Evaluation & Assessment Committee 1:00 – 3:00 p.m. Zoom February 3, 2023 Minutes

Attendees	Present (Y/N)
Warren Plugge, (Chair)	Υ
Sara Toto	Υ
Nancy Pigeon	N
Francesco Somaini	Υ
Lidia Anderson	Υ
Maurice Blackson	Y
Hope Amason	Υ

- 1. Approval of January 27, 2023 minutes (5 min)
- 2. Approval of agenda at 1:06 pm
- 3. EAC22-23.02 Discuss and shorten SEOI evaluation response forms to present to EC
 - a. Concern that eliminating or combining questions may lead to reduced reliability and validity
 - Suggestion about surveying students about why they do or do not complete SEOIs – use registrar and potential SMS system to get students to complete the survey
 - 1. Contact ASCWU to see if there's been any survey/information on this already
 - 1. Have some students from ASCWU come to a meeting to look over a potential survey/get their thoughts on SEOI
 - 2. Give out a survey if no data on this, potential survey questions:
 - How often do you complete SEOIs? Likert scale response never rarely often always
 - Create a matrix with the response options below turned into statements and then use the strongly discourage to strongly encourage Likert scale response for all
 - What does or would encourage you to complete allow them to check all that apply plus other
 - 1. Potential response options: I want the university to hear my opinion, I want to let the instructor know they did a good job, extra credit?
 - 2. What does or would discourage you from completing allow them to check all that apply plus other
 - Potential response options: SEOIs are too long, they are offered at a time when I'm too busy to complete them, I want to let CWU know the instructor was not good, I want to let the

instructor know they did not do a good job, the timing of the SEOIs – it's offered at a time I cannot complete it, the SEOI is not open long enough, I don't understand how the information from the SEOI will be used, I don't know how the questions relate to me, Canvas popups

- 3. Strongly discourage, discourage, neutral, encourage, strongly encourage
- 4. Provide open end question at the end is there anything else you would like to add about SEO!?
- c. Suggestions to allow for SEOI to be conducted in the middle of the quarter to be able to make changes How are we doing rather than how did we do
 - 1. Lidia mentioned timing considerations for launching SEOIs
 - 1. Need to consider student withdrawal date and academic dishonesty policy
 - 2. Add/drop census has to have finished 10 business days
 - 3. Spreadsheet to depts within 1-2 weeks after census
 - Faculty have the right to choose the form they want & add questions – that takes time during quarter then 2 weeks for faculty to respond
 - 1. Changes to forms have to then be made manually
 - SEOI can't be sent out until week 7 would work well in semester system but not quarter system
 - 4. If the option to change form was not offered then that would reduce that 2 week time lag
- d. No incentive/benefit to students to take SEOI currently
 - Remove current policy and change policy for SEOI graded poll participate and get full credit and allow
 - CWUP 5-90-040(48)(A)5: policy on no incentives change this to SEOI as a graded poll in Canvas – required but no wrong answers – give points as long as you interact with the SEOI
 - 2. Need to see if SEOI completion is something that could be added to student responsibility or is something we need to consider adding
- e. They get pop-ups in Canvas and email reminders pop up goes away if they complete SEOI
- f. Invite Bisgard to our meeting since he was chair of EAC back in 2016 to see if he has any thoughts/context on the CWUP 5-90-040(48)(A)5 policy
- 4. EC Updates (5 min)
- 5. Francesco update on UFC Kara is relaying the concerns to the bargaining committee
- 6. Adjourn at 3:04 pm