

Minutes

Faculty Senate Academic Affairs Committee
May 13, 2021 3:00—5:00 p.m.

1. Call meeting to order

Attendees:

2. Approval of April 22, 2021 minutes (In Meeting Folder)

Megan moved to approve the minutes.

Andy seconds.

Minutes are approved.

3. Chair Updates

- a. AAC20-21.01 Consider revising policy language about the option to make an anti-racist and/or a race and ethnicity graduation requirement for undergraduate students.

This is now under the purview of the ADI task force.

They will draft some guidelines over the summer for a graduation requirement (rather than GenEd).

Approval from FS to move forward. Much data has been gathered from surveys, etc. Likely that we will get a charge on this topic next year.

4. Old Business

- a. AAC20-21.12 Consider revisions to warning/probation/suspension policy/procedure and craft modifications of policy/procedure to reflect these revisions.
Goal: Discuss language crafted by sub-committee, revise and needed and send to EC.

The policy that came from Walter that was worked on earlier had a standing category that indicated that a student was in bad standing but had previously been in bad standing. Andy felt that this previous standing was problematic and might make it harder for students to get back on the right track.

Also developed a new category: "Academic Progress".

Here's the new policy in a nutshell

- Good standing is what it always was, and you have to be in good standing to graduate.
- 1 bad quarter and you go to Academic Warning.
- 1 good quarter and cumulative below, that's Academic Progress.
- 2 good quarters, and cumulative below, still Academic Progress.
- 2 bad quarters, Academic Probation.

Comments and discussion:

Gail: This is better you could do this and never have a cumulative GPA above 2.0. Also, would we be concerned about students bouncing back and forth.

Mike: how would CWU benefit by limiting the number of quarters that could be pingponged between the two.

Gail: If they are using up financial aid eligibility.

Discussion from the group: It would be fairly difficult to stay below cumulative 2.0 with several quarters above 2.0.

Discussion of 16 credit limit for Probation.

Discussion of Procedure

Who should place and remove the holds? Advisor or Student Success? Should it be the same for both?

What to do with a student when they come back? Should they be on Suspension, Warning, or Good Standing? We decided to put returning students in Warning and returning students with higher transfer GPAs above 2.0 in Progress.

Josh will take to Scott Carlton and/or Jason White for feedback. Vote at next meeting.

5. New Business

- a. Feedback on EP/EF question. (See subfolder)

EP/EF is not a permanent option so this will not affect many students.

Rose: not a great idea. A lot of schools are using it, pre-reqs have been built into systems including the AR report. Food science program has several courses that are gen ed courses. How do we distinguish EP/EF count for Gen ed or not for program?

This would undo the intent of the original policy.

This can be solved through advising.

7. Adjournment

Megan,

Eric

We are adjourned.

Next Meeting:

May 27, 2021