

**Budget & Planning Committee  
Minutes  
November 16, 2016**

Present: Ken Smith, Cathy Anderson, Kathy Temple, Aimée Quinn, Wendy Cook, Michael Young, Duane Dowd, Carey Gazis, George Drake and Sathy Rajendran

Absent: Cody Stoddard and Tim Englund

Guest(s): Katharine Frank and Joel Klucking

Meeting called to order at 11:34 p.m.

November 9, 2016 minutes - Sathy moved to approve the minutes as amended. Carey seconded. Minutes are approved as amended.

Committee discussion of the Core Values draft. The committee discussed the draft of the Core values document.

Discussed issues related to lowest cost way to meet the standard (i.e. is having a professor teach courses the best way to insure quality?) What are other mechanisms to achieve the best costs and efficiency?

At the budget forums the Provost discussed in the past how she had used different formulas for state dollars versus tuition funding. Is this a possible path?

We should investigate what are the top tasks to accomplish with our budget: Examples

- Program creation
- Institutional growth
- Faculty development
- Development

Kathy said the Provost emailed her and Aimée about what the committee would be talking about today. They indicated that they would be talking about the core values documents and the General Education budget.

Provost Frank & Joel Klucking – The committee reviewed the draft core values with the Provost and Joel. Joel indicated that we don't know what the state is going to do from year to year. Central needs to develop a stable internal funding model. Provost indicated that nothing on the core value draft is surprising. Provost Frank indicated that she sees this committee and Faculty Senate as being important in the budgeting process. The long stretch of implementation and the data has caused some confusion and angst on campus. She is trying to engage in this process to try and deal with this issue. There needs to be checks and balances in terms of the curriculum process and looking at impacts on other programs. Next quarter she will be taking all of the feedback received during fall quarter and looking at impacts potential changes might

have. There will be opportunities for additional feedback during winter quarter. Any RCM/ABB model is going to create some competition. However, it allows for these conversations and makes the process more transparent. This allows conversations on how to prioritize and what college's value are.

The committee talked with the Provost regarding the budget for General Education. Carey indicated there is currently nervousness on the redesign process on what budgeting will look like depending on what General Education model is approved. Some departments General Education offerings may drastically change and could result in losing faculty or possibly reshuffling of faculty. The Budget & Planning Committee would like to be part of the process in what the budget model might look like for General Education. Provost suggested the committee work with Joel on what the numbers currently are for the General Education program so that when the framework is approved the committee can look at what budget model might work for the redesigned General Education. The Provost indicated she is fine with the committee working with the budget model of General Education.

Meeting was adjourned at 12:51 p.m.