

**Bylaws & Faculty Code Committee
Draft Minutes
October 13, 2017**

Present: Lila Harper, Amy Claridge and Mary Radeke

Absent: Bret Smith (excused)

Guest(s): None

Meeting was called to order at 4:01 p.m.

Take off #3 chair-election from the agenda.

In September 29, 2017 minutes: change minutes to add Mary Radeke to present. Minutes were approved with correction.

Committee workload - Amy talked with the Executive Committee (EC) about this charge. The EC would like the committee to talk through the three options. Originally, they thought committee would change the range, rather than subsections for each committee workload. Suggestion was to extend the range in IV. 9.

Old language. Workload units for the positions of non-chair members of Senate committees are estimated at one to two (1-2) per academic year. When ratified committee members configure their workload plans, they should contact the Senate Office to determine a specific estimate for the upcoming year.

New language: Workload units for the positions of non-chair members of Senate committees are estimated at one to four (1-4) per academic year. The committee chair should work with the Senate Office to determine a specific estimate for the upcoming year and provide information to the committee members. In determining the workload units, consideration should be given to frequency of meetings and outside committee work.

Research Misconduct policy - scholarly misconduct outside of this policy (what would that be). Since this applies to graduate and undergraduate students have they worked with Student Success and should there be language the same as WACs. What about IRB? Maybe put in statement about undergraduate students & WACs for student conduct. Should faculty allegations go through the complaint process. (4) If this involves a faculty scholarly misconduct allegation, the RIO should go through the Faculty Senate complaint process (Faculty Code Section V.). Faculty Senate needs to be brought in if it is regarding faculty. If they are students then Dean of Student success would need to be involved. Question between scholarly misconduct and research misconduct. Not sure their definition of plagiarism is consistent with Central's plagiarism definition.

Lila will contact Cody about what this information is and have him communicate with Dean Archer.

Meeting was adjourned at 5:14 p.m.