

SOAR most common constructive criticism

For each of our internal funding competitions, faculty whose proposals were not funded receive feedback in an effort to help strengthen their future submissions. The following are the most common constructive criticisms for the SOAR competition over the last two years.

- The committee would have liked to see more detail in the merit and impact sections, as well as a more detailed action plan.
- The committee felt that the critical need for the program was not sufficiently established. They felt that the proposal should demonstrate the need with specific data.
- One of the required elements of an application for this program is documentation of evidence of contact with a program official from the target organization. This was missing.
- Proposals should be organized according to the requested headings, including a clearly stated project summary, and explicit statements of the project's merit and impact.