

FACULTY SENATE BUDGET AND PLANNING COMMITTEE

NOVEMBER 16, 2022, MEETING

MINUTES

*Present: J. Johnson, M. Johnson, Easley, Rust, Samples, Wassell, Thompson, McMullin-Messier, Somaini, Fabry-Asztalos*

1. Approval of the Agenda. *Unanimously approved.*
2. Updates from PBAC/Budget Development Committee  
*Roxanne noted that there is no new news, but she asked Joel about tuition waiver policy and process. Joel replied that these are unclear since dissolution of the waivers committee. Mark reported from another meeting that the state funds staff raises at 66%, and that CWU has to make up the remainder. The President hopes to lobby the legislature to increase that percentage, in part because of the definition of represented and non-represented staff. Faculty are “unrepresented” because they do not bargain directly with the state. Jim J. added that there are also HR restrictions on classifying staff.*
3. BPC22–23.06 Consider providing recommendations about tuition waiver policies.
  - a. <https://www.cwu.edu/resources-reports/cwup-2-20-090-waiver-tuition-and-fees>
  - b. Tuition Waiver Report FY21
    - i. [FY21 Tuition Waivers-final.xlsx](#)
  - c. FY22 Tuition Waiver Budget
    - i. [FY22 Waiver Budget.xlsx](#)

*Jim J. raised the question about how tuition waivers are processed, and what the committee oversight structure is now. Levente asked that there be more transparency about the waivers. Questions were raised about whether waivers are multi-year or for the first year only, and how this might impact recruitment and retention. Some tuition waivers are state-mandated. There does not seem to be good data on the waiver offers, acceptance, and effect on retention. Mike raised the question of what has happened with college waivers. Roxanne reported that Joel said colleges still receive allotments (some \$13 million is tied up in waivers). Jim J., Mike, and Chad reported that waivers may still exist in the colleges, but the budgets are minimal. Mark would like to know whether the BPC (or CWU) has the capacity to study the budget effects of tuition waivers, and whether this was or should be one of the BDT’s strategies for dealing with budget shortfalls. Roxanne said she would raise the question with Joel.*

4. BPC22–23.04 Develop regular communication processes with college budget committees.  
*Jim J. asked what the BPC’s strategy should be for communicating with the college budget committees (which arose from RCM, but are now in code). Mark noted that FS needs a regular process for communication between the CBCs and the BPC. Maureen reported that to her knowledge eth Library does not have a CBC, though it appears that the academic colleges do. Jim J. would like to ask a faculty representative from each CBC to a future BPC meeting to coordinate and communicate.*

5. BPC22–23.03 Provide recommendations for a transparent budget process for the ASL non-college budget.

*There used to be a budget allocations committee for non-college budgets, but it is unclear what the process will be under the new model. Roxanne asked what the relationship of this process to the BDT's "light zero-based budgeting" questionnaire. The question arose as to the formulas used for allocating funds to the colleges is under the new budget model (just SCH?). Mark raised the issue of what "the ASL non-college budget" even means, given the recent separation of that division. The BPC decided that what we really want to know is how the non-instructional budget will be allocated. Roxanne said she will raise the question with Joel.*

5. New business/Concerns

*Adjourned at 11:05.*