

**Budget and Planning Committee
Minutes
May 1, 2019**

Present: Cody Stoddard, Amy Claridge, Aimée Quinn, Kathy Whitcomb, Paul Knepper, Stephen Stein, Roxanne Easley, Ian Loverro, Ken Smith, Chad Wassell, Lad Holden, Kathy Temple, Eric Cheney

Absent: Jim Johnson

Guest(s): None

Meeting was called to order at 10:02 a.m.

Agenda was approved.

Cody moved to approve the April 17, 2019 minutes. Aimée seconded and minutes were approved.

Budget Town Hall is May 14 from 3:00-4:00 p.m. Core Value statement is on the Faculty Senate agenda for reaffirmation today.

PBAC report - Amy reported that the model effectiveness subgroup put forward a recommendation to form a new subcommittee of PBAC. This subcommittee would review any proposed changes to the model and go through a consultation process. The group wants to have some sort of guidance based on a defined set of values. Amy indicated they were thinking about possibly using the BPC core values. This group would look at all proposals, evaluate and present them to PBAC. Any proposed changes should have an impact analysis from budget office prior to bringing to committee. There is a potential of posting any changes on a website for consultation. The suggested composition for the subgroup would be a representative from Faculty Senate, ADCO, a non-voting representative from budget, and a representative from the academic colleges. If the scope gets broader to include the non-academic areas, the subcommittee would need to be expanded. It was suggested that this could include all four deans, maybe two representatives from both Senate and ADCO, and a budget office representative. Cody indicated there needs to be a check along the way that not all proposals move forward even with a negative approval. Ken suggested maybe having a subject matter expert from the outside such as from NACUBO. Cody reported there is another subgroup. They were looking at adjustments to the incremental model. That committee has reported instead of the regular incremental model, they have developed a formula, weighting scheme that is attached to other matrixes across campus. The Dean of Student Success division would be attached to the number of enrolled students. Ken indicated this is more of an ABB budgeting model, and suggested this is a good movement and should support it. Amy indicated they workgroup also talked about a 3-5 year deep dive review of budgets similar to zero based budgeting and departments might be adjusted up or down based on this review. PBAC went over the course fee requests. Most were approved. Athletics and Tutoring have proposed increases, but those have to go through the students to be approved. Paul asked what the funding model will look like for the new advising model. Amy indicated they are not at that point yet and the Council has been in discussion with the Provost about this.

BASC - Eric indicated the survey went out to all faculty and staff.

Faculty code/policy changes – Kathy T indicated they added a new section 2 on college policies. This will be the second reading at Senate. This additional language won't be sent forward until the end of May.

Subgroups - The committee broke into subgroups at 11:28 a.m.

Meeting was adjourned at 12:03 p.m.