

Faculty Senate Academic Affairs Committee

March 30, 2023

3:10—5:00 p.m.

Minutes

Zoom meeting: <https://cwu.zoom.us/j/81406177706?pwd=SGdQN3NnbEhldGFTWHdwbklPcTFKUT09>

This meeting's note taker is Bob Hickey

1. *Call meeting to order at 3:08*

a. *Present: Bob Hickey, Andy Piacsek, Melody Madlem, Josh Welsh, Wendy Cook, Rose Spodobalski-Brower, Peter Klosterman, Cody Stoddard, Eric Foch*

2. Changes to agenda: No changes.

3. Approval of [March 9 minutes](#). *Move Wendy Cook, second Eric Foch. Passed.*

4. Chair report

- Update on Disruptive Behavior (charge 4)
 - *Andy still working on a flowchart. He should have it for the next meeting.*
- *Andy getting feedback on Charge 7 – academic forgiveness.*

6. Old Business

- Charge 3: Student Account Holds (charge 3)
 - Review [latest spreadsheet from Registrar](#) (with Andy's annotation)
 - *Charge given too broad and beyond our purview as the AAC. Andy's spreadsheet narrows things down a bit. Specifically regarding academic holds, more specifically, who can set these holds.*
 - *Q1 – do we have jurisdiction over financial holds. We pretty much agreed that our jurisdiction is only the academic holds.*
 - *Q2 – policy language specifying how holds may be initiated and by whom. Suggested that holds be suggested by deans/provost, approved by the Provost with consultation with faculty senate, the registrar's office and the impacted academic programs.*
 - *Q3 – policy language regarding periodic review of holds. Reviewed every three years by the office of the provost, in consultation with faculty senate, the impacted academic programs, and the office of the registrar.*
 - *Q4 – removing holds can be tricky, Registrar should have veto power over creating a new hold.*
 - *Note, suggested that our policy for reviewing holds should apply to all holds somehow.*

- Charge 1: Departmental Honors
 - Review latest policy draft and vote. Anne Cubilié joined meeting at 4:00.
 - *Long discussion regarding how dept honors will have to go through curriculum approval process, and also, how that impacts catalog inputs.*
 - *When Anne arrived, Andy first went over the new document – and the changes from the earlier document. Continued discussion regarding how such a program would actually be implemented.*
 - *Need to ask curriculum committee for what would actually be required.*
 - *Generally agreed that GPA minimums and a thesis were very doable. But that “honors courses” are messy.*
 - *Made a few tweaks to the document, including returning to minimum GPA requirements and an option for faculty and chairs to overrule them. Also clarified a few other things. But they were clarifications, but not significant changes.*

7. Adjournment at 5:05.

Next Meeting: April 13, 2023