General Education Committee February 7, 2022 Minutes

Present: A.I. Ross, Teri Walker, Michael Braunstein, Tim Hargrave, Maura Valentino, Elaine Glenn, Peter Gray, John Neurohr, Mike Gimlin, and Michael Goerger

Absent: John Choi, and student representative

Guest(s): None

Meeting was called to order at 3:11 p.m.

Michael B moved to approve the January 31, 2022 minutes as amended. Motion was seconded and approved.

General Education Assessment Plan Draft Example of Questions with Analysis – Teri went over the document with the committee. Would like to know if the students on waitlist were served? Mike G. ran an enrollment query for QR in term 1219, there were 18 courses that exceeded the max capacity enrollment, 12 of those were College in the High School courses. Michael Goerger indicated some of the things, like enrollment caps, should be something that the new Undergraduate Studies Dean could look at and enforce. The committee considered, discussed and proposed revisions to the Draft Assessment Plan.

Part I: Student Level									
Assessment Question	Source	Evidence to be Collected	How Evidence Demonstrates Outcome	Methods of Assessment	Methods of Collection	Methods of Analysis	Resources Needed & timeline		
P1.1. Did individual students demonstrate attainment of each General Education learner outcome?	Class Instructor	Student performance Rubric (completed in Canvas)	Performance Rating	Holistic Rubric	Instructor Response in Canvas	Statistical Analysis	Access to rubrics in Canvas. End of each quarter		

P1.1 was approved by the committee.

P2.2. How	General	Student	Performance	Frequency	Instructor	Statistical	Instructor
many	Education	performance	Rating per GE	Table	Response in	Analysis	Time
students	Committee	rubrics	component	and/or	Canvas		
met or		(completed in	area element	Histogram			End of each
exceeded		Canvas)	and per				quarter
the criteria			learner				
for each			outcome				
component							
area learner							
outcome?							

P2.2 was approved by the committee.

P1.3. How do	Students	Student	Student	Survey	Student	Statistical &	Survey design
GE students		Survey	Response		Submission	Sentiment	&
perceive their		Results				Analysis	dissemination
GE							
experience?							Annually

P1.3 was approved by the committee.

P1.4. What	Students	Transcript	Student	Focus	Student	Sentiment	Focus group
do students		from focus	Response	Group	attendance &	Analysis	facilitation
think about		group			participation		and data
the GE		session(s)					preparation
program?							

P1.4 What are students' opinions about the GE program? This was approved by the committee. This language may need to be revised as the committee moves through the assessment process.

P1.5. How	Students	Student	Student	Evaluation	SEOI	Statistical &	Extraction of
do GE		Evaluation of	Response		Comments (GE	Sentiment	SEOI GE data
students		Instruction	(comments		courses	Analysis	compiled as
perceive		(SEOIs)	and overall		without class		whole batch
GE			class scores)		identification)		or by GE
classes?							component
							area

P1.5 Remove the SEOI language from this. Michael B suggested utilizing the Culminating Experience course to get data. The committee will revisit to look at Culminating Experience information.

P2a.1. How	Class	Instructor's	Extent of	Frequency	General	Content	Instructor
were each	Instructor	anecdotal	curriculum	Table by	Education	Analysis	Time
of the		narrative	alignment	learner	Instructor		GEC Review
General		(GE		outcome	Feedback		Time
Education		Assessment			Form: GE		
learner		Summary)			Assessment		
outcomes					Summary		
addressed							
through							
each class							
section?							

$P2a.1\ How\ were\ each\ of\ the\ General\ Education\ learner\ outcomes\ aligned\ with\ the\ course?$

The committee will start with P2a.2 at the next meeting.

Meeting was adjourned at 5:09 p.m.