publication of results (in the form of data) to pertinent stakeholders, and clear statements on the use of evaluations of administrators by the BOT and its administrative agents.

University and College committees – be they ad hoc or standing and regardless of their originating body – serve as the most vital centers of such collective decision-making and consultation. As such, the BOT, its administrative agents, faculty, staff, and students must all be allowed the opportunity to choose their own representatives for committees. Additionally, the administration and faculty must mutually commit to the time and supportive resources necessary for shared governance.

The Senate serves as the broadest representation of faculty at which the administration is present, and consultation with a quorum of the Senate functions as the most basic level of meaningful consultation between the Faculty and the Administration.

Shared governance acknowledges the interdependence among the BOT, its administrative agenda, faculty, staff, and students as well as the diverse expertise, talents, and wisdom that resides in each party. As such, shared governance requires that meaningful consultation rely on broad distribution of information to all stakeholders prior to making decisions. It also recognizes that unilateral actions as well as attempts to circumvent consultation damages the letter and spirit of shared governance. Commitment to this system will create a culture of mutual trust and respect, transparency, collaboration, and accountability.

AUTHORITY

Legal authority is lodged in the BOT and delegated, through the President, to the administration and the faculty. The CWU President discharges this responsibility through a system of academic colleges, departments and programs, non-academic divisions, and other units. The faculty discharges its responsibility through (a) a system of programs, departments and colleges designed to plan, develop, and implement programs and policies inherent to the unit; (b) the Senate; and (c) university, college, and department committees.

December 2006
BOT Approved 12/8/2006
Amended 5/4/20
Amended 6/1/22
Amended 5/31/23
Amended 5/1/24
Amended 7/17/26

Faculty Code

SECTION I. FACULTY

A. Faculty – Defined

1. The word “faculty” as used in the Faculty Code (Code) shall mean only the following individuals employed by CWU:
 - a. Those individuals who conduct scholarship; who teach, coach, or supervise students or who engage in similar academic endeavors in which students receive credit or academic benefit; and
 - i. who hold the academic rank of professor, associate professor, assistant professor, or emerit professor; or
 - ii. who hold the professional designation of clinical faculty, senior research associate, research associate, senior lecturer, lecturer, visiting professor or coach.
 - b. Those individuals who occupy an administrative post, and who hold one of the academic ranks or professional designations listed in 1.a. above, and who hold academic tenure.
 - c. Those individuals who serve as librarians or professional media specialists or as members of the counseling or testing service, and who hold one of the academic ranks or professional designations listed in 1.a above.
2. The word “faculty” as used in the Code shall not apply to any employees of CWU other than those listed in A.1 above. Thus employees such as civil service employees, civil service exempt employees without academic rank, or student employees are not entitled to the rights and privileges of the Code unless specific Code provisions make such allowances.

B. Other Faculty Appointments

The specific rights and responsibilities of faculty working in special roles shall be delineated in the agreement and/or contract with the appointing authority, subject to the terms of the CBA, e.g., interdisciplinary program director, academic program director within a department, or graduate program director.

1. Department Chairs
 - a. For appointment, election, and removal of department chairs, see CBA Article 12.2 and Article 12.3.
 - b. Any faculty complaints about the appointment, election, or removal of department chairs that are not explicitly addressed by the CBA should be directed to Senate. Complaints will be addressed following the process outlined in Code Section IV.G.1.d. Complaint Process.
2. Emerit Faculty Appointments

- a. Faculty who are retiring from CWU may be retired with the honorary title of “emerit” status ascribed to their highest attained rank or title.
 - i. Faculty with emerit status may refer to themselves as emerit, emeritus, emeriti, emeritum, or emerita.
 - ii. The emerit status is recommended for faculty members who have an excellent teaching, scholarly, and service record consistent with their appointments. A normal requirement for appointment to the emeritus faculty is ten (10) years of full-time service as a member of the teaching faculty.
 - iii. The emerit status is recommended for non-tenure track (NTT) faculty members who have an excellent teaching record. A normal requirement for eligibility to the emerit faculty is for the faculty member to teach at least thirty (30) quarters over a minimum of ten (10) years and have an accumulated total of at least 200 workload units (WLUs) as a member of the teaching faculty.
 - iv. Any eligible faculty member may be nominated or may self-nominate for emerit status to the department chair. Nominations shall include a current vita and may include letters of support.
 - v. A simple majority of the eligible faculty in a department as defined in I.B.1.a.iv must approve the recommendation of emerit status. Departments must adhere to the simple majority vote.
 - vi. The BOT may grant emerit status to any faculty member at their discretion.
- b. Process:
 - i. The department chair will send the nomination to the college dean with a copy to the nominee. The dean will arrange for a department vote of all eligible faculty.
 - ii. The college dean will then forward the nomination to the Provost with a recommendation of action and the results of the faculty vote. The Provost will then submit the nomination to the BOT with a recommendation of action and the results of the faculty vote and a copy of the recommendation by the dean.
- c. The emerit status ascribed to the faculty member’s highest rank or title provides for the listing of their name in the CWU catalog and CWU Faculty Directory website, use of the library and other university facilities, and participation in academic, social and other faculty and university functions. In addition, emerit faculty:
 - i. shall be issued staff cards and parking permits each year without charge, if budget permits;
 - ii. shall have the same library and computer services, including an email account, as regular faculty;
 - iii. shall receive university publications without charge;
 - iv. shall qualify for faculty rates at university events, if available;
 - v. may be assigned an office, if space permits;
 - vi. may have clerical support, if budget permits;
 - vii. may serve on any committee in ex officio, advisory, or consulting capacity according to expertise and experience.
- d. Emerit status is a privilege and is subject to state ethics laws and the Washington State Constitution.

- i. As per the Ethics in Public Service Act (RCW 42.52.160), state employees may not use state resources for personal benefit or their state positions to obtain special privileges.
 - ii. University-related activities that are not part of any part-time employment at CWU as described in the CBA are considered “volunteer hours.” These volunteer hours must be reported to the CWU payroll office by any emerit faculty member every quarter for insurance purposes and for Department of Labor and Industries reporting.
- e. The BOT may grant the status of emerit faculty posthumously to faculty members deceased during their term of service to CWU. See [CWUP 2-30-240](#) regarding benefits extended to a surviving spouse.

SECTION II. FACULTY RIGHTS and RESPONSIBILITIES

A. Faculty Rights

1. All faculty members have the right to:
 - a. Participate in faculty and university shared governance by means of a system of elected faculty representatives on committees and councils at the departmental, college, university, and Senate levels;
 - i. Among the rights valued by the Senate is the right of any faculty member to speak on issues pertaining to their responsibilities. The Senate provides a protected environment in which faculty may engage in speech and actions (including voting) without fear of reprisal or admonition by their supervisors or administration. Faculty members who feel their rights under the Code have been violated may file a complaint as outlined in Code Section IV.G.1.d.
 - b. Be treated fairly and equitably and have protection against illegal and unconstitutional discrimination by the institution.
 - c. Exercise academic freedom as set forth in the 1940 *Statement of Principles on Academic Freedom and Tenure* with 1970 *Interpretive Comments*, (American Association of University Professors (AAUP), & Association of American Colleges, now the Association of American Colleges and Universities (AAC&U)), and the CBA.
 - d. Access to their official files, in accordance with the CBA.
 - e. Access (according to appropriate work assignment) to accurate budgetary, enrollment, retention, and alumni data for reasons of recruitment, retention, fundraising, budgeting and unit governance.
2. College Budget Committees
 - a. Faculty have a right to participate in budget decisions at department, college, and university levels, through the Faculty Senate Budget and Planning Committee (BPC), representatives on university budget committees and sub-committees, and representatives on college or unit budget committees (see Appendix C).
 - b. The AAUP *Statement on Government of Colleges and Universities* (1966) refers to shared governance. It makes clear that the BOT, administration, and faculty should “have a voice in the determination of short- and long-range priorities, and each should receive appropriate analyses of past budgetary experience, reports on current budgets and expenditures, and short- and long-range budgetary

projections.” All participants in the budget process have the right to sufficient information to be able to carry out their responsibilities.

- c. All faculty involved in the budget process have the right to speak on issues pertaining to the faculty member’s responsibilities as a participant in that process. The protections in II.A.1(a) apply to faculty members involved in the budget process at all levels.

B. Faculty Responsibilities

1. Principal Areas of Collective Faculty Responsibility

Collectively, the faculty has principal responsibility for academic policies and academic standards for such fundamental areas as curriculum, subject matter and methods of instruction, research, faculty status (as defined in the CBA), and those aspects of student life which relate to the educational process. Principal responsibility means that faculty, through the Senate and its committees, make decisions in consultation with the Provost, deans, and other administrators, subject to the approval of the President and the BOT.

These areas include

- a. curriculum, including program revision, criteria for addition and deletion of courses, and standards for granting degrees;
- b. subject matter and methods of instruction, including education policies, assessment of student learning, and grading standards;
- c. governance of the General Education Program at CWU;
- d. scholarship, including research and creative activity, freedom of scholarly inquiry and standards for evaluation of faculty scholarship;
- e. implementation of CBA processes, including development of substantive content regarding faculty status, including faculty ethics, peer review in hiring, tenure, promotion, post-tenure review, and merit;
- f. those aspects of student life that relate to the academic experience, including student academic ethics and academic co-curricular policies;
- g. criteria for admissions to undergraduate majors;
- h. criteria for admissions to graduate programs and selection of graduate students;
- i. participation in accreditation and assessment.

2. Areas of Individual Faculty Responsibility

In addition to the collective responsibilities listed above, each faculty member has the responsibility to:

- a. fulfill assigned teaching duties, student advising, and other instructional activities benefiting students’ academic development;
- b. follow policies and guidelines derived from those policies by CWU, college, and department;
- c. perform professional activity for continual updating of course content to reflect current development in the faculty member’s academic field;
- d. uphold standards of professional ethics outlined in the AAUP Statement on Professional Ethics (see Appendix A of this Code) and the CBA;
- e. participate, where appropriate in the operation and governance of the department, college, and university to:
 - i. assist in the planning, delivery, assessment, improvement, and development of the academic curriculum in the disciplines housed in the department;
 - ii. participate in accreditation and program reviews;

- iii. assist in student recruitment and retention;
- iv. participate in the academic appeals procedure in accordance with guidelines established in Academic Affairs policy;
- v. participate in the recruitment and selection of faculty, staff and administrators;
- vi. participate with administrators in matters of faculty status such as reappointment, tenure, and promotion, per the terms of the CBA;
- vii. participate in the assessment and evaluation of students, faculty, staff and academic administrators;
- viii. participate in university and Senate committees;
- ix. work collaboratively and productively with colleagues.

C. Areas Meriting Significant Faculty Consultation

Because all aspects of CWU are interconnected, consultation with faculty is essential in areas that significantly affect the academic character and quality of CWU. Consultation occurs through substantive discussions between administrators and appropriate faculty bodies as specified in this document and as required by the collective bargaining process.

The more directly decisions affect the academic character and quality of CWU, the more extensive and consultation with faculty should be. Ideally, decisions will reflect consensus between the administrative leadership and the appropriate bodies of the faculty.

Areas for faculty consultation include, but are not limited to:

- 1. university and college mission;
- 2. undergraduate and graduate admissions, enrollment management, and scholarships;
- 3. budget;
- 4. hiring and evaluation of academic administrators;
- 5. recommendation of candidates for honorary degrees;
- 6. academic facilities, including instructional technologies;
- 7. aspects of student life that affect academic climate and quality;
- 8. policies related to academic calendars;
- 9. creation, reorganization, or renaming of academic units.

D. Procedures for Faculty Consultation

- 1. When consultation with faculty is sought, the initiator (e.g., an administrator or representative of a decision-making unit) will submit a request to the Senate Executive Committee (EC). Depending on the scope, the request may be submitted in the form of electronic or paper communication. The initiator's request should include:
 - a. a succinct, written summary of the matter;
 - b. preliminary identification of faculty bodies that might be impacted or for whom this might be relevant;
 - c. an assessment of potential positive AND negative impacts on colleges, departments, faculty, or other entities as relevant.
- 2. The EC will:
 - a. Verify the list of faculty bodies that might be impacted.
 - b. Propose a procedure for faculty consultation and input, usually consisting of the following mechanisms:

- i. “Committee Review”: Send the proposal to a Senate committee or task force for review. Senate committees are responsible for representing faculty and may also, as part of their deliberations, need to solicit broader faculty input, as outlined below.
 - ii. Solicit representative faculty input using one or more of the following procedures:
 - a) “Faculty Input”: Solicit input via the system of senator representatives. This may include an oral presentation of the issue in Senate that includes a written communication via the Senate to faculty senators. This communication will include open-ended questions that solicit a range of concerns or ideas that might pertain to the issue. The communication should provide an end date for feedback (no less than 2 weeks). The EC will help compile the ideas in preparation for the next step(s).
 - b) “Faculty Survey”: Administer a survey to the faculty via the Senate office. If the initiators do not have expertise in survey design, they must consult with those with such expertise to ensure a valid survey (e.g., is not leading or pre-determined).
 - c) “Faculty Vote”: Give faculty the opportunity to participate in a confidential vote (online or in paper) over a specified time period (no less than 2 weeks). The faculty vote can precede or follow solicitation of broader faculty input.
- c. Gather data to gain an understanding of the issues pertaining to the topic or initiative in one or both of the following ways:
 - i. “Focus group”: Invite a representative sample of potentially impacted parties to a focus group. If the initiators do not have expertise in focus group design or facilitation, they must secure help from those with such expertise.
 - ii. “Faculty forum”: Invite all faculty to a forum to convey information and solicit feedback.
- 4. In most cases, no one mechanism, alone, can be considered an adequate opportunity for input. Also, the following in isolation do not constitute valid “consultation with faculty”: consultation only with the EC, Senate Chair, or other individual members of a Senate committee; or representation by one or several faculty on a committee. Moreover, consultation with faculty through Senate does not preclude consultation with other units, with which consultation may be required or advised (e.g. United Faculty of Central (UFC) or the Academic Department Chairs’ Organization (ADCO)).
- 5. After consultation the initiator will submit documentation of the process to the EC, including how the input was incorporated in the decision-making.

SECTION III. DISTINGUISHED FACULTY AWARDS

The Distinguished Faculty Awards are the highest awards attainable at CWU and must represent the highest level of performance. The awards are overseen by the Senate (Appendix B is incorporated by reference). There are no honorable mention awards.

A. Annual Distinguished Faculty Awards

The Senate confers four unique awards annually to recognize outstanding distinguished faculty in the following areas:

1. Distinguished Teaching Award (there are two awards, one for tenure-track/tenured (TT/T) and one for non-tenure-track faculty (NTT)).

Teaching excellence shall be defined as:

- a. a demonstrated breadth and depth of knowledge;
- b. clarity in methodology and organization of materials, and effective methods of presentation;
- c. continued scholarship and integration of scholarship into course work;
- d. assistance to students in understanding the value and relevance of the subject matter and course materials, both within the discipline and in a broader context.

2. Distinguished Service Award

Service shall be defined as endeavors contributing to the welfare of individuals, professional organizations, university groups, the community at large, or CWU.

3. Distinguished Faculty of Scholarship/Artistic Accomplishment Award

- a. Scholarship shall be defined as scholarly or scientific investigation or inquiry, conducted to advance the state of knowledge of the discipline.
- b. Artistic accomplishment shall be defined as the composition, creation, production or other significant and/or innovative contribution to an artistic event. Artistic accomplishment may include, but is not limited to, innovation in music, drama, film, art, dance, poetry or fiction that is a significant contribution to our understanding of the range of human experience and capabilities.

B. Board of Trustees Distinguished Faculty Award

The Board of Trustees Distinguished Faculty Award is bestowed on a faculty member who has demonstrated a long-term combined record of excellence in teaching, scholarship or artistic activities, and service (as defined in Sections III.A.1, III.A.2, and III.A.3) at CWU. The Board of Trustees awards one recipient every other year.

SECTION IV. FACULTY SENATE

There shall be a Senate, which is a representative body of CWU's faculty as defined in the CBA. The Senate is the primary instrument for shared governance and consultation at CWU. The Senate shall have the responsibility of acting for and on behalf of the faculty in matters that are not mandatory subjects of collective bargaining or that are not in conflict with state and federal law. The Senate shall conduct business, craft bylaws, and adopt motions under *Robert's Rules of Order*.

A. Powers

The Senate shall have the following powers and duties to:

1. submit recommendations to the BOT through the President;
2. review and approve changes regarding educational policy, curricula, academic programs, and academic regulations and standards;
3. adopt bylaws pertaining to the internal mechanisms of this Senate;
4. initiate action recommending studies and changes relating to educational policy, curricula, academic programs, and academic regulations and standards;

5. make recommendations on matters relating to faculty welfare or morale, student affairs, business and budgetary affairs, and other matters of professional interest to faculty;
6. facilitate communication among and between the faculty and administration.

B. Membership

1. The Senate shall include:
 - a. Voting members
The following voting members are selected from faculty who hold no concurrent exempt appointment.
 - i. One senator and an alternate elected by and from TT/T faculty from each academic department and the library.
 - ii. Additional senators, elected as directed in paragraph I above, allocated to departments as specified in the Senate Bylaws (Bylaws).
 - iii. CAH, CEPS, and COTS will each have one (1) NTT senator and one (1) NTT alternate, elected by and from the NTT faculty in those colleges. Additionally, one (1) NTT senator-at-large and one (1) NTT alternate will be elected by and from NTT faculty who are not in CAH, CEPS, or COTS. NTT senators and alternates will be elected during the spring quarter for the following year by those NTT faculty under contract in the preceding winter quarter. Eligible NTT faculty will have a minimum of twelve (12) WLUs for the year they serve. The senators and alternates shall serve for one academic year contingent on continued employment as NTT faculty at CWU. The EC shall oversee the election.
 - a. If the elected senator's workload drops below the 12 minimum WLUs, the alternate will serve as senator. If the alternate's workload drops below 12 WLUs or they are unable to serve, the unit will hold an election as soon as is practical.
 - b. NTT faculty who work in two or more units are eligible to vote and be nominated for senator or alternate in the unit in which they work the most WLUs during the winter quarter of the election year. If faculty work an equal number of WLUs in two or more units, they may vote and be nominated for only one senate position.
 - b. Nonvoting members
There shall also be the following ex officio, nonvoting members:
 - i. the President;
 - ii. the Provost;
 - iii. three student representatives selected by the Associated Students of CWU (ASCWU) – Board of Directors.
2. Terms of service for voting senators:
 - a. Term appointments for TT/T senators and alternates shall run three (3) academic years. No TT/T senator shall serve more than three (3) consecutive terms. A partial term of two (2) academic years or more shall be treated as a full term, while a partial term of less than two (2) academic years shall not be counted.
 - b. Term appointments for NTT senators and alternates shall run one (1) academic year. No NTT senator shall serve more than three (3) consecutive terms. A partial term of two (2) or more academic quarters shall be treated as a full term, while a partial term of less than two (2) quarters shall not be counted.
 - c. All terms begin June 16th.
3. Provisions for replacements are contained in the Bylaws.

C. Officers of the Senate

1. The faculty shall elect members of the EC, with such powers and duties as set forth in this document and transmitted by the Senate. The EC values a broad range of views and diverse knowledge of CWU. To this end, membership from some colleges or the library may be limited to avoid over-representation.
2. Chair-Elect
 - a. The Senate shall elect the chair-elect of the EC, with such powers and duties as set forth in this document and transmitted by the Senate. The chair-elect shall serve as a member of the Bylaws and Faculty Code Committee (BFCC) and liaison to all non-senate committees.
 - b. The chair-elect performs such duties and provides such advice that may be requested, such as: attend meetings as a resource at the request of the chair, support the ongoing Senate work and support the chair as needed.
3. Chair
 - a. The chair shall be the presiding officer at all meetings of the Senate, at any faculty forum, and at general faculty meetings upon request of the President of CWU.
 - b. The chair shall serve as official representative and spokesperson of the faculty and the Senate in communication with the faculty, the BOT, the administration, the student body, and other groups regarding matters that are not mandatory subjects of bargaining.
 - i. In this capacity, the chair or the chair's designee shall have the right to ex officio voting membership on any university committees and councils on which the EC deems that faculty ought to be represented.
4. Past Chair
 - a. The past-chair shall serve on the BPC and serve as liaison to the Faculty Legislative Representative (FLR).
 - b. The past-chair shall participate in the leadership transition of the Senate, and serve as a resource as needed to fulfill Senate business. Additionally, the past-chair will serve as timekeeper during Senate meetings.

D. Committees

1. Standing Committees

The Senate shall maintain seven standing committees. They are the General Education Committee (GEC), the Academic Affairs Committee (AAC), the Curriculum Committee (FSCC), the Bylaws and Faculty Code Committee (BFCC), the Evaluation and Assessment Committee (EAC), the Budget and Planning Committee (BPC), and the Antiracism, Diversity, and Inclusivity Committee (ADI).

- a. The GEC shall be concerned with the study, development, and improvement of the General Education Program. The committee shall review and recommend courses, programs and policies of general education in close cooperation with appropriate academic administrators. It shall perform other duties as may be requested or approved by the EC.
- b. The AAC shall be concerned with the study and improvement of academic standards, academic policies and regulations, and academic organizational structures. The committee shall review and recommend changes to academic policy ([section 5-90](#) of the CWU policies Manual, General Academic Policies). It shall perform other duties as may be requested or approved by the EC.

- c. The FSCC shall be concerned with the study, development, and improvement of the curriculum, educational programs, and academic policy at CWU. It shall cooperate with other individuals, groups, or committees at the university in carrying out its duties. The committee shall review and recommend changes to academic policy ([section 5-50](#) of the CWU Policies Manual, Curriculum Policies and Procedure). It shall perform other duties as may be requested or approved by the EC.
 - d. The BFCC shall be concerned with the continuing study and improvement of the Bylaws and the Code. It shall receive, review, initiate, and make recommendations or proposals for amendments to both documents to the Senate via the EC, coordinating its efforts with other individuals, groups or committees as necessary or appropriate. It shall prepare drafts of such amendments and present such drafts to the Senate together with the rationale for such amendments, and do such other similar things as charged by the EC.
 - e. The EAC shall be concerned with assessment tools affecting faculty or requiring faculty input. It shall receive, review, initiate, and make recommendations or proposals for assessment tools used for the biennial faculty assessment of academic administrators on a rotating basis (even years: President, Vice Provost, Library Dean, and Dean of Graduate Studies; odd years: Provost, College Deans, Dean of Undergraduate Studies) and the annual Senate and EC assessments, and do such other similar things as charged by the EC, coordinating its efforts with other individuals, groups or committees as necessary or appropriate.
 - f. The BPC shall be concerned with the overall university budget, the implementation of and changes to the budgeting model, and the impact of CWU budget on academics. The committee will facilitate a two-way flow of information between faculty at the department level and the President's Budget Advisory Committee (PBAC). It shall make budgetary recommendations on behalf of faculty and as representatives of the faculty to the PBAC. Whenever possible, especially on matters of great importance, the BPC's recommendation must be voted upon by the Senate. Any senator may make a motion to reject or amend a proposed recommendation by the committee. The BPC shall perform other duties as assigned by the EC.
 - g. The ADI committee shall be concerned with the study, development, and improvement of the ADI graduation requirement. The committee shall review and recommend courses and policies for the ADI graduation requirement in close cooperation with appropriate academic administrators and other Senate committees. It shall perform other duties as may be requested by the EC.
2. **Creation of Committees**
 The Senate shall have the right to authorize the creation of additional standing committees that are necessary to accomplish the work of the Senate.
- a. The EC may initiate and, with the approval of the Senate, authorize the creation of standing committees. Alternatively, any senator with a written petition signed by a total of ten (10) senators may recommend to the EC the creation of a standing committee. No later than forty-five days after receipt of the petition, the EC shall submit the proposal to the Senate for its consideration.
 - b. The chairperson of any standing committee shall have the authority, upon approval of the voting members of the standing committee and of the EC, to create subcommittees.
 - c. The EC shall have exclusive authority to create ad hoc committees.
3. **Authorization of Committees**

The authorizing resolution or motion establishing any standing committee shall include, but is not limited to, language to establish the scope of the committee's charge, the length of time for which the committee will be in service, the number of members on the committee, and the length of term for which members will serve.

- a. The EC with the approval of the Senate, may, at any time, amend the authorizing language of a standing committee.
 - b. The maximum length of time a standing committee shall be authorized by the Senate is four years, excepting those committees identified in D.1 above. The Senate may reauthorize a standing committee at the end of its term.
 - c. There shall be no limit to the number of times the Senate may reauthorize a standing committee.
4. The EC shall have the right to appoint the members of all Senate standing, sub, and ad hoc committees with Senate approval.
 5. Terms of service for committee chairs shall be limited to six (6) consecutive years. A partial year shall be treated as a full year.
 6. All changes suggested by any committee must be approved by the Senate before being adopted.
 7. The EC shall nominate a faculty legislative representative to the President. Upon approval by the President, this nominee shall then be confirmed by the full Senate.
 8. The EC shall forward nominations for faculty positions on university standing committees to the Offices of the President and Provost. The Provost or President shall make the final selections and appointments.
 - a. A committee member shall report on at least a quarterly basis to the chair-elect using the form provided by the EC. If there are issues that affect the general faculty, additional contact is required (as outlined in section II.B.2).
 - b. The chair-elect will serve as liaison and report to the Senate.

E. Assigned Time and WLU for Senate Offices and Activities

1. WLU associated with Senate offices and activities are based on thirty (30) hours of time spent in meetings and in preparation for meetings - one (1) WLU. It is acknowledged that units assigned reflect an annual average that faculty may reasonably expect over a three-year term.
2. Faculty Senate Chair
 - a. The Faculty Senate Chair shall be relieved of thirty-six (36) WLU of teaching for the academic year to perform their duties. The department in which the chair teaches shall receive compensatory funds from the Senate.
 - b. The chair assumes certain duties and responsibilities in the summer, for which 8-14 WLU (based on need and budget considerations) are negotiated with the President.
3. Faculty Senate Chair-Elect
 - a. The Faculty Senate chair-elect shall be relieved of eighteen (18) WLU of teaching for the academic year to perform their duties. The department in which the chair-elect teaches shall receive compensatory funds from the Senate.

- b. The chair-elect assumes certain duties and responsibilities in the summer, for which the Faculty Senate Chair assigns 1 WLU.
- 4. Faculty Senate Past Chair
 - a. The Senate past chair shall be relieved of eighteen (18) WLU of teaching for the academic year to perform their duties. The department in which the past chair teaches shall receive compensatory funds from the Senate.
 - b. The past chair assumes certain duties and responsibilities in the summer, for which 4-7 WLU (based on need and budget considerations) will be negotiated with the President.
- 5. EC Member
 - a. EC members who are not the chair, chair-elect or past chair shall receive six (6) service WLU, three (3) of which shall be reimbursed by the Senate.
 - b. Members of the EC assumes certain duties and responsibilities in the summer, for which the Faculty Senate Chair assigns 1 WLU.
- 6. FLR
 - a. The FLR shall receive release time from teaching as well as a travel allowance, negotiated each year with the President.
 - b. In the event that the FLR is also elected chair of the Washington Council of Faculty (FLRs of Washington universities), more release time, a higher travel allowance, and a summer stipend shall also be negotiated.
 - c. Past allocations for these items shall be available from the Senate Office.
- 7. Senator
 - a. WLUs for senators from academic departments, and the library are estimated at one (1) per academic year.
 - b. WLUs for NTT senators (Code Section IV.B.1.a.iv) shall be allocated each year in consultation with the Provost. Information on past allocations for these positions shall be available from the Senate office.
- 8. Senate Committee Chair

WLUs for the position of chair of a Senate committee are estimated at two to four (2-4) per academic year. When elected committee chairs configure their workload plans, they should contact the Faculty Senate Office to determine a specific estimate for the upcoming year.
- 9. Senate Committee Member (Non-Chair)

WLUs for the positions of non-chair members of Senate committees are estimated at one to two (1-2) per academic year. When ratified committee members configure their workload plans, they should contact the Faculty Senate Office to determine a specific estimate for the upcoming year.

F. Internal Senate Procedures for the Protection of Faculty Rights and Responsibilities

- 1. Interpretation (Bylaws VII.A)

A request for formal interpretation of the Code must be submitted by a petitioner or petitioners to the BFCC. That committee shall review the request and make a written

recommendation to the Senate, which shall take action on the recommendation. If the recommendation is forwarded to the BOT, the BOT shall take action on the recommendation within sixty (60) days of its receipt from the Senate.

2. Senate Forum (Bylaws VII.B)

The Senate forum is an open meeting, called by the Senate chair and/or EC, to which all members of the faculty shall be invited. Its usual purpose is for the Senate to convey information to the faculty and to solicit their feedback. All faculty are strongly encouraged to attend such a forum should a referendum be called.

3. Referendum (Bylaws VII.C)

The Senate may decide to refer any question or issue before it to the faculty-at-large for vote. All faculty are strongly encouraged to vote should it be called. Eligible faculty include TT/T faculty, full-time NTT faculty, and Senior Lecturers.

4. Senate Hearing (Bylaws VII.D)

The purpose of a Senate hearing is to allow faculty who are non-senators to bring matters of concern to the Senate. This could include presenting at Senate, requesting Senate action, or proposing policy changes on any matter within Senate jurisdiction. A Senate hearing is not appropriate for filing a complaint; procedures for filing a complaint should follow Code Section IV.G.1. Petitions for Senate hearings must be sent to the Senate Chair as a petition signed by at least ten (10) eligible faculty (TT/T, full-time NTT, and/or senior lecturers). Faculty may file the petition themselves or select a representative to do so on their behalf. Faculty who are not Senators do not have the power to advance motions or to compel the Senate to act on any matter raised. If requested, the Senate Chair will attempt to keep petitioners anonymous, though anonymity cannot be guaranteed.

5. Review by Faculty (Bylaws VII.E.)

All actions (motions passed) by the Senate shall be subject to review by the faculty if a written petition for review has been signed by at least ten (10) percent faculty-at-large (as defined in Section I.A.1.a.) and submitted to the Senate Chair. The petition must be filed no later than fourteen (14) days after the approval of the minutes of the Senate meeting during which the action to be reviewed was taken. If the Senate refused to change its position, a vote of the entire faculty on the Senate action under review shall be conducted by the EC. This vote shall determine whether or not the Senate action is reversed.

6. Amendment Process

- a. Amendments to the Code may be proposed only by members of the Senate.
- b. Copies of all amendments shall normally be sent to all members of the Senate, and must be formally read and incorporated in the minutes of two consecutive Senate meetings. But for an exception, see paragraph e. below.
- c. An amendment may be voted on during the meeting following the meeting in which the proposal was read for a second time. Approval of an amendment requires a two-thirds majority of those present and voting.
- d. Upon final approval of an amendment to the Code, the motion number and date shall be noted in the revised language.
- e. Purely clerical amendments (i.e., to spelling, grammar, structure, or organization) that do not affect content can be an exception to paragraphs b-d above. If the BFCC

votes unanimously that an amendment is purely clerical; and if the EC votes unanimously in agreement; then, and only then, the amendment may be presented to the BOT for approval without being read and voted on by the Senate. If any member of either the BFCC or the EC does not agree that the amendment is purely clerical, the amendment process must proceed as specified in paragraphs b-d above.

- f. All amendments are subject to final approval by the BOT.

G. External Senate Procedures for the Protection of Faculty Rights and Responsibilities

1. Complaint Policy and Procedures

a. Obligations

CWU recognizes the right of faculty to express differences of opinion and to see fair and timely resolutions of complaints. It is the policy of CWU that such complaints shall first be attempted to be settled informally and that all persons have the obligation to participate in good faith in the informal complaint process before resorting to form procedures. CWU encourages open communication and resolution of such matters through the informal processes described herein. CWU will not tolerate reprisals, retribution, harassment or discrimination against any person because of participation in this process. This section establishes an internal process to provide university faculty a prompt and efficient review and resolution of complaints.

CWU administrators shall be attentive to and counsel with faculty concerning disputes arising in areas over which the administrators have supervisory or other responsibilities, and shall to the best of their ability contribute to timely resolution of any dispute brought to them.

b. Definitions

- i. **Complainant(s):** An individual or group representative making the complaint.
- ii. **Respondent(s):** An individual or entity against whom the complaint is being made. A respondent could be an academic department, a member of the faculty, staff, an administrative unit, or a member of the administration.
- iii. **Complaint:** An allegation made by the complainant(s) that the respondent(s) has violated the Code or policies under the Senate purview.

c. Scope

- i. **Jurisdiction:** The purpose of the complaint policy and procedure is to provide a means by which (a) complainant(s) may pursue a complaint against a respondent(s) for alleged violations of the Code and policies that fall under the Senate purview. A complainant may file a complaint that asserts a violation of the following Code, policies and/or standards:
 - a) Code
 - b) Bylaws
 - c) Curriculum Policy and Procedures ([CWUP 5-50](#) and [CWUR 2-50](#))
 - d) Academic Policies, Standards and Organizational Structures ([CWUP 5-90](#) and [CWUR 2-90](#))
 - e) Evaluation and Assessment
 - f) General Education ([CWUP 5-100](#))
 - g) Budget and Planning
 - h) Professional Ethics (Faculty Code Appendix A)

- i) Scholarly Misconduct
 - 1. Complaints alleging fabrication falsification or plagiarism in research/scholarship are subject to [CWUP 2-40-250](#). Both the Senate and CWUP processes will be conducted in parallel.
- ii. **Exclusions:** Should the Senate receive a complaint involving the following exclusions, the complaint will be returned to the complainant(s).
 - a) Civil rights complaints properly addressed under the process provided in [CWUP 2-35](#).
 - b) Matters subject to the grievance process as outlined in the CBA, including allegations of violations of the terms of the CBA.
 - c) Matters subject to the complaint process as outlined in the CBA including substantive academic judgments in matters of workload, reappointment, promotion, tenure, and post-tenure review.
- d. Complaint Process
 - i. Prior to submitting a formal complaint to the Senate, complainant(s) are strongly encouraged to make a good faith effort to discuss the complaint with the dean or member of CWU administration having direct responsibility for the area of concern. It is acknowledged that the nature of some complaints precludes such a step. If no mutually acceptable resolution of the complaint can be reached, complainant(s) may file a formal written complaint with the Senate for review.
 - ii. A complainant(s) filing a complaint should first consult Section IV.G.1 Complaint Policy and Procedures, and meet with the Senate Chair. The Chairperson will advise the complainant(s) about the Senate's jurisdiction and the complaint process.
 - iii. To initiate a formal complaint, complainant(s) must complete, sign, and submit the Complaint Form located on the Senate website, which includes the following mandatory elements.
 - a) Concise statement identifying the complainant(s) with contact information.
 - b) Concise statement identifying the respondent(s) with contact information.
 - c) Basis for seeking a review by the Senate.
 - d) Each and every specific section of the Code, policies, and/or standards that was allegedly violated.
 - e) Supporting documentation pertinent or referred to in the complaint to substantiate the alleged code, policies, and/or standards violations.
 - f) Summary of the complaint with a description of the issue giving rise to the complaint.
 - g) Concise statement on how the alleged conduct of the respondent(s) violated the Code, policies, and/or standards.
 - h) Concise statement of the negative effect that the alleged violation has had on complainant(s).
 - i) Reasonable outcomes that would resolve this situation.
 - j) Summary of efforts to resolve this complaint.
 - iv. The complainant(s) shall submit the completed Complaint Form and supporting documents in both electronic and hard copy forms to the Senate Office addressed to the EC.

- v. Complaints are not confidential. Elements of this complaint may be released as needed at the discretion of the EC.
- vi. The complaint will be delivered to all members of the EC at the next scheduled EC meeting. Due to potential conflicts of interest, EC members will be required to recuse themselves when issues or grievances are brought forward from their respective academic departments, or, in the case of the library senator, the library.
- vii. The EC has the primary responsibility to ensure and to arrange an appropriate review by applicable committees. The EC will conduct an initial review of the complaint within 10 business days during the academic year to determine:
 - a) Whether the complaint falls within the Senate's purview. If not, the EC will return the complaint to the complainant(s) with recommendations as to the appropriate avenue for resolution to the complaint.
 - b) Whether the complaint package is complete. If incomplete, the EC may request the complainant(s) to revise and resubmit the complaint.
- viii. Depending on the basis for complaint, the EC will charge the appropriate Senate standing committee(s) or at its discretion may decide to form an *ad hoc committee* to review the complaint. The assigned committee shall write an opinion specifically addressing the alleged policy and code violations. The committee(s) will be given specific parameters to work with and shall be required to consider all application of the code and policies.
- ix. The EC will determine the membership of the *ad hoc committee*, and will not include members who may have a real or perceived conflict of interest. The *ad hoc committee* shall consist of at least three tenured faculty members. The EC may invite other representatives depending on the nature of the complaint.
- x. The committee(s) charged with the complaint review shall receive a copy of the complaint and start their review at the next regularly scheduled meeting. The committee shall have the right to call and question complainant(s) and respondent(s). The respondent(s) will be given an opportunity to present their written response to the complaint along with evidence. The committee(s) shall make every effort to complete its review, make a determination, and report its findings and recommendations, in writing, to the EC for its consideration and action, within 20 business days. This period may be extended at the discretion of the EC. As a result of their review, the committee(s) shall determine one of the following findings:
 - a) No violation
 - b) Clear violation
 - c) Possible violation
- xi. The committee's report based on the assigned charges should be specific, and shall include the substantiating basis for each finding and the evidence supporting their recommendation.

- xii. The EC will review the committee's opinions along with its findings and recommendations. The EC will prepare a summary statement. If evidence was found there were violations of Code and policies, the EC will determine the consequences, which could be in the form of:
 - a) A Motion of Censure
 - b) A Motion of Resolution
 - c) A Motion to officially enter the action in the Senate records
- xiii. The EC shall forward the final summary and actions to the member of the university administration having direct responsibility for the area of complaint, along with the Provost, President, and other parties as relevant.

2. Vote of No Confidence

Academic administrators may be subject to a Vote of No Confidence. Votes of No Confidence require two primary steps. The first step (Section a-c) is an *authorization vote* by the Senate to determine the specific parameters of the Vote of No Confidence (who, what, where, when and why) as well as to charge the EC with the authority to conduct the Vote of No Confidence. The second step (Section d) is the *implementation* of the Vote of No Confidence by the EC.

a. Motions to Authorize a Vote of No Confidence

- i. There are two avenues that can be used to initiate the authorization of a Vote of No Confidence.
 - a) Any Senator, when accompanied by a written second to the motion from another Senator, may bring a motion to hold a Vote of No Confidence.
 - b) Any ten (10) eligible faculty members (Section I.A. Faculty Defined) may bring a petition to hold a Vote of No Confidence.
- ii. Authorization motions must be submitted to the Senate Chair at least ten (10) working days before the next regular meeting of the Senate when the motion is intended to be introduced. This motion charges the EC to conduct a Vote of No Confidence. The motion must include:
 - a) name and title of administrator;
 - b) instructions guiding how the vote is to be conducted (i.e. time/day, voting period, who may vote);
 - c) the specific ballot language; and
 - d) reason and justification for the Vote of No Confidence.
- iii. Methods of conflict resolution reasonably available (e.g. informal talks, mediation, etc.) should have been exhausted before bringing a motion for a Vote of No Confidence to the Senate.

b. Committee Review of the Motion for a Vote of No Confidence

- i. The EC – or an *ad hoc committee* appointed by the EC – will be charged with reviewing the motion for any procedural or factual content before it goes to the floor of the Senate. The reviewing committee may consult with individuals or groups (e.g. originator(s) or subject of the vote) as needed while assessing any claims made in support of the motion.

- ii. If the EC or *ad hoc committee* determines that additional time is needed to review any claims or procedural issues, the committee may request an additional delay until the following meeting. However, the motion must be brought to the floor of the Senate at the following meeting (within 2 regularly scheduled Senate meetings since being submitted to the Senate Chair) unless withdrawn by the originator(s).
 - iii. The EC or *ad hoc committee* may, at its discretion, issue a report to the Senate with any factual or procedural findings for their review of the motion.
 - iv. The Senate Chair will notify the individual subject to the Vote of No Confidence motion at least five (5) working days after receipt of the motion and invite that person to the Senate meetings where the motion will be introduced.
- c. Floor Vote on Motion for a Vote of No Confidence
 - i. Upon review by the EC or *ad hoc committee*, the authorization motion for the Vote of No Confidence will be introduced at the next Senate meeting. The text of the motion, as well as any reports or additional commentary by reviewing committees will be distributed to Senate.
- d. Conducting the Vote of No Confidence
 - i. Upon being passed by a simple majority vote in the Senate, the EC will carry out the Vote of No Confidence as outlined in the motion. Votes will be conducted by a confidential paper ballot. The EC will decide any details regarding the implementation of the vote that were not addressed in the motion.
 - ii. The EC will notify the subject of the vote, in writing, of the results at least (1) day prior to the results being made public. Results of the vote will be made public in the Faculty Senate office and will also be sent to the original petitioner(s) (Section IV.G.2.a), Senators, the President of CWU, and BOT.

Appendix A: Statement on Professional Ethics

From the AAUP Policy Documents and Reports (Red Book).

Statement on Professional Ethics

The statement that follows was originally adopted in 1966. Revisions were made and approved by the Association's Council in 1987 and 2009.

Introduction

From its inception, the American Association of University Professors has recognized that membership in the academic profession carries with it special responsibilities. The Association has consistently affirmed these responsibilities in major policy statements, providing guidance to professors in such matters as their utterances as citizens, the exercise of their responsibilities to students and colleagues, and their conduct when resigning from an institution or when undertaking sponsored research. The Statement on Professional Ethics that follows sets forth those general standards that serve as a reminder of the variety of responsibilities assumed by all members of the profession.

In the enforcement of ethical standards, the academic profession differs from those of law and medicine, whose associations act to ensure the integrity of members engaged in private practice. In the academic profession the individual institution of higher learning provides this assurance and so should normally handle questions concerning propriety of conduct within its own framework by reference to a faculty group. The Association supports such local action and stands ready, through the general secretary and the Committee on Professional Ethics, to counsel with members of the academic community concerning questions of professional ethics and to inquire into complaints when local consideration is impossible or inappropriate. If the alleged offense is deemed sufficiently serious to raise the possibility of adverse action, the procedures should be in accordance with the 1940 Statement of Principles on Academic Freedom and Tenure, the 1958 Statement on Procedural Standards in Faculty Dismissal Proceedings, or the applicable provisions of the Association's Recommended Institutional Regulations on Academic Freedom and Tenure.

The Statement

- 1) Professors, guided by a deep conviction of the worth and dignity of the advancement of knowledge, recognize the special responsibilities placed upon them. Their primary responsibility to their subject is to seek and to state the truth as they see it. To this end professors devote their energies to developing and improving their scholarly competence. They accept the obligation to exercise critical self-discipline and judgment in using, extending, and transmitting knowledge. They practice intellectual honesty. Although professors may follow subsidiary interests, these interests must never seriously hamper or compromise their freedom of inquiry.
- 2) As teachers, professors encourage the free pursuit of learning in their students. They hold before them the best scholarly and ethical standards of their discipline. Professors demonstrate respect for students as individuals and adhere to their proper roles as intellectual guides and counselors.

Professors make every reasonable effort to foster honest academic conduct and to ensure that their evaluations of students reflect each student's true merit. They respect the confidential nature of the relationship between professor and student. They avoid an exploitation, harassment, or discriminatory treatment of students. They acknowledge significant academic or scholarly assistance from them. They protect their academic freedom.

- 3) As colleagues, professors have obligations that derive from common membership in the community of scholars. Professors do not discriminate against or harass colleagues. They respect and defend the free inquiry of associates, even when it leads to findings and conclusions that differ from their own. Professors acknowledge academic debt and strive to be objective in their professional judgment of colleagues. Professors accept their share of faculty responsibilities for the governance of their institution.
- 4) As members of an academic institution, professors seek above all to be effective teachers and scholars. Although professors observe the stated regulations of the institution, provided the regulations do not contravene academic freedom, they maintain their right to criticize and seek revision. Professors give due regard to their paramount responsibilities within their institution in determining the amount and character of work done outside it. When considering the interruption or termination of their service, professors recognize the effect of their decision upon the program of the institution and give due notice of their intentions.
- 5) As members of their community, professors have the rights and obligations of other citizens. Professors measure the urgency of these obligations in the light of their responsibilities to their subject, to their students, to their profession, and to their institution. When they speak or act as private persons, they avoid creating the impression of speaking or acting for their college or university. As citizens engaged in a profession that depends upon freedom for its health and integrity, professors have a particular obligation to promote conditions of free inquiry and to further public understanding of academic freedom.

Appendix B: Distinguished Faculty Awards

Section I. Funding for Distinguished Faculty

Stipends and release time is generously provided by the Office of the President and the CWU Foundation.

A. The annual Distinguished Faculty Awards in teaching, scholarship, and service will receive a one-time \$2,500 stipend.

B. The Board of Trustees Distinguished Faculty Award will receive a \$5,000 stipend and one quarter release from teaching (12 WLU for tenured faculty and 15 WLU for Senior Lecturer faculty) the academic year following their award.

Section II. Obligation of Recipients

A. All award recipients are expected to serve on at least two future selection committees within five years of receiving their award.

B. Recipients must serve on the selection committee at least twice before they can nominate individuals for any Distinguished Faculty Award.

C. Recipients of the Board of Trustees Distinguished Faculty Award will use 4 of the released WLU specified in Appendix B: I.B. for the benefit of CWU through research or service. These 4 WLU will be utilized in a manner determined through negotiation between the awardee and the Office of the President.

Section III. Initial Requirements

A. Due Dates

1. Letters of nomination are due to the office of the Senate by December 1, or, if this date falls on a weekend, the first instructional day thereafter.
2. Supporting materials must be compiled into a single pdf document and submitted via email to the office of the Senate by February 1 or, if this date falls on a weekend, the first instructional day thereafter.

B. Eligibility

1. Distinguished Faculty Awards are limited to CWU faculty who have been at CWU for a minimum of six (6) years and have worked at least 135 WLU.
2. Board of Trustees Distinguished Faculty Awards are limited to active CWU faculty who have been at CWU a minimum of fifteen (15) years and have performed the greater part of the activities for which they are nominated in connection with the nominee's employment at CWU. Emerit, tenured, and Senior Lecturer faculty are eligible.

C. Nominations and Supporting Materials

1. Nominations may be made by faculty, students, alumni or others in a position to evaluate the achievements of a faculty member in any of the award

categories. Additionally, self- nominations are allowed. Letters of nomination and supporting materials must be submitted to the Senate in accordance with Part A above.

2. Letters of nomination should include a full description of the nominee's work that is deserving of the award; a short statement of nomination will not be sufficient. Nominator(s) may help the nominee to compile and order their supporting materials. No supporting materials may be submitted after the due date.
3. The selection committee is not an investigative body. Therefore, it is imperative that supporting materials be complete, orderly, and self-explanatory.
4. During any academic year, nominators may only nominate one faculty member for each category.
5. An individual may receive an award in more than one category, although not in the same year. An individual may not receive an individual award more than once.
6. A nominee may be re-nominated.
7. Materials from award recipients shall be retained for three years in the office of the Senate.
8. Neither nominees nor nominators should attempt to contact the committee, the Senate office, or the President's Office about the process or outcome of the committee's deliberations. No information will be given out.
9. After reviewing submitted materials, the committee may elect to not recommend any nominee/s for one or more awards.

Section IV. Selection Committee

A. Membership

1. Members of the selection committee are approved by the EC.
2. Committee membership is finalized by early February at the latest.
3. The committee will include six volunteer members:
 - a. Four must be past Distinguished Faculty Award winners representing each award category selected by the EC.
 - b. One must be an alumnus selected by CWU Alumni relations.
 - c. One must be an individual selected by the EC from three names forwarded by the CWU Retiree Association to balance out the composition of the committee.
4. Emerit Distinguished Professors/Faculty are eligible to serve.

B. Award Selection Process

1. Nominees shall be considered for Distinguished Faculty Awards based on excellence of work and activities conducted solely while at CWU. Nominees shall only be considered for the category of the award for which they were nominated.
2. The selection committee makes the award choices and forwards those names and materials to the President with a brief summary statement describing each awardee.
3. The President forwards the awardee file for the Board of Trustees Distinguished Faculty Award to the BOT for approval at their spring meeting.

Section V. Notification of Award

- A. The President will notify the award winners.
- B. After the award winners have been notified by the President, notification will go out to the other candidates informing them the status of their nomination. The committee will not give individual feedback on the merit of applications or the selection process.
- C. The Board of Trustees Award will be awarded at their spring meeting.

Section VI. Required Application Materials

A. Materials for Distinguished Teaching Award:

1. Letter of nomination must be in PDF format emailed to senate@cwu.edu by December 1.
2. Supporting materials should be in a single PDF file and emailed to senate@cwu.edu by February 1. Supporting materials, with the exception of the vitae, should cover the last five years and be organized in the following order:
 - a. Original letter of nomination;
 - b. Vitae of nominee, verifying that the nominee is a full-time member of the CWU faculty and has a minimum of six (6) years full-time service at CWU.
 - c. Personal statement by nominee of philosophy, goals, and achievements in the area of teaching. This statement must not exceed 1000 words;
 - d. Evidence of teaching skills which highlight the clarity and organization of teaching materials, as well as efforts to motivate students. This evidence should include the following:
 - i. representative class syllabi;
 - ii. video recordings, if included, should be no longer than 15 minutes;
 - iii. evidence of teaching that has been informed by scholarship, as demonstrated by activities such as:

- a. participation in professional activities such as conferences, symposia, colloquia, exhibitions;
- b. membership in professional associations;
- c. peer reviewed scholarship or juried presentation;
- d. continuing education in one's field or related fields;
- e. efforts in the development of new courses to broaden and update -CWU curriculum or other relevant evidence of continued scholarship.
- f. if applicable, evidence of the extent of participation in student advisement. Materials for Distinguished Service Award:

B. Materials for Distinguished Service Award:

1. Letter of nomination must be emailed in PDF format to senate@cwu.edu by December 1.
2. Supporting materials should be in a single PDF file and emailed to the senate@cwu.edu by February 1. Supporting materials should be organized in the following order:
 - a. Vitae of nominee, verifying that the nominee is a full-time member of the CWU faculty and has a minimum of six (6) years full-time service at CWU.
 - b. Personal statement by nominee of philosophy, goals and achievements in the area of service. This statement must not exceed 1000 words.
 - c. Evidence of service in which the nominee has applied their academic expertise to the welfare of individuals, professional organizations, CWU, or the community at large should be supported by:
 - i. letters of recommendation, support, or corroboration from colleagues, associates, students, members of the community, or relevant others (20 maximum);
 - ii. public acknowledgement, such as, media recognition, testimonials, awards, etc.;
 - iii. Chronological listing of service activities. Each activity should have a concise summary of its scope and impact.

C. Materials for Distinguished Scholarship/Artistic Accomplishment Award:

1. Letter of nomination must be emailed in PDF format to senate@cwu.edu by December 1.

2. Supporting materials should be in a single pdf file and emailed to the senate@cwu.edu by February 1. Supporting materials should be organized in the following order;
 - a. Vitae of nominee. The vitae should verify that the nominee is a full-time member of the CWU faculty and has a minimum of six (6) years full-time service at CWU. The vitae must be submitted in PDF format and emailed to senate@cwu.edu by February 1.
 - b. Personal statement by nominee of philosophy, goals and achievements in the area of scholarship/creative works. This statement must not exceed 1000 words.
 - c. Evidence of scholarly or artistic achievement, should be supported by:
 - i. letters of recommendation, support, or corroboration from colleagues, associates, students, or relevant others emphasizing professional recognition, quality, and credibility of scholarly and/or artistic work (20 maximum);
 - ii. for artistic achievement – reviews, media recognition, programs, reports, awards, acknowledgements, grants funded, or other primary evidence of artistic achievement to support the nomination;
 - iii. for scholarly achievement – a chronological list of research projects, publications, reports, performances, presentations, program participation, or other professional work; reprints of publications, summaries of research programs, or other primary evidence of scholarly achievement to support the nomination.

D. Materials for Board of Trustees Distinguished Faculty Award:

1. Letter of nomination must be submitted in PDF format and emailed to senate@cwu.edu by December 1.
2. Supporting materials should be in a single pdf file and emailed to senate@cwu.edu by February 1. Supporting materials should be organized in the following order:
 - a. Vitae of nominee, verifying that the nominee is a member of the CWU faculty and has a minimum of fifteen (15) years of service at CWU.
 - b. Personal statement of philosophy, goals, and achievements in the areas of teaching, service, and scholarship/creative work. This statement must not exceed 3000 words.

APPENDIX C: College Budget Committees

Section I. Definition: For the purpose of this section, “college budget committee” will also include the library budget committee, and “college” refers to an academic college and the library.

Section II. College Policies

The principles below are broad guidelines relative to faculty rights and responsibilities on college budget committees. Colleges shall establish their own specific policies and guidelines for their budget committees, which at a minimum, should adhere to the principles and practices below. College budget committee policies shall be approved by a vote of a simple majority of all the faculty in the college.

Section III. Composition

- A. College deans shall ensure that college budget committees:
 - 1. Are broadly representative of the departments in the college. All faculty are eligible to serve on the committees. All members of the committee must be members of the college. Faculty (including chairs) shall represent at least 2/3 of the voting members of the committee.
 - 2. Have clearly delineated terms that allow for continuity on the committee.

Section IV. Committee Responsibilities

- A. The committees are consultative groups for the deans, and are the minimum required consultation on college and unit budget questions. Larger questions may require broader consultation, as outlined in I.D., Faculty Consultation.
- B. The committees shall review and make recommendations about the entirety of the college budget.
- C. Committees shall report back on the faculty in their college on a regular basis.

Section V. Committee Member Responsibilities

- A. Faculty members of the committee represent the greater good of the college and will make budgetary recommendations based on a broad range of faculty interests and ideas.
- B. On issues of broader import, committee members have an obligation to consult with the larger college prior to making a recommendation to the dean.