Evaluation & Assessment Committee 1:00 – 3:00 p.m. Zoom

March 10, 2023 Minutes

Attendees	Present (Y/N)
Warren Plugge, (Chair)	Υ
Sara Toto	Υ
Nancy Pigeon	N
Francesco Somaini	Υ
Lidia Anderson	Υ
Maurice Blackson	N
Hope Amason	Υ

- 1. Approval of February 24, 2023 minutes 1:12pm
- 2. Approval of March 10th, 2023 agenda 1:10pm
- 3. EAC22-23.02 Review Survey Data over 350 responses
 - a. Response rate is low <50%, but the majority of students responding said they take SEOIs most of the time or always
 - 1. Possible that these students who completed the survey are the ones completing the SEOIs or there is social desirability effect present
 - Might be missing the students we need responses from those not responding
 - b. Quant and qual data pointing towards:
 - 1. General understanding and wanting to complete SEOIs to give opinions
 - 2. Timing of SEOIs is not ideal too busy, want to see final grade before taking SEOI
 - 3. Pop-ups seem to be split on being helpful vs. frustrating
 - 4. Students want to see/know how SEOIs are impacting teaching
 - c. General discussion
 - 1. Based on qual comments, it seems students have misunderstanding about SEOIs
 - 1. Lidia mentioned that there used to be more advertisement about SEOIs, but IS is just charged with administering
 - d. Main points from quant data
 - 1. Timing is not ideal too busy, want to see final grade
 - 2. SEOIs are not too long
 - 3. Pop-ups are helpful, but annoying
 - 4. Students do not know how SEOIs are used
 - e. Potential changes that could be made based on this data
 - 1. Allow the SEOIs to stay open for several additional days into finals week

- Suggested talking points/fact sheet to faculty to remind them of confidentiality of SEOIs, timing of SEOIs, give concrete examples of SEOIs, how do SEOIs impact a professor's job
 - 1. Could add this to a module to Canvas
 - 2. Could add something to MyCWU
 - 3. Lidia can update Canvas pop-up with this information; emails are also sent out and can add that information there
- f. Create a presentation of data to EC/FS addressing themes found in data present a few questions with descriptives and bar charts, highlighting suggestions, and address the initial charge
- 4. EAC22-23.04 Access to SEOIs
 - a. Consider policy/procedure as to who has access to SEOIs
 - 1. People will email Lidia asking for access to SEOIs and it's not clear if admin, like a Dean, need to sign off on this request
 - Not clear who Lidia can give that data to and what process needs to occur if this happens
 - 2. Chair has access to SEOIs of faculty in their department through F180
 - 1. Associate Deans, Deans, and Provost likely to have access as well
 - 3. Might be language in CBA as to who has access to SEOI
 - Could create policy use a Teams form or simply have a forwarded email with signatures/acknowledgement from Associate Dean/Dean/Provost that this request is valid
 - 1. Lidia will provide current list of who has access and EAC can help update the list audit
 - 2. No current auditing schedule should have a review process added into policy, e.g., quarterly
 - Policy to determine who has access Need to come up with language around this, creation of a form or required information needed if emailing request/approval – requester info, purpose of request, approval chain
 - d. Not sure where this would live Warren will check with Janet whether this is policy vs. faculty code
- 5. EAC22-23.05 Best Practices for Avoiding Bias
 - a. Review literature or have someone who studies this come talk with the committee
 - b. Francesco sent an email 11/18/22 and forwarded 3/10/23 with recent literature on bias in SEOIs
 - Review these resources and find additional literature to help generate suggestions, e.g., editing/strengthening the language currently used in handbooks or during review about reminders of bias in SEOIs
 - 2. CWUP 5-90-040(48), faculty senate evaluation of teaching documents, and personnel committee handbooks are where SEOIs are mentioned
 - 1. There is some language in CWUP about bias, but could be strengthened and could be included in other areas

- 2. CAH Diversity and Inclusivity committee created a statement that is much more extensive and COM department handbook language could be adapted to create a statement see email from Francesco 3/10/23
- 3. Propose adding/strengthening language about bias in SEOIs in the areas where SEOIs are discussed
- 6. EAC22-23.12 Access to data to review in Spring
 - a. Francesco will continue cleaning data from SEOI/pandemic survey
- 7. F180 Files update from UFC?
 - a. No updates
- 8. EC Updates (5 min)
 - a. Did receive concerns by some students about whether the SEOI text survey was a scam
 - b. Spring administrator survey charge might take up much of the EAC time towards the end of the quarter, review questions at beginning of quarter
- 9. Adjourn at 3:03pm