

CENTRAL WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY

2014 FACULTY SURVEY OF STUDENT ENGAGEMENT (FSSE) RESPONSES COMPARED TO NATIONAL STUDENT SURVEY OF ENGAGEMENT (NSSE)

l.	Executive Summary	2.
II.	Methodology	4.
	A. FSSE	4.
	B. NSSE	5.
	C. Report Format	5.
III.	Academic Challenge	6.
IV.	Learning with Peers	7.
V.	Experiences with Faculty	8.
VI.	Campus Environment	9.
VII.	High Impact Practices	10

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Central Washington University (CWU) administered the Faculty Survey of Student Engagement (FSSE) to all CWU tenured and tenure-track faculty and adjuncts during the spring quarter of 2014. This report compares faculty responses to FSSE questions to student responses of the same or similar National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE) questions.

The introduction to the FSSE States: "The information you and other faculty members on your campus provide will help identify areas of strength and improvements, as well as lead to construction discussion related to teaching, learning, and the quality of your students' education experience.

The questions that had the largest differences follow. The FSSE/NSSE comparison is broken into five main areas. These questions summarize the differences in the percent of faculty or students who responded with the highest two (or best two) responses.

Academic Challenge - Differences were moderate (Tables 3 & 4).

Learning with Peers – Students report higher occurrences of "Discussions with Diverse Others" (Table 8). **Experiences with Faculty** – Faculty reported higher "Interaction with Students" and "Use of Effective Teaching Practices" than students reported actually doing. The differences ranged from 12% to 32% (Table 9).

Campus Environment – Students reported higher "Quality of Interaction" with other students, faculty, etc. (Table 10) while differences in Supportive Environment varied.

High Impact Practices – there were some large differences but faculty were higher on some questions while students were higher on others. See Table 12 through Table 14.

Tables 1 and 2 show the questions that had the largest differences between faculty (FSSE) and student (NSSE) average responses. Some of the differences seem to be honest self-appraisals. Other differences may be due to student misperceptions (e.g. student's perception of time spent relaxing or socializing during a seven day week). Other differences may be due to both faculty and students misperceptions.

Both the FSSE and the NSSE surveys used four point Likert scale. Tables 1 and 2 summarize the percent of faculty and students who answered the two highest or best ratings.

Table 1 - These are questions with the largest difference where faculty's averages were higher. LD indicates responses by faculty thinking of lower division courses, UD indicates upper division. FY indicates average NSSE responses by first year students; SR indicates senior's average responses.

Table 1 - continued

Questions	Faculty: Very much or quite a bit%	Students: Very much or quite a bit%	Difference
FSSE 9. UD About how many of your undergraduate courses at this institution have included community-based projects vs. NSSE 12. SR About how many of your courses have included a community-based project (service learning)	65%	11%	54%
FSSE 20d. LD Percent of faculty who agree very much or quite a bit that students in a typical course section spend 16 hours a week or more relaxing or socialized vs NSSE 15f. FY students who answer 16 hours or more a week LD	60%	0%	60%
FSSE 22c. UD Percent of faculty who agree very much or quite a bit that it is important to come to class having completed assignments vs. NSSE 1.c. SR percent of students who say they do.	96%	22%	74%
FSSE 22c. LD Percent of faculty who agree very much or quite a bit that it is important to come to class having completed assignments vs. NSSE 1.c. FY percent of students who say they do.	94%	17%	77%

- I talked to Mr. Manuel Rodriguez who is the Director, Center for Leadership & Community Engagement on the telephone. I asked him why there is such a large difference in perceptions of upper division faculty and seniors who think that community-based service is a part of their course. Neither one of us know why. This may take further research. The actual percent of courses that include community engagement projects is probably between the faculty and student estimates.
- First year student's perception of how strongly they agree that they spend 16 hours a week or more socializing or relaxing (0%) seems unrealistically low.
- Student estimate of how often they come to class prepared seems to be an honest self-assessment. It is quite a contrast to faculty desires.

Table 2 - These are questions with the largest difference where student's averages were higher. LD indicates FSSE responses by faculty thinking of lower division courses, UD indicates upper division. FY indicates NSSE average responses by first year students; SR indicates senior student average responses.

Table 2 - continued

Questions	Faculty: Very much or quite a bit	Students: Very much or quite a bit	Difference
FSSE 21. LD In your selected course section, to what extent do you think the typical student does his or her best work vs. NSSE 10. FY During the current school year, to what extent have your courses challenged you to do your best work?	38%	84%	(46)%
FSSE 26.c. UD Students have substantial opportunities to engage with people with religious beliefs "other than their own" vs. NSSE 8.c. SR About how often have you had discussions with people with religious beliefs other than your own? UD	35%	76%	(41)%
NSSE 3.b. LD Quality of interaction with academic advisors vs. NSSE 13.b. FY Indicate the quality of your interactions with academic advisors.	13%	54%	(41)%
NSSE 26.b. UD Students have substantial opportunities to engage with people with economic backgrounds "other than their own" vs. NSSE 8.b. SR About how often have you had discussions with people with economic backgrounds other than your own? UD	40%	79%	(39)%

- The discrepancy between faculty who think students do their best work and students who think they do their best work is not surprising but it seems high. Question: is this a difference in definition?
- The other differences seem to be because of differences in perceptions. Maybe faculty perceive "diverse" differently than students because they have experienced more diversity.

II. METHODOLOGY

A. FSSE Administration

The FSSE is "...designed to measure faculty expectations regarding the extent to which students at institutions engage in educational practices empirically linked to high levels of learning and development." A copy of the FSSE survey is at: http://fsse.iub.edu/pdf/2014/fsse14_survey.pdf

222 CWU faculty completed the 2014 FSSE out of 703 invitations for a response rate of 32% and a sampling error of $\pm 5.4\%$. The average response rate for FSSE institutions was 48%.

An invitation email was sent to faculty on 4/22/14. Email reminders were sent to faculty who had not yet responded on 4/28/14, 5/01/14, and 5/7/14.

CWU faculty responded to two FSSE questions that help categorize their responses.

Table 3 – CWU FSSE Respondent Characteristics

		Count	Percent
During the current school year, have you taught an	Yes	195	88%
	No	17	8%
undergraduate course?	Missing	10	5%
What is the class level of	Lower division	59	27%
most students in your	Upper division	131	59%
selected course section?	Other	4	2%
selected course section?	Missing	28	13%

B. NSSE Administration

The NSSE survey was emailed to all CWU first-year (1,513) and senior (2,244) students during the spring 2014 quarter. An invitation email was sent on April 9, 2014. Four reminder emails were sent on 4/15/14, 4/17/14, 4/23/14, and 4/29/14. All respondents received a 10% coupon from the Wildcat Shop (either in person or online). In addition, thirty respondents were randomly selected for early fall 2014 registration.

The response rate to the survey was 17.6%. 1,513 first year students were invited, 315 gave full or partial responses. 2,244 seniors were invited, there were 348 responses. In all 663 students responded out of 3,757 invited for a response rate of 17.6%. 184 of the senior respondents were enrolled in online degree programs, 35 of them responded for a response rate of 19%.

Table 4 – 2014 NSSE Response Rates

	First year	Students	Sen	iors	All responses			
	CWU Far West		CWU	Far West	CWU	Far West		
Response Rate	21%	18%	16%	22%	18%	21%		
Sampling Error	± 4,9%	± 1.3%	± 4,8%	± 0.9%				

The response rate to CWU's NSSE exam was 18%. The response rate of institutions of similar size was 21%.

C. Report Format

The following tables are formatted as follows:

- The four left columns show the FSSE question and the percent of faculty who report that they agree "Very much" or "Quite a bit" that lower division and upper division courses at CWU engaged students in various methods depending on the question
- The four middle columns show the question number, question, and the comparable percent of CWU first-year and senior student responses
- The two right columns show:
 - o the difference in faculty percentages [lower division (LD) and upper division (UD)] and student percentages [(first year (FY) and seniors (SR)]
 - the differences graphed in data bars that extend from minus 100% (student percents were higher) to positive 100% (faculty percents were higher).

III. ACADEMIC CHALLENGE

Table 5 – Academic Challenge Comparisons

Acade	emic Challenge											
Highe	r-Order Learning											
Facul	ty Responses	I		1		Student Re	esponses	Differenm	ce			
Percentage of faculty whose coursework substantially emphasizes the following in their selected course section:					Distribution of student responses to: How much has your coursework emphasized the following during the current school year?							
FSSE I	SE Item Class Very much or Quite a bit %				E Item	Class	Very much or Quite a bit %	Difference	Data Bar (±100%)			
27b.	Applying facts, theories, or methods to	LD	71	4b.	Applying facts, theories, or methods to	FY	73	-2%				
	practical problems or new situations	UD	89		practical problems or new situations	SR	79	10%				
27c.	Analyzing an idea in depth by examining	LD	76	4c.	Analyzing an idea, experience, or line of	FY	73	4%				
	its parts	UD	87		reasoning in depth by examining its parts	SR	80	7%				
27d.	Evaluating a point of view, decision, or	LD	60	4d.	Evaluating a point of view, decision, or	FY	69	-9%				
	information source	UD	74		information source	SR	73	1%				
27e.	Forming a new idea or understanding from	LD	78	4e.	Forming a new idea or understanding from	FY	69	9%	1			
	various pieces of information	UD	82		various pieces of information	SR	77	5%				

кепе	ctive & Integrative Learning										
	ntage of faculty who reported that it is import		ne typical		Distribution of student responses to: About how often have you done the following during						
stude	nt do the following in their selected course se	ction:		C	current school year?						
	FSSE Item	Class	Very much or Quite a bit %			NSSE Item	Class	Very much or Quite a bit %	Difference	Data Bar (±100%)	
23a.	Combine ideas from different courses when	LD	70	2	2a.	Combined ideas from different courses	FY	48	23%		
	completing assignments	UD	82			when completing assignments	SR	78	3%		
23b.	Connect his or her learning to societal	LD	70	2	2b.	Connected your learning to societal	FY	53	16%		
	problems or issues	UD	77			problems or issues	SR	68	9%		
23c.	Include diverse perspectives \in course	LD	60	2	2c.	Included diverse perspectives in course	FY	44	16%		
	discussions or assignments	UD	66			discussions or assignments	SR	58	8%		
23d.	Examine the strengths and weaknesses of	LD	76	2	2d.	Examined the strengths and weaknesses of	FY	61	16%		
	his or her own views on a topic or issue	UD	84			your own views on a topic or issue	SR	71	12%		
23e.	Try to better understand someone else's	LD	69	2	2e.	Tried to better understand someone else's	FY	63	6%		
	views	UD	75			views	SR	78	-3%		
23f.	Learn something that changes the way he or	LD	95	2	2f.	Learned something that changed the way	FY	65	30%		
	she understands an issue or concept	UD	93			you understand an issue or concept	SR	69	25%		
23g.	Connect ideas from your course to his or	LD	87	2	2g.	Connected ideas from your courses to your	FY	74	13%		
	her prior experiences and knowledge	UD	95			prior experiences and knowledge	SR	88	7%		

Learning	Strategies

Percentage of faculty who reported they substantially encourage students to do the following in their selected course section:			ige		ribution of student responses to: About how o ent school year?	ften have y	ou done the	e following	during the
	FSSE Item	Class	% Very much or Quite a bit		NSSE Item	Class	% Very much or Quite a bit	Difference	Data Bars (±100%)
25e.	Identify key information from reading	LD	66	9a.	Identified key information from reading	FY	79	-13%	
	assignments	UD	77		assignments	SR	86	-9%	
25f.	Review notes after class	LD	52	9b.	Reviewed your notes after class	FY	66	-14%	
		UD	54			SR	66	-12%	
25g.	Summarize what has been learned from	LD	65	9c.	Summarized what you learned in class or	FY	58	7%	
	class or from course materials	UD	66		from course materials	SR	68	-2%	

Table 6 - Academic Challenge continued

Quan	titative	Rea	soniı	ng
_			•	

	Percentage of faculty who reported that it is important that the typical student do the following in their selected course section:				vistribution of student responses to: About how often have you done the following durin urrent school year?				
FSSE Item Class Very much or Quite a bit %		NSSE Item		Class	Very much or Quite a bit %		Data Bars (±100%)		
22d.	Reach conclusions based on his or her own	LD	61	ϵ	a. Reached conclusions based on your own	FY	52	9%	
	analysis of numerical information	UD	64		analysis of numerical information	SR	61	3%	
22e.	Use numerical information to examine a	LD	59	6	b. Used numerical information to examine a	FY	38	22%	
	real-world problem or issue	UD	57		real-world problem or issue	SR	53	4%	
22f.	Evaluate what others have concluded from	LD	56	6	c. Evaluated what others have concluded from	FY	33	22%	
	numerical information	UD	56		numerical information	SR	52	4%	

Additional Academic Challenge Items

Additiona	ai Academic Chancinge items											
FSS	SE Item	Class	Very much or Quite a bit %			NSSE Item		Very much or Quite a bit %	Difference	Data Bars (±100%)		
	In your selected course section, to what extent do you think the typical student does his or her best work?	LD	38	1	10.	to what extent have your courses	FY	54	-15%			
		UD	55			challenged you to do your best work?	SR	48	8%			
	Note. Response options ranged from 1=Not at all to 7=Very much;											

	FSSE Item		Very much or Quite a bit %		NSSE Item		Very much or Quite a bit %	Difference	Data Bars (±100%)
	ntage of faculty who reported that it is importation increase its emphasis on the following:	tant that th	eir		ibution of student responses to: How much dowing?	oes your ins	stitution em	phasize the	
2a.	Students spending significant amounts of	LD	86	14a.	Spending significant amounts of time	FY	75	11%	
	time studying and on academic work	UD	85		studying and on academic work	SR	79	6%	

IV. LEARNING WITH PEERS

Table 7 – Learning with Peers Comparisons

	ing with Peers porative Learning								
Perce	ntage of faculty who substantially encourage ving in their selected course section:	students to	do the		ribution of student responses to: About how o	ften have y	you done th	e following	during the
	FSSE Item	Class	Very much or Quite a bit %		NSSE Item	Class	Very much or Quite a bit %		Data Bars (±100%)
25a.	Ask other students for help understanding	LD	64	1e.	Asked another student to help you	FY	44	20%	
	course material	UD	64		understand course material	SR	43	21%	
25b.	Explain course material to other students	LD	62	1f.	Explained course material to one or more	FY	51	10%	
		UD	59		students	SR	64	-5%	
25c.	Prepare for exams by discussing or working	LD	75	1g.	Prepared for exams by discussing or working	FY	47	28%	
	through course material with other	UD	61		through course material with other	SR	50	12%	
25d.	Work with other students on course	LD	70	1h.	Worked with other students on course	FY	47	22%	
	projects or assignments	UD	66		projects or assignments	SR	72	-6%	

Table 8 – Learning with Peers continued

Discus	ssions with Diverse Others								
орроі	Percentage of faculty who reported that students have substantial opportunities to engage in discussions with people from the following groups in their selected course section:				ibution of student responses to: About how on the following groups during the current scho		ou had disc	ussions wit	h people
	FSSE Item	Class	Very much or Quite a bit %		NSSE Item	Class	Very much or Quite a bit %	Difference	Data Bars (±100%)
26a.	People of a race or ethnicity other than	LD	28	8a.	People of a race or ethnicity other than	FY	63	-35%	
	their own	UD	38		your own	SR	71	-33%	
26b.	People from an economic background other	LD	40	8b.	People from an economic background other	FY	69	-29%	
	than their own	UD	40		than your own	SR	79	-40%	
26c.	People with religious beliefs other than	LD	38	8c.	People with religious beliefs other than	FY	63	-25%	
	their own	UD	35		your own	SR	76	-41%	
26d.	People with political views other than their	LD	48	8d.	People with political views other than your	FY	61	-13%	******
	own	UD	38		own	SR	76	-38%	

V. EXPERIENCES WITH FACULTY

Table 9 – Experiences with Faculty Comparisons

Exper	iences with Faculty								
Stude	nt-Faculty Interaction								
	ntage of faculty who frequently did each of th graduate students they teach or advise during	_			tribution of student responses to: About how o rent school year?	ften you ho	ave done the	e following	during the
	FSSE Item	Class	Very much or Quite a bit %		NSSE Item	Class	Very much or Quite a bit %	Difference	Data Bars (±100%)
8a.	Talked about their career plans	LD	53	3a.	Talked about career plans with a faculty	FY	38	16%	
		UD	77		member	SR	47	30%	
8b.	Worked on activities other than coursework	LD	33	3b.	Worked with a faculty member on activities	FY	18	15%	
	(committees, student groups, etc.)	UD	43		other than coursework (committees,	SR	29	14%	
8c.	Discussed course topics, ideas, or concepts	LD	52	3c.	Discussed course topics, ideas, or concepts	FY	23	29%	
	outside of class	UD	67		with a faculty member outside of class	SR	38	29%	
8d.	Discussed their academic performance	LD	55	3d.	Discussed your academic performance with	FY	31	25%	
		UD	69		a faculty member	SR	37	32%	

Effective Teaching Practices

	ntage of faculty who substantially do the follorgraduate courses:	owing in th	eir		tribution of student responses to: To what extering the current school year?	ent have yo	our instructo	rs done the	following
	FSSE Item	Class	Very much or Quite a bit %		NSSE Item	Class	Very much or Quite a bit %	Difference	Data Bars (±100%)
10a.	Clearly explain course goals and	LD	95	5a.	Clearly explained course goals and	FY	78	17%	
	requirements	UD	95		requirements	SR	84	12%	1
10b.	Teach course sessions in an organized way	LD	90	5b.	Taught course sessions in an organized way	FY	75	15%	1
		UD	98			SR	83	15%	
10c.	Use examples or illustrations to explain	LD	98	5c.	Used examples or illustrations to explain	FY	70	28%	
	difficult points	UD	98		difficult points	SR	78	20%	
10g.	Provide feedback to students on drafts or	LD	79	5d.	Provided feedback on a draft or work in	FY	63	16%	
	works in progress	UD	76		progress	SR	59	17%	
10h.	Provide prompt and detailed feedback on	LD	95	5e.	Provided prompt and detailed feedback on	FY	61	34%	
	tests or completed assignments	UD	91		tests or completed assignments.	SR	68	24%	

VI. CAMPUS ENVIRONMENT

Table 10 – Campus Environment Comparisons

UD

LD

UD

LD

UD

29

10

9

8

13d.

13e.

Camp	us Environment									
Quali	ty of Interactions			_						
	ty perceptions of the quality of student intera ving people at their institution:	ctions with	the			ibution of student responses to: Indicate the wing people at your institution.	quality of y	our interact	ions with th	ne
	FSSE Item	Class	Very much or Quite a bit %			NSSE Item	Class	% that answered 5 to 7	Faculty less student %	Data Bars (±100%)
3a.	Other students	LD	29		13a.	Students	FY	50	-22%	
		UD	29				SR	61	-32%	
3b.	Academic advisors	LD	13		13b.	Academic advisors	FY	54	-41%	
		UD	15				SR	46	-31%	
3.0	Faculty	ID	24		13c	Faculty	FV	18	-24%	

Student services staff (career services,

Other administrative staff and offices

student activities, housing, etc.)

(registrar, financial aid, etc.)

Supportive	Environment

Student services staff (career services,

Other administrative staff and offices

student activities, housing, etc.)

(registrar, financial aid, etc.)

3d.

	ntage of faculty who reported that it is import ution increase its emphasis on each of the foll		heir		ibution of student responses to: How much downing?	oes your in	stitution em	phasize the	
	FSSE Item	Class	Very much or Quite a bit %		NSSE Item	Class	Very much or Quite a bit %	Faculty less student %	Data Bars (±100%)
2b.	Providing support to help students succeed	LD	81	14b.	Providing support to help students succeed	FY	75	6%	
	academically	UD	84		academically	SR	63	21%	
2c.	Students using learning support services	LD	81	14c.	Using learning support services (tutoring	FY	74	7%	
	(tutoring services, writing center, etc.)	UD	77		services, writing center, etc.)	SR	66	11%	
2d.	Encouraging contact among students from	LD	71	14d.	Encouraging contact among students from	FY	52	19%	
	different backgrounds (social, racial/ethnic,	UD	74		different backgrounds (social, racial/ethnic,	SR	48	26%	
2e.	Providing opportunities for students to be	LD	49	14e.	Providing opportunities to be involved	FY	67	-18%	
	involved socially	UD	53		socially	SR	58	-5%	
2f.	Providing support for students' overall well-	LD	78	14f.	Providing support for your overall well-	FY	74	4%	
	being (recreation, health care, counseling,	UD	67		being (recreation, health care, counseling,	SR	58	9%	
2g.	Helping students manage their non-	LD	47	14g.	Helping you manage your non-academic	FY	45	2%	
	academic responsibilities (work, family, etc.)	UD	45		responsibilities (work, family, etc.)	SR	21	25%	
2h.	Students attending campus activities and	LD	57	14h.	Attending campus activities and events	FY	62	-5%	
	events (performing arts, athletic events,	UD	45		(performing arts, athletic events, etc.)	SR	48	-3%	
2i.	Students attending events that address	LD	69	14i.	Attending events that address important	FY	46	23%	
	important social, economic, or political	UD	63		social, economic, or political issues	SR	34	28%	

High	Impact	Practices

Inter	rnship							
	entage of faculty who think it is important for rinstitution to do the following before they gra	Ū	ates at	Distribution of student responses to: Which of the do before you graduate?	following l	ave you do	ne or do yo	u plan to
	FSSE Item	Class	Very much or Quite a bit %	NSSE Item	Class	Very much or Quite a bit %	,	Data Bars (±100%)
1a.	Participate in an internship, co-op, field	LD	78	11a. Participate in an internship, co-op, field	FY	77	1%	
	experience, student teaching, or clinical	UD	85	experience, student teaching, or clinical	SR	71	13%	

	FSSE Item	Class	Yes %
	ntage of faculty who participate in the follow week:	ing activity i	n a typical
6b.	Supervising undergraduate internships or	LD	32
	other field experiences	UD	49

58

38

32

37

42

FY

 SR

FY

-30%

-31%

-22%

-28%

-34%

Table 11 - Campus Environment continued

Learning Community

ntage of faculty who think it is important for dinstitution to do the following before they gra	Ū	ates at	Distribution of student responses to: Which of the do before you graduate?	following I	have you do	ne or do yo	u plan to
FSSE Item	Class	Very much or Quite a bit %	NSSE Item	Class	Very much or Quite a bit %	Faculty less student %	Data Bars (±100%)
Participate in a learning community or some other formal program where groups of	LD	41	11c. Participate in a learning community or some other formal program where groups of	FY	39	2%	
students take two or more classes together	UD	47	students take two or more classes together	SR	31	16%	

Study Abroad

	Percentage of faculty who think it is important for undergraduates at their institution to do the following before they graduate:				Distribution of student responses to: Which of the following have you done or do you plan to do before you graduate?						
	FSSE Item	Class	Very much or Quite a bit %		NSSE Item	Class	Very much or Quite a bit %	Faculty less student %	Data Bars (±100%)		
1d.	Participate in a study abroad program	LD	34	110	I. Participate in a study abroad program	FY	36	-2%			
		UD	37			SR	13	23%			

VII HIGH IMPACT PRACTICES

Table 12 – High Impact Practices Faculty compared to Students

	mpact Practices (continued) rgraduate Research										
Percentage of faculty who think it is important for undergraduates at their institution to do the following before they graduate:				Distribution of student responses to: Which of the following have you done or do you plan to do before you graduate?							
	FSSE Item	Class	Very much or Quite a bit %		NSSE Item	Class	Very much or Quite a bit %	Faculty less student %	Data Bars (±100%)		
1e.	Work with a faculty member on a research	LD	59	11e.	Work with a faculty member on a research	FY	36	24%			
	project	UD	58		project	SR	34	24%			
	FSSE Item	Class	Yes %								
	Percentage of faculty who participate in the following activity in a typical 7-day week:										
6a.	Working with undergraduates on research	LD	43								
		UD	45								

Culminating Senior Experience

	Percentage of faculty who think it is important for undergraduates at their institution to do the following before they graduate:				istribution of student responses to: Which of the o before you graduate?	following	have you do	one or do yo	u plan to
	FSSE Item	Class	Very much or Quite a bit %		NSSE Item	Class	Very much or Quite a bit %	,	Data Bars (±100%)
1f.	Complete a culminating senior experience	LD	79	1	1f. Complete a culminating senior experience	FY	63	16%	
	(capstone course, senior project or thesis,	UD	81		(capstone course, senior project or thesis,	SR	71	10%	

Service-Learning

	Je-Learning								
	FSSE Item	Class	Very much or Quite a bit %		NSSE Item	Class	Some, Most, or All	Faculty less student %	Data Bars (±100%)
9.	About how many of your undergraduate	LD	49	12.	About how many of your courses at this institution have included a community-	FY	39	10%	
	courses at this institution have included a community-based project (service-learning)?	UD	65		based project (service-learning)?	SR	55	10%	
	ntage of faculty who think it is important for uninstitution to do the following before they gra	-	iates at				l	1	
1g.	Participate in a community-based project	LD	58						
	(service-learning) as part of a course	UD	56						

Table 13 – High Impact Practices continued

Addit	ional Engagement Items								
Facul	ty Course Goals and Student-Perceived Gains								
Perce	ntage of faculty who reported substantially st	ructuring t	heir	Dist	ribution of student responses to: How much he	as your exp	perience at t	his instituti	on
select	ted course section so that students learn and o	develop in	the	con	tributed to your knowledge, skills, and persond	ıl developi	ment in the j	following a	reas?
follov	ving areas:								
	FSSE Item	Class	Very much or Quite a bit %		NSSE Item	Class	Very much or Quite a bit %	Faculty less student %	Data Bars (±100%)
29a.	Writing clearly and effectively	LD	56	17a	. Writing clearly and effectively	FY	69	-13%	
		UD	71			SR	73	-2%	
29b.	Speaking clearly and effectively	LD	31	17b	. Speaking clearly and effectively	FY	49	-17%	
		UD	53			SR	67	-14%	
29c.	Thinking critically and analytically	LD	93	17c	Thinking critically and analytically	FY	78	14%	
		UD	97			SR	80	16%	
29d.	Analyzing numerical and statistical	LD	40	17d	. Analyzing numerical and statistical	FY	53	-13%	
	information	UD	42		information	SR	59	-18%	
29e.	Acquiring job- or work-related knowledge	LD	47	17e	. Acquiring job- or work-related knowledge	FY	45	3%	
	and skills	UD	73		and skills	SR	72	1%	
29f.	Working effectively with others	LD	64	17f.	Working effectively with others	FY	59	4%	
		UD	70			SR	71	-1%	
29g.	Developing or clarifying a personal code of	LD	45	17g	Developing or clarifying a personal code of	FY	54	-9%	
	values and ethics	UD	46		values and ethics	SR	54	-7%	
29h.	Understanding people of other backgrounds	LD	42	17h	. Understanding people of other backgrounds	FY	57	-15%	
	(economic, racial/ethnic, political, religious,	UD	46		(economic, racial/ethnic, political, religious,	SR	55	-10%	
29i.	Solving complex real-world problems	LD	58	17i.	Solving complex real-world problems	FY	52	6%	
		UD	70			SR	60	10%	
29j.	Being an informed and active citizen	LD	62	17j.	Being an informed and active citizen	FY	51	11%	
		UD	56			SR	56	0%	

Perce	e Engagement ntage of faculty who reported that it is impor nt do the following in their selected course se		ne typical		ribution of student responses to: About how a ent school year?	ften have y	you done th	e following	during the
	FSSE Item	Class	Very much or Quite a bit %		NSSE Item	Class	Very much or Quite a bit %	Faculty less student %	Data Bars (±100%)
22a.	Ask questions or contribute to course	LD	88	1a.	Asked questions or contributed to course	FY	58	30%	
	discussions in other ways	UD	98		discussions in other ways	SR	82	17%	
22b.	Prepare two or more drafts of a paper or	LD	44	1b.	Prepared two or more drafts of a paper or	FY	52	-8%	
	assignment before turning it in	UD	59		assignment before turning it in	SR	53	5%	
22c.	Come to class having completed readings or	LD	94	1c.	Come to class without completing readings	FY	83	11%	1
	assignments	UD	96		or assignments (Never of Sometimes)	SR	80	16%	

	ional Engagement Items (continued)								
Perce	nt Leadership ntage of faculty who think it is important for institution to do the following before they gra	_	uates at		ribution of student responses to: Which of the nefore you graduate?	following	have you do	one or do yo	u plan to
	FSSE Item	Class	Very much or Quite a bit %		NSSE Item	Class	Very much or Quite a bit %	Faculty less student %	Data Bars (±100%)
1b.	Hold a formal leadership role in a student	LD	17	11b	Hold a formal leadership role in a student	FY	35	-18%	
	organization or group	UD	40		organization or group	SR	41	-1%	
Memo	prization					•			,
Perce	ntage of faculty whose coursework substantia	ally emphas	sizes the	Dist	ribution of student responses to: How much h	as your co	ursework em	phasized th	ie
follov	ving in their selected course section:			folic	owing during the current school year?				
27a.	Memorizing course material	LD	29	4a.	Memorizing course material	FY	67	-38%	
		UD	21			SR	58	-36%	

Table 14 – High Impact Practices continued

Time Spent by Students

cours	ntage of faculty who think the typical student e section spends 16 hours or more on each of ge 7-day week:				ibution of student responses to: About how n a doing the following?	nany hours	do you spei	nd in a typid	cal 7-day
	FSSE Item	Class	Very much or Quite a bit %		NSSE Item	Class	Very much or Quite a bit %	Faculty less student %	Data Bars (±100%)
20a.	Participating in co-curricular activities	LD	0	15b.	Participating in co-curricular activities	FY	6	-6%	l
		UD	4			SR	6	-2%	
20b.	Working for pay on campus	LD	8	15c.	Working for pay on campus	FY	5	3%	
		UD	16			SR	13	2%	
20c.	Working for pay off campus	LD	26	15d.	Working for pay off campus	FY	5	21%	
		UD	49			SR	33	16%	
20d.	Doing community service or volunteer work	LD	0	15e.	Doing community service or volunteer work	FY	2	-2%	
		UD	0			SR	5	-5%	
20e.	Relaxing and socializing (time with friends,	LD	60	15f.	Relaxing and socializing (time with friends,	FY	43	18%	
	video games, TV or videos, keeping up with	UD	52		video games, TV or videos, keeping up with	SR	24	28%	
20f.	Providing care for dependents (children,	LD	9	15g.	Providing care for dependents (children,	FY	3	7%	
	parents, etc.)	UD	16		parents, etc.)	SR	18	-2%	
20g.	1 , ,	LD	0	15h.	Commuting to campus (driving, walking,	FY	3	-3%	
	etc.)	UD	2		etc.)	SR	2	0%	

9/22/14