NSSE 2014 Snapshot

Central Washington University

A Summary of Student Engagement Results

national survey of

student engagement

Student engagement represents two critical features of collegiate quality. The first is the amount of time and effort students put into their studies and other educationally purposeful activities. The second is how institutional resources, courses, and other learning opportunities facilitate student participation in activities that matter to student learning. NSSE surveys first-year and senior students to assess their levels of engagement and related information about their experience at your institution.

Comparison Group The comparison group featured in this report is

Far West Public

See your Selected Comparison Groups report for details.

This *Snapshot* is a concise collection of key findings from your institution's NSSE 2014 administration. We hope this information stimulates discussions about the undergraduate experience. Additional details about these and other results appear in the reports referenced throughout.

Engagement Indicators Your students compared with Far West Public Sets of items are grouped into ten Theme Engagement Indicator First-year Senior Engagement Indicators, organized under four broad themes. At right **Higher-Order Learning** are summary results for your institution. For details, see your **Reflective & Integrative Learning** Academic Engagement Indicators report. Challenge **Learning Strategies** Kev: **Quantitative Reasoning** Your students' average was significantly higher (p < .05) with an effect size at least **Collaborative Learning** .3 in magnitude. Learning with Peers Your students' average was significantly **Discussions with Diverse Others** higher (p < .05) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude. ___ No significant difference. **Student-Faculty Interaction** Experiences with Faculty Your students' average was significantly **Effective Teaching Practices** lower (p < .05) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude. **Quality of Interactions** Your students' average was significantly Campus lower (p < .05) with an effect size at least .3 Environment in magnitude. **Supportive Environment**

High-Impact Practices


Due to their positive associations with student learning and retention, special undergraduate opportunities are designated "highimpact." For more details and statistical comparisons, see your *High-Impact Practices* report.

First-year

Learning Community, Service-Learning, and Research w/Faculty

Senior

Learning Community, Service-Learning, Research w/Faculty, Internship, Study Abroad, and Culminating Senior Experience





NSSE 2014 Snapshot

Central Washington University


Participated in two or more HIPs
Participated in one HIP



Central Washington University


Academic Challenge: Additional Results

The Academic Challenge theme contains four Engagement Indicators as well as several important individual items. The results presented here provide an overview of these individual items. For more information about the Academic Challenge theme, see your *Engagement Indicators* report. To further explore individual item results, see your *Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons*, the *Major Field Report*, the *Online Institutional Report*, or the Report Builder—Institution Version.




Challenging Students to Do Their Best Work

To what extent did students' courses challenge them to do their best work? Response options ranged from 1 = "Not at all" to 7 = "Very much."



Academic Emphasis

How much did students say their institution emphasizes spending significant time studying and on academic work? Response options included "Very much," "Quite a bit," "Some," and "Very little."



NSSE 2014 Snapshot

NSSE national survey of student engagement

Central Washington University

Item Comparisons

By examining individual NSSE questions, you can better understand what contributes to your institution's performance on

Engagement Indicators and High-Impact Practices. This section displays the five questions^a on which your first-year and senior students scored the highest and the five questions on which they scored the lowest, relative to students in your comparison group. Parenthetical notes indicate whether an item belongs to a specific Engagement Indicator or is a High-Impact Practice. While these questions represent the largest differences (in percentage points), they may not be the most important to your institutional mission or current program or policy goals. For additional results, refer to your *Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons* report.


First-year

Highest Performing Relative to Far West Public


Quality of interactions with academic advisors ^a (QI)
Talked about career plans with a faculty member ^b (SF)
Participated in a learning community or some other formal program where (HIP)
Quality of interactions with faculty ^d (QI)
Institution emphasis on providing support for your overall well-being c (SE)

Lowest Performing Relative to Far West Public

Spent more than 15 hours per week preparing for class	
Included diverse perspectives () in course discussions or assignments ^b (RI)	
Institution emphasis on encouraging contact among students from different backgrounds $^{\rm c}$ (SE)	
Discussions with People of a race or ethnicity other than your own^b (DD)	
About how many courses have included a community-based project (service-learning)? ^e (HIP)	



Percentage Point Difference with Far West Public



Percentage Point Difference with Far West Public

Senior

Highest Performing Relative to Far West Public

Participated in an internship, co-op, field exp., student teach., clinical placemt. (HIP)
Talked about career plans with a faculty member ^b (SF)
Discussions with People with political views other than your own^b (DD)
Completed a culminating senior experience () (HIP)
Assigned more than 50 pages of writing ^g

Lowest Performing Relative to Far West Public

Institution emphasis on providing support to help students succeed academically $^{\rm c}$ (SE)
Extent to which courses challenged you to do your best work ^d
Institution emphasis on helping you manage your non-academic responsibilities () $^{ m c}$ (SE)
Institution emphasis on attending events that address important social/econ./polit. issues ^c (SE)
About how many courses have included a community-based project (service-learning)? ^e (HIP)

a. The displays on this page draw from the items that make up the ten Engagement Indicators (EIs), six High-Impact Practices (HIPs), and the additional academic challenge items reported on page 2. Key to abbreviations for EI items: HO = Higher-Order Learning, RI = Reflective & Integrative Learning, LS = Learning Strategies, QR = Quantitative Reasoning, CL = Collaborative Learning, DD = Discussions with Diverse Others, SF = Student-Faculty Interaction, ET = Effective Teaching Practices, QI = Quality of Interactions, SE = Supportive Environment. HIP items are also indicated. Item numbering corresponds to the survey facsimile included in your *Institutional Report* and available on the NSSE Web site.

d. Rated at least 6 on a 7-point scale.

- f. Estimate based on the reported amount of course preparation time spent on assigned reading.
- g. Estimate based on number of assigned writing tasks of various lengths.

b. Combination of students responding "Very often" or "Often."c. Combination of students responding "Very much" or "Quite a bit."

e. Percentage reporting at least "Some."



Central Washington University

How Students Assess Their Experience


Students' perceptions of their cognitive and affective development, as well as their overall satisfaction with the institution, provide useful evidence of their educational experiences. For more details, refer to your *Frequencies and Statistical*

Perceived Gains Among Seniors

Students reported how much their experience at your institution contributed to their knowledge, skills, and personal development in ten areas.

Satisfaction with CWU

Students rated their overall experience at the institution, and whether or not they would choose it again.



Administration Details

Response Summary

	Count	Resp. rate	Female	Full-time
First-year	315	21%	71%	100%
Senior	348	16%	66%	84%
		~ 1 D		<u>01</u>

Refer to your *Administration Summary* and *Respondent Profile* reports for more information.

What is NSSE?

Additional Questions

Your institution did not choose to administer additional questions. In future administrations, you may customize NSSE by participating in a topical module or a consortium. See our Web site for more information. **nsse.iub.edu**

NSSE annually collects information at hundreds of four-year colleges and universities about student participation in activities and programs that promote their learning and personal development. The results provide an estimate of how undergraduates spend their time and what they gain from attending their college or university. Institutions use their data to identify aspects of the undergraduate experience that can be improved through changes in policy and practice.

NSSE has been in operation since 2000 and has been used at more than 1,500 colleges and universities in the US and Canada. More than 90% of participating institutions administer the survey on a periodic basis.

Visit our Web site: nsse.iub.edu

IPEDS: 234827