

Services and Activities Fee Committee
Minutes
March 13, 2021

Called to order:

Christian Castilleja called the meeting to order at 10:03 a.m.

Attendance:

Aubrey Heim, Björn Pellmyr, Brandon Wear-Grimm, Christian Castilleja, Deanna Corsilles, Gregg Schlanger, Jessica Thomas, Joseph Bryant, Josh Hibbard, Lacy Lampkins, Masina Ieremia, Sean Dahlin, Terry Wilson, Zoe Brown

Agenda:

MOTION: Jessica Thomas made a motion to approve the agenda. Masina Ieremia seconded. Motion carried.

Minutes:

MOTION: Björn Pellmyr made a motion to approve the minutes of March 10, 2021. Brandon Wear-Grimm seconded. Motion carried.

Reports:

Chair – Don't worry about the "what if's. Focus on if we should fund the request based on our policies.

ASCWU – I added the PowerBI document in the Teams, it shouldn't influence our decisions a lot. The intent is to use it as a tool going into the future. I have the PowerBI pulled up, so I can show it if we want to see it.

Today is going to be a different day. We understand as students that we need to push back. Don't fear today. Don't fear other people's opinions, or other people's reactions. Be proud to be here and have the courage needed. You put in the time to learn what others didn't. Take action. We agreed we wanted to steward this money in an inclusive, accessible, and equitable fashion. Equity will never come without challenges or pushback. Action destroys fear. I am proud of you. I see you, I support you, and I will follow you throughout my time with ASCWU. As we move forward, we will overcome any challenge or obstacle. I know I will be able to leave with a smile on my face because we took action with our own values in mind and we did it together. I hope we all can because we made decisions not influenced by anyone else, but on our own accord.

Advisors – We are allocating \$7.4 million. We will start with the areas we did not get to cover on Wednesday. These are "fund at a lower level" and "split". I put the PowerBI PDF document in Teams.

To clarify, the \$7.4 million is for the quadrennium? Correct, that is for each year.

Do we have the ability to change the webinar to gallery view? Yes.

The advisor role is to provide guidance related to policies, procedures, and the law. We will also provide context related to historical funding and current dynamics. I may add things to consider, but I don't have an opinion one way or another. There are things you need to be aware of. This is one of the most important committees on campus. There is a lot of buy-in from different areas. These are tough decisions. I will stress the student voice. We appreciate the feedback from staff and faculty, but the committee is intended to have student voice. It is important for students to speak and share their opinions. It is important that the student voice is heard and you all need to be mindful to participate in the discussion and vote if there is not a conflict of interest. I appreciate the abstaining from the faculty. Abstaining for students means you have a conflict of interest, or you do not have enough information to vote. It is important that the students vote yes or no on things. On Wednesday, we had a vote with a lot of abstentions. That is concerning. I will push on that throughout the day. Be mindful of the time we have here. Answer the questions and give your opinion, but don't repeat yourself. Share your perspective, and then allow others to share, and then we vote. I don't want us to go around in circles. There will be times with strong opinions on both sides and we do not need to go back and forth.

Our authority is to support student activities and programs and provide recommendations up to the Board of Trustees. This can include co-curricular and extracurricular activities. You have access to all of the documents and you have been trained on this. If something that comes up is not allowable, we will be up front about that. S&A funding is intended to support all of our students. None of these programs should deny access to students. Not every student will utilize every program. You will determine if you see value in the program. Be cautious that you are not using blanket statements. Some of these have many similarities, but they are also very different and unique. We do need to look at each individually. We will delve into the survey you completed for the "fund at a lower level" and the "split" groups. Until we get to the end of the day, nothing is final.

Public comment will be in the Q&A.

We will manage the Q&A as best as possible. The structure of the zoom meeting is set. Feel free to use the Q&A for any comments.

Other Business: Communications Received

The committee members have received all communications emailed to us. Given that this is a special meeting and there is a high number of communications, we will not read them aloud.

Public Comment:

Public comments can be added to the Q&A chat. The committee can see them and read them.

Committee members can see the attendees. All communications have been shared with the full committee.

Quorum means having a majority of voting members. We have 13 voting member positions.

We do not have a link to the funding spreadsheet because it is a working document. It will be posted on the website once the committee has finalized the information.

Given the setup of deliberations, and that these are special meetings, the public comment is via writing in the Q&A or through communications received ahead of time. We appreciate the committee looking through and reading the public comments.

The committee has nine student representatives.

ASCWU put out a survey for the whole student body for student voice and feedback, and we have feedback through this public comment. The committee has spent the whole quarter listening to requests with public comment on all of these. The committee role now is to deliberate. Their recommendation goes up to PBAC who will review and vote on it as well. Then it goes up to the President and then the Board of Trustees.

We do have one video we have received.

This is a chance to share public comment and for the committee to have this consideration. You can continue to provide feedback to the committee. All meetings are public and open meetings. Nothing has been cut at this point. There is discussion, but nothing has been finalized. The committee does need to spend a considerable amount of time to discuss funding; this is what the majority of the day will be on. The committee can see and is reading through all of these. We are responding to the questions as the advisors.

MOTION: Yunus Timurtas made a motion to watch the video sent to the committee as public comment. Björn Pellmyr seconded. Motion carried.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6nmt61YvZB4>

We have been working on this committee since September. We are working to make sure students are heard. Brandon works hard to make sure the student voices are heard. Some of you may be mad or happy about our decisions. These are not all Brandon's decisions. There are more than 10 of us. Don't judge someone based on what you see on video.

Old Business:

A. Base Funding FY22-25

i. Deliberation on Requests

Music Department – The range of the Music Department is limited. There are a total of 750 students over 34 ensembles. The Music Department has around 300 students. A lot of students are not majors. As an out of state student – the Music Department came on my radar when I was looking for schools. It is

important to support student travel, even if not in full. Music does a good job – it is one of the best programs in the state. Students look at sports, music, and art when choosing a university. I appreciate everyone speaking up. I want to look at the scope of this request versus the scope of S&A. This request is just for travel for ensembles. S&A is for the greater student body. Why fund the Music Department instead of other departments? The Music Department is funded through tuition. Do we want to double charge students for academic areas? We need to force the conversation with the University. This would force the University to discuss if this ensemble travel is essential to the University. The money is there, and if not, it can come back to us and we can discuss it more. Is it right to have the students pay twice for an academic department, and not do the same for others? Is this the right way to spend S&A dollars? Does it align with our mission, vision and values? Not funding this would push the conversation. I will say the same for all academically tied requests. S&A covers co-curricular and extracurricular activities. This is not tied to a course credit, but is an educational opportunity. The way that funding is distributed in CAH is on a student demographic basis. The Music Department is funded for their number of students. I think it is appropriate to provide aid for co-curricular activities. We need to have more funding from the University for these departments. That is important and not happening to the extent that we need it. I am hesitant to completely defund the travel for these students. You can audition for ensembles if you are not a music major. There is limited accessibility for students, and students are getting double charged. Do not use the academic category broadly. We do not have control over academic funding. Some colleges have extra money and some do not. S&A does have a reserve fund that can only be spent on certain things. In the Killian, these funds are for extracurricular or co-curricular activities. Our decision would be to continue funding, or not, and at what level, with no influence over other actions that may take place. We pay tuition that goes to the academic side. We also pay fees that go to specific programs. Tuition is to fund academics, and if we use student fees then two sources of money would go to Music. Are all students okay with that? Is it right to double charge students for ensemble travel? Nothing will change unless we force the conversation. We need to create positive and equitable change. I'm not saying we don't support music/travel. I don't think it should be funded through S&A. We can make sure that student money is being used in an equitable and fair fashion. If they say no, it comes back to us and we can discuss again if it should be base funded or supplemental. It should be funded in a way that is fair to the greater student body. We create change by forcing the University to address inequities. That won't happen unless we take action. Would it be okay through supplemental, maybe one big supplemental request? It can come back to us as supplemental requests. Those are the two funding options – base and supplemental. With supplemental, as a reminder, we were previously in favor of delegating funding down to different departments to be managed because it was

hard to manage all of the requests. Yes, academic colleges are funded from various sources, one of which is tuition. State funding can be more broad, but is intended to fund activities in the classroom. This funding is intended to be outside of the classroom. It was stated that S&A is the only source of funding for travel. Is there any other source of funding this could come from? That is complicated. Each situation can potentially have other funding options. I cannot say 100%. Historically, this is where the option has been for the Music program. If there is not a motion, we will move on to the next topic. If you want to move the category, there would need to be a motion. If it were manageable for the supplemental requests coming in, then asking for supplemental funding would be a good way to go. Then they can still ask for funding. Student travel is outside of the classroom, but is connected to these ensembles and it may be best for them to request supplemental. This was historically funded through supplemental requests. The committee requested they do base funding based on the volume of requests. I don't know if that was the right choice for S&A, when they could have sought funding elsewhere. They can group this into a larger supplemental request. I think it is important to recommend no on this funding so the university has the conversation about if this is important to them. It would be appropriate for supplemental. How can we ensure that the university will have the conversations we want them to have? I would worry about that. We need people to go and represent that and talk to the university. That would be great but I worry that would not happen the way we want it to. The only part we have any direct say in is our funding. There is not a guarantee of funding beyond us. Ensembles are not all music majors. This discussion is just on the travel for music, marching band and pep band are separate. Be mindful that we are not restating things. Provide additional information, but don't reiterate what has already been said. At the presentation to PBAC, there are decisions at the university level that need to be made. The whole university has been in a financial crisis from COVID. I will continue to advise you to do the best you can with this funding source. Focus on this fee and the limitations it has. With supplemental funding, did that create a problem for the committee? Yes, it does take up a considerable amount of time. The supplemental process is very similar to base funding in timing. Music requests were often a big number expense that had to go up to the BOT. We have seen a higher number of supplemental requests in general over the last 3-4 years.

MOTION: Björn Pellmyr made a motion to fund the Music Department at \$63,000. There is no second. Motion dies.

MOTION: Masina Ieremia made a motion to fund the Music Department at \$46,000. Björn Pellmyr seconds. Motion fails.

Wildcat Films – Thank you to student media, I respect what you do. I have never heard of Wildcat Films. This is also a class that students get credit for. I do not support S&A funding for this. In the S&A survey that was sent out to students, a majority of students did not deem this essential. The mass majority of students were not aware of the program, or have not used Wildcat Films. It is low impact for the campus community as a whole. It is co-curricular and an incredible opportunity for students in the film department. Wildcat Films collaborates with the campus and community as a whole. There are individuals that have had a strong impact from these programs. Some programs will directly impact small populations rather than the whole campus. It is up to you all to determine the value of these programs. This last quadrennium was their first time getting funding, they are just getting off the ground. We may want to give them more time to establish their foothold. The increase in the request is to fund a fulltime employee. We have set the precedence with music of not funding academic programs. Do we think this impact is worth the S&A funding? We do support the program, but the majority of students don't utilize it. Students are paying for the credits. Why would we use the student money for the fulltime employee? I don't support that.

We will have time at the end to review these decisions and can adjust as needed.

The committee took a 5-minute break.

PULSE and The Observer – A majority of the requested increase is to go to a full-time position. That is not where our money should go. We should have a line between academics and student life. The students have to be a part of the classes. Their reasoning for the position funding was “you don't know unless you ask,” which I don't think is enough. I want to keep the amount the same. This is not a faculty position - it is staff. The rationale for the increase was the position is 100% supporting these departments. It is currently partially supported by the Observer. The requests by Wildcat Films, PULSE, The Observer, and CNW are parts of a whole that would pay for the advisor. All four of those areas are requesting an increase, not a salary increase, just redistributing the salary cost over the four programs. 20% comes from The Observer already. There is tremendous value to students and this is amplifying the student voice. The benefit of the coordinator is worth considering, even though it is a staff position. S&A wouldn't run without the professional staff. Just because it is staff, doesn't mean it shouldn't be covered by S&A funds.

MOTION: Yunus Timurtas made a motion to fund PULSE and The Observer at their previous allocations. Björn Pellmyr seconded. Motion carried.

Westside Student Life – This is a substantial increase. A lot of the Westside students from the survey have not utilized Westside student life. The amount requested is a lot. Westside students are CWU students. Ashley is an elected official from the Westside and she does a great job for those students. This request is a lot, but the FY21 budget is low. We want to make sure all student voices are heard. I want them to have the resources that we have. For educational equity, center campuses have been traditionally underrepresented and ignored. I think investing in Westside Student Life is important. Student Life is one of the most important aspects of a university. They want to hire staff to fully support online students. The increase would help students. Do we truly believe this much of an increase would make the Westside student life better? They are asking for three new positions to support different campuses and increase engagement. Lots of international students are in those campuses. The amount they are asking may be too much, but I want the students to have resources. I think it is important that Westside students have a strong student life center, they aren't as immediately connected to the resources we have here. Funding them would make it possible to give them the number of resources we have here. You all have the ability to set an amount. The increase is for three new positions. You can set the funding and have them figure out staffing. The request is for Westside, Eastside and online. I would like to know Westside student opinions on this. They did highlight a survey with Westside student requests for more advising, career services, etc. Students at the centers often have different backgrounds and need different supports. These positions would identify the needs of these students and set up the appropriate programming and services.

MOTION: Yunus Timurtas made a motion to fund Westside Student Life at \$450,000. Björn Pellmyr seconded. Motion carried.

Recreation – For Camp CWOO, what is the impact on campus? Many students did not know what it was. Is this something S&A should be funding? The camp also generates income. Does this impact the student experience? The S&A allocation is \$17,000 out of a \$170,000 budget. This is a summer camp that is facilitated and run by the Rec Center student employment. It is for college students with children, and other community members. It is student employment and also childcare. S&A had funded this from the beginning. This has been flat funded since 2006. The committee supported the program. \$15,300 of the budget is from revenues. Students get discounted rates. The majority of the funding is for student payroll, with some goods and services. Brandon, did you work at the camp? No that was ELP, which is for first year students. Do we think this should be funded through S&A? If we don't have any reasoning to fund this less, then it should be funded the full amount. Funding is for the student staff training and is an opportunity for the students. I don't think S&A should fund Camp CWOO. Sports clubs and IM sports also gets funds from the students. They have their own

self-support funding. I don't want Camp CWOO to be the only reason we decrease funding. It is important to take in all the parts of the request. OPR serves the community in addition to students. They are requesting less money than last year. If we think this should be funded, then I think it should be moved to fully fund. If we don't think some of these things can be funded then we need a dollar amount.

MOTION: Brandon Wear-Grimm made a motion to fund Recreation at the requested amount. Masina Ieremia seconded. Motion carried.

Campus Activities – This is important for student engagement. This impacts the entire campus. Please provide context for why you voted to fund at a lower amount, if you did so. This is how students stay engaged online. For the upcoming years, the funding will be different because it will be partially online. I think we should fully fund them. They have a significant rollover that will return to S&A from the inability to have in-person events. Based on the survey, about half of the students did not use this program. It is important to know what campus activities is doing to engage students. There is a fulltime position funded out of a different funding source. The carryforward may not all come back to the committee. The position is funded out of the SUB fee. We had furloughs and some positions were not funded for summer. Some of the excess funding will not roll back to the committee. The majority of it would. If we leave it at the current funding level, it would mean less events.

MOTION: Yunus Timurtas made a motion to fund Campus Activities at their previous allocation. Björn Pellmyr seconded.

Discussion: I think it should be fully funded. Can I suggest an amendment to fully fund the amount? Amendment declined. We can use the increase in the request to fund another department. There is not a big difference in the funding. Can they use supplemental funding? Yes, they can request supplemental funding or can request to keep the carryforward. Carry forward requests will be on the spring S&A agendas.

Motion carried.

The Community Garden – I think this should be fully funded so that they can have a student staff. This is an important move towards sustainability.

MOTION: Björn Pellmyr made a motion to fund the Community Garden at the requested amount. Yunus Timurtas seconded. Motion carried.

KCWU – Brandon went over the survey results. Students see this as an essential service. Please voice why you think it should be funded at lower than requested. They are doing a good job, especially with the elections. Not many students have used this. I think we should keep it at the same amount. They haven't been connecting with all students. I am concerned with the reach. They have tremendous outreach as a radio station to the greater community, which serves the university and the students running it. What is the concern with first amendment rights? KCWU is similar, but separate from the student media outlets. In order to provide 1st amendment rights, they need to be able to have their content. They cannot be censored based on their content. They need the funding or they do not have the platform for student voice. The reason for the increase is for music licensing costs and staffing costs from increases in healthcare costs/benefits. I feel we should fully fund this, because the radio programs cost a lot of money due to federal requirements on the airwaves. It is important for students to connect to the radio. This is very accessible and they are willing to train people. I don't think most campuses have that. Is there a way to decrease the music rights expenses? COVID-19 affected all departments. I would like to keep the budget the same, because there should be a way to decrease music rights expenses. Staffing cost increases are mandated. I do worry about the rising cost of music royalties. Music may be less important than student employment. People hear this music and it is worthwhile because people tune into it and hear about KCWU.

MOTION: Yunus Timurtas made a motion to fund KCWU at their previous allocation. Björn Pellmyr seconded.

Discussion: The increase is due to costs they can't control. I think we should consider fully funding the requested amount. The music rights are for all music that occurs on the campus.

Motion fails.

MOTION: Masina Ieremia made a motion to fund KCWU at the requested amount. Björn Pellmyr seconded. Motion carried.

I4IE – I don't think we should be funding things tied to academic departments.

MOTION: Brandon Wear-Grimm made a motion to not fund I4IE. Masina Ieremia seconded.

Discussion: Why would we not fund? We support this but I don't think it is appropriate to fund through S&A.

Motion carried.

Marching Band – The paid students are enrolled in courses and are getting class credit. Every student must enroll in the class. The leadership team is doing work beyond the credit hours. This is very academically tied. For the student positions, the funding only goes in after they have completed their credit hours. Only the leadership members are paid. If positions are tied to the teaching of the course, that would not be allowable. Funding is appropriate for funding outside of the classroom. What about the non-student payroll, and how that is associated with the academic course/credit? Those wages would be a concern for the appropriateness of the funding. Marching band does fall under S&A allowable funding, and is time honored. The question that comes up is where this intersects with the credits. I feel that funding the students is co-curricular. I worry about the non-student payroll. This is a faculty director. The request includes three percussion instructor salaries. The committee can approve requests for allowable S&A expenses.

MOTION: Yunus Timurtas made a motion to fund the Marching Band at the requested amount. There was no second. The motion died.

MOTION: Björn Pellmyr made a motion to fund the Marching Band at the amount required for student payroll and goods and services (\$43,330). Masina Ieremia seconded.

Discussion: Does the student payroll raise any concerns? Student wages are allowable. Non-student and faculty is questionable. My concern was for the non-student paid positions.

Motion carried.

Sarah Spurgeon Art Gallery – Please share the justification for why you think this should be funded at a lower amount. This is highly utilized by art students, but not the whole student population. I support funding at the same amount. It is important to bring opportunities to experience art to students. It is important to me and many of the students I have talked to. I would be in support of funding less than requested, since it is a high amount, but more than the last cycle. The increase was for a pro staff position, correct? It was because non-student payroll went up due to the cost of living. They wanted to hire more students and increase goods & services to bring in a higher caliber of artists. Do we think the increase will help them serve the students in a better way or be more impactful? Should we think about this as potential supplemental funding in the future? I support it, but don't think the increase should be base funded. You can make the decision to allocate a specific amount and put in there that they can come forward for supplemental to request more goods and services.

MOTION: Brandon Wear-Grimm made a motion to fund The Sarah Spurgeon Art Gallery at their previous allocation. Jessica Thomas seconded.

Discussion: If we do base funding, can they still ask for supplemental as well?
Yes, we do not deny requests unless it is not an allowable expense.

Motion carried.

MOTION: Yunus Timurtas made a motion to adjourn for five minutes. Masina Ieremia seconded. Motion carried.

The committee took a five-minute break.

Career Services Peer Advisors – This is important for student success, and I would like to fully fund it. Is this for the Westside? No this is the peer advisors. These are student positions. I encourage these positions to move beyond Ellensburg. These positions are not mandated, so it may be good to keep funding this one. This is an addition to a staple service. They should increase the program through the funding they already have. We could consider supplemental funding for next year while they pursue state funding. If not funded by S&A, where might funding be available for peer advisors? If this were to be defunded or reduced, the student jobs would be reduced. We do not have any additional funding to support this. I don't want to risk the student jobs and the services they provide. I don't want students to worry about losing their jobs. I would like to support as many student jobs as we can. I understand why we want the university to support it, but base funding would secure the jobs for longer.

MOTION: Björn Pellmyr made a motion to fund Career Services Peer Advisors at the requested amount. Masina Ieremia seconded. Motion failed.

MOTION: Björn Pellmyr made a motion to fund Career Services Peer Advisors at half of the requested amount. Masina Ieremia seconded. Motion failed.

MOTION: Brandon Wear-Grimm made a motion to not fund Career Services Peer Advisors. Jessica Thomas seconded. Motion carried.

Central News Watch – I have the same reasoning for the Observer and PULSE. The student funding is connected to a class, but it is co-curricular wages. Their increase had three separate parts: adding the non-student position, increases for two new student positions, and goods and services for additional equipment and a set. From the survey results, most students have never used this service. This is similar to Wildcat Films. Should this be base or supplemental funding? Supplemental is good for one-time purchases like equipment. I support funding

for the student positions, but I am not supportive of the non-student payroll. This is a great experience for students. The goods and services could be rerouted to supplemental. I believe this is important for the students' education. It is a good program and helps us stay informed. They are doing a great job with limited people. There is a big increase in the request. Do we believe we should fund everything but the non-student payroll?

MOTION: Yunus Timurtas made a motion to fund Central News Watch for \$30,842. There was no second. Motion died.

MOTION: Jessica Thomas made a motion to not fund Central News Watch. Zoe Brown seconded.

Discussion: I do see an impact and there is student payroll. The goods and services is a one-off that could be a supplemental request. I am not supporting the non-student payroll.

Motion failed.

MOTION: Björn Pellmyr made a motion to fund Central News Watch at the amount needed for student payroll and benefits (\$18,615). Brandon Wear-Grimm seconded. Motion carried.

College of Business: Accounting Department – This funding is for the WRDS database. This is tied to academics and should not be funded. This would be useful for investors, and less of a benefit for students.

MOTION: Yunus Timurtas made a motion to not fund The College of Business Accounting Department. Brandon Wear-Grimm seconded. Motion carried.

ECLC – This is important for students and parents. The rates have stayed the same for a while because of S&A funding. It would be important to fund this to keep rates manageable. They are serving a lot of faculty and staff and have a long waitlist. They do charge faculty and staff, but students aren't necessarily a priority, so it made me question funding it with student dollars. The infant/toddlers groups are 60% student-parents. In ECLC each room is a little different. In the 2-5 year old groups, it is 50% student-parents. This is a hard one because the feedback from those that use the service is that it is absolutely essential. That does tend to be a limited number. We don't know if the rates will increase if funding is decreased. We should fully fund this – it is expensive but it makes our campus better and more accessible for students from all walks of life. If we decrease the funding, I am worried about rates increasing for students. We need to prioritize student parents more.

MOTION: Brandon Wear-Grimm made a motion to fund ECLC at the requested amount. Masina Ieremia seconded. Motion carried.

Homecoming – I don't think we should fund the full amount. We didn't have one this year. It will be hard to have one next year as well. Students will be hesitant to be in person. I would like to fund something for an online event. Homecoming is not seen as a priority or an essential campus impact. It may be important to have that campus bonding and community. They do have a significant rollover. There is the opportunity to reduce their funding and let them keep their rollover. Homecoming is designed for freshman and I don't see benefits for transfer or ongoing students. It should have more of a benefit for all of the students. This has been funded for 15 years for roughly the same amount. Student needs are changing. Student appreciation is a bigger event. The need for homecoming is not as great anymore.

MOTION: Brandon Wear-Grimm made a motion to not fund Homecoming. Yunus Timurtas seconded.

Discussion: They have been historically funded. This year is different and many things are changing.

Motion carried.

Lion Rock Visiting Writers Series – These series are really well done. I don't know the spread of majors, but they bring in authors and it is really personal and a wonderful opportunity. This is not restricted to English majors, lots of people attend. It is a great way to promote the arts on campus and virtually. Is there any non-student payroll? This is really for speakers. The speaker fees are included on the payroll lines in the request. We would normally see it as a goods and services request.

MOTION: Björn Pellmyr made a motion to fund the Lion Rock Visiting Writers Series at the requested amount. Masina Ieremia seconded. Motion carried.

Manastash – This is closely related to a department. I think it is valuable for students to share their work. I would like to fully support this. Can you elaborate on the differences for academic departments? There is no credit tied to this, but it is in the academic world. It is advised by faculty and housed by the English Department. There are submissions from all of the colleges and it is not tied to a course. Historically, this has been funded since before my records. This is not a large dollar figure, and is for printing costs. They accept submissions from all over the University and amplify the student voice. This is not too closely tied to the department. The funding is just going to printing costs. Even though there is a

faculty advisor, it is extracurricular and should be funded. Some programs are more open and accessible to the campus community than others. Those that are more restrictive are where I draw my line.

MOTION: Brandon Wear-Grimm made a motion to fund Manastash at the requested amount. Björn Pellmyr seconded. Motion carried.

Pep Band – The grad-assistant should not be paid, they already get a stipend. This one is not tied to a course. Marching band has a rollover of \$51,500 so if we can approve them to keep the rollover, they could run for over 2 years on that. The non-student payroll would be more. I think it is fair for students to be paid for their work and the hours they put in at the games. The grad assistant is not paid for the basketball band. I am concerned about the \$23,000 for non-student payroll. That was for the marching band. The majority of this funding goes to student payroll, with a small amount for goods and services. The goods and services is for binders, book supplies, and licensing. That could be easily passed in supplemental. It could be manageable either way. The supplemental process is slow, so that could hinder performances, especially for music rights. Unless there is a concern about funding the goods and services, it is a small amount out of a large budget.

MOTION: Masina Ieremia made a motion to fund Pep Band at the requested amount. Björn Pellmyr seconded. Motion carried.

Randall Hall Building Monitors – This is worthwhile because the building monitors make sure students have access to the services in Randall Hall. My concern is that it doesn't serve students who are not a part of an art class. This could be more of a supplemental request. This is for safety and security of students. We recognize the need for this, but it should be taken up with the university. Safety of students does not seem like the realm of S&A. I recognize the need and support a supplemental request. They are there to make sure there is access to the building and specific studios, and provide the ability to check out equipment. This does not seem like the S&A scope. I would feel better if there was more access or other services for students to use in the building. This does not seem like an S&A thing. Supplemental funding would be good for this.

MOTION: Brandon Wear-Grimm made a motion to not fund the Randall Hall Building Monitors. Masina Ieremia seconded. Motion carried.

Student Financial Services – This department is funded through state/tuition dollars. If this is a need, they need to adjust their funding for it. I support it, but I don't think it should be through S&A. SFS is actually the Financial Literacy

Resource Center. In an ideal world, this would be covered under the same budget. 40% of CWU students are eligible for a Washington college grant. Students are having a lot of financial anxieties. Having financial services available for students is really important.

MOTION: Björn Pellmyr made a motion to fund Student Financial Services for the requested amount. Masina Ieremia seconded.

Discussion: What did we do with the peer advisors? We did not fund. Did we do supplemental? These are two very different requests. The peer advisors are all student wages. This is employee wages, start up costs, and goods and services.

Motion failed.

MOTION: Björn Pellmyr made a motion to fund Student Financial Services for half of the request, less the goods and services. There was no second. Motion died.

This is a new funding request. We have not funded this before and it does not currently exist. That does make it difficult to do partial funding.

MOTION: Jessica Thomas made a motion to not fund Student Financial Services. Yunus Timurtas seconded. Motion carried.

Theatre Arts – S&A has been funding this program since 2006 to lower student ticket costs. This is to help subsidize tickets and expose students to theatre, which is within our purview. It is an important aspect of Central's campus and the exposure to theatre arts is important for growth and is fun for students to bond around. This would be to lower the cost of tickets, and for student payroll. The increase in the request is minimal from the increase in minimum wage. Part of the request is for travel for an annual conference. We need to think about that. It is great to subsidize student tickets. When it comes to the travel, I think we need to draw the line to be equitable.

MOTION: Brandon Wear-Grimm made a motion to fund Theatre Arts at the requested amount without the goods and services (\$55,737). Jessica Thomas seconded.

Discussion: Goods and services includes the ticket subsidy.

Motion carried.

WSRN – There is a bit of redundancy with this and the sports commentator role that is in the other student media. WSRN funding is for travel. This was split across all four categories on the survey. WSRN does the broadcast stream and

play-by-play. This is not just travel expenses, but paying for the student positions. They do have some anticipated rollover, sufficient to cover them for a year. Unless they request to keep their rollover, it would roll back. Roll over may be due to the lack of games currently.

MOTION: Masina Ieremia made a motion to fund WSRN for the requested amount. Björn Pellmyr seconded. Motion carried.

We are currently \$4,000 over budget. Can we go into the supplemental reserves? We need to net 0 to take this to PBAC. Is this where we start getting into the weeds? Is there any specific areas we should highlight or look at? What was campus activities funded at? At the FY21 allocation. Westside Student Life? Was at \$450,000. I feel like Campus Activities has done a good job at reaching other students during the pandemic and it would be good to get them closer to the full ask. I also think it could be useful to go to West Side Student Life as there is obviously a larger gap between their ask and what we gave. We are \$4,000 over, not under.

Admin Fee – They increased the request this year. Can that one be reduced? This is a substantial increase from the current funding. They had requested more in the previous funding cycle. They process the backend of everything we do. It is positions and the work to get payroll, contracts, IT, etc. \$4,000 is a small amount to adjust. We have the option to send it with the discrepancy of \$4,000, and I can still push it forward for approval.

MOTION: Brandon Wear-Grimm made a motion to fund the Admin Fee at \$4,144 less than requested (\$450,601). Jessica Thomas seconded. Motion carried.

MOTION: Brandon Wear-Grimm made a motion to have a five-minute break. Masina Ieremia seconded. Motion carried.

The committee took a five-minute break.

ii. Base Funding Recommendations – Voting

Please carefully look over the document and make sure it is accurate to what we have discussed. We will then make a motion.

For the Wellness Center, it is a concern that the services are mandatory, but the position is not. My worst fear is it would pass PBAC and actions would be taken to maintain compliance but would decrease the quality of services to those that have experienced sexual and power based violence on campus. I am not willing to take that risk. I think it

should be a supplemental request, but we need to force the conversation at the university level. This is already being covered by another position.

Who will be speaking with the university? Lacy, Joey, ASCWU, and Dean Heinselmann. The conversation is beginning at the PBAC level and potentially all the way up to the Board of Trustees. It can be very difficult to fund a position out of the supplemental budget, it has to go up to the Board of Trustees for approval. I would advise not to fund positions out of the supplemental budget due to complicated pieces. It is a possibility still. PBAC and BoT are public meetings.

I share the same concerns with Björn. If there are other avenues that can be pursued, then I think not funding it is the right choice.

MOTION: Brandon Wear-Grimm made a motion to allocate the S&A base funding for FY22-FY25 as presented (see Addendum 1). Masina Ieremia seconded. Motion carried.

New Business:

None.

Public Comment

I appreciate you all taking the time for this deliberation. These are hefty decisions that impact a lot of students and student services. This was a big commitment the weekend before finals and throughout the quarter. Good luck with finals.

Thank you for all that you have done and I hope you are all proud of the work you have done. You did great and it is an impressive thing to be proud of. We are scheduled to take allocations to PBAC on March 23. You are welcome to join, and I would love to have the full support of the committee. I will be in communication with you all moving forward. PBAC is March 23 at 3:30. I will forward on the invite.

Thank you to Frank for sitting with us on a Saturday.

I want to commend everyone who stepped up, and I am happy to see 130 people show up to this meeting to voice their concerns. My email is open to all of you. I want to hear from you. My zoom room will be open to you all and my email is pelmyrb@cwu.edu.

Thank you to everyone. This was a great learning experience for me. Shout out to Joey, Lacy, and Brandon for meeting with me. I appreciate working with you all.

These meetings are open. We have the link, agendas, minutes, and recordings on the S&A website.

Good job everyone. These are not the easiest things to do. We stuck to our convictions and that is all we can do. I am proud of you all.

Adjournment:

MOTION: Masina Ieremia made a motion to adjourn. Björn Pellmyr seconded. Motion carried. Meeting adjourned at 4:31 p.m.

Schedule for Next Meeting:

The next meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, March 31, 2021, online starting at 5:30 p.m.

PENDING PBAC & BOT APPROVAL
FY22 - Proposed S&A ALLOCATION

Updated 3/13/2021

Categories	Proposed Allocation	FY22 Amt	FY21 Current
Fully Fund			
ASCWU Board of Directors	233,783	233,783	233,783
ASCWU Club Senate	101,749	101,749	101,749
ASCWU Equity Services Council	63,196	63,196	63,196
ASCWU Legislative Affairs	32,090	32,090	32,090
ASCWU Student Academic Senate	43,320	43,320	43,320
ASCWU Student Life & Facilities	29,230	29,230	29,230
Career Services West Side Counselors	170,129	170,129	170,129
Center for Leadership & Community Engagement	528,976	528,976	521,148
Community Garden at the Wildcat Neighborhood Farm	12,270	12,270	12,270
Diversity and Equity Center (DEC)	543,689	543,689	530,543
Early Childhood Learning Center	595,164	595,164	595,164
KCWU	306,521	306,521	278,872
Lion Rock Visiting Writers Series/ English Department	3,000	3,000	
Manastash/English	5,186	5,186	5,186
Museum of Culture and Environment	14,795	14,795	7,550
Office for Student Involvement	148,801	148,801	148,801
Office of Case Management	170,363	170,363	149,701
Office of International Studies and Programs	15,000	15,000	10,400
Office of Undergraduate Research	54,850	54,850	55,622
Pep-Band/Music	21,783	21,783	21,783
Publicity Center / www.cwu.edu/publicity	264,884	264,884	264,884
Recreation Admin/OPR/IM/SC/CWOO	731,216	731,216	747,439
Scheduling Services	30,000	30,000	30,000
Student Funds Financial Manager	120,406	120,406	113,029
Student Union Operations	110,400	110,400	129,586
SUB Custodial	250,915	250,915	201,622
SURC Accounting	160,603	160,603	155,925
SURC Bond Payment	850,000	850,000	850,000
SURC Engineering	396,593	396,593	378,931
Veterans Center	48,242	48,242	48,242
WSRN - Wildcat Sports Radio Network	9,000	9,000	9,000
University Centers	63,404	63,404	63,404
Fully Fund Total	6,129,558	6,129,558	6,002,600
Fund but at a lower level			
Campus Activities	193,304	202,238	193,304
Central News Watch	18,615	45,026	10,400
CWU Administrative Fee	450,601	454,745	379,959
Marching Band	43,330	73,000	73,284
PULSE magazine	20,530	49,618	20,530
Sarah Spurgeon Gallery, Department of Art + Design	31,950	69,900	31,950
The Observer	56,375	75,957	56,375
Theatre Arts	55,737	70,000	68,857
Westside Student Life	450,000	600,722	257,606
Fund but at a lower level Total	1,320,442	1,641,206	1,092,264
Do not Fund			
Art + Design Interdisciplinary Lecture Series	-	17,899	
Career Services Peer Advisors	-	16,206	16,206
College of Business / Accounting Department	-	20,000	
College of Business Student Clubs & Events	-	50,000	
CWU Institute for innovation & Entrepreneurship (I4IE)	-	22,480	
Homecoming	-	47,880	47,880
Money Savvy Wildcats / Dept of Finance & Supply Chain Management	-	59,600	
Music Department	-	70,000	63,000
Randall Hall building monitors (Art + Design)	-	16,160	
Student Financial Services	-	120,807	
Wellness Center	-	68,915	68,477
Wildcat Films	-	47,629	19,985
Do not Fund Total	-	557,576	215,548
Grand Total	7,450,000	8,328,341	7,310,412