

Budget & Planning Committee
November 9, 2016
Minutes

Present: Cody Stoddard, Sathy Rajendran, Aimée Quinn, Carey Gazis, Cathy Anderson, George Drake, Ken Smith, Michael Young, Kathy Temple, Duane Dowd

Absent: Ian Loverro, Wendy Cook and Tim Englund

Guest(s): None

Meeting was called to order at 11:02 a.m.

Introduced Duane Dowd as chair-elect of ADCO.

Sathy moved to approve the November 2, 2016 minutes. Aimée seconded and minutes were approved as presented.

Core Values - Kathy handed out some of the ideas that have been going around in email. Are there big ideas we are missing? Carey suggested adding a value regarding graduate programs. Also a suggested to add something about a balance of staff, tenured, tenure-track and NTT faculty. National recognition (maybe that is a measure of quality). Accreditation, ranking. Small class sizes. Ken would like student/faculty interaction and leave small class size as more theoretical as it has budget implications. Sathy raised issues of staffing giving example of faculty workload increases as faculty doing more work due to lack of staff lines in some departments.

We value effective faculty/student interaction.

Cody questioned if RCM could push people to move forward with things with trends but not leading to student success. Misleading them to training them where there are no jobs.

Cody and Aimée tied into students who have graduated (alumni) to give feedback within the field, the communities, can inform your program. Building their resume or experiences while at Central.

Some of these ideas are being discussed through Gen Ed Redesign. Notion of broad goals and where these decisions are going to be made at college and/or department level. Leverage to make it more cost effective. Thresholds and then interaction component maintain or increase interaction all the while decreasing or keeping the cost the same.

Ken reported that at the Center meeting the Provost and Bernadette talked about needing to maintain quality and it needs to work for students. High filter for quality and that it works for students and then you dabble around the edges on program design and delivery. Other ways can make and generate funds (alumni).

Carey asked what happens when you have a department that is high quality but not profitable? Does RCM mean they have to become profitable? Duane expanded in the concept using music as an example.

Some programs look at disciplinary standards.

What about interdisciplinary? Having credits go with faculty and not prefix would help incentivize interdisciplinary teaching. There are issues with that in regards to Coaches and Advisors.

Will present these values to the Provost next week. The committee will need to word smith this week and present it on November 16th.

Kathy will send out an edited version of the core values. This will be sent to the Provost prior to the meeting next week.

What other things want to discuss with the Provost?

- Task Force recommendations survey of all faculty
- Survey of ADCO last year
- General Education Redesign and the role of this committee

Meet next week at 11:30 a.m. and then meet with the Provost at 12:00.

Meeting was adjourned at 12:00 p.m.