

**Budget & Planning Committee  
Minutes  
October 17, 2018**

Present: Cody Stoddard, Amy Claridge, Aimée Quinn, Kathy Whitcomb, Paul Knepper, Stephen Stein, Ken Smith, Chad Wassell, Lad Holden Kathy Temple, Eric Cheney and Jim Johnson

Absent: Ian Loverro

Guest(s): None

Meeting was called to order at 10:10 a.m. by Kathy and Ken.

Committee discussed alternative subvention models.

Cody moved to approve the agenda. Aimée seconded and agenda was approved.

October 3 2018 minutes Stephen moved to approve the October 3, 2018 minutes as amended. Aimée seconded and the amended minutes were approved. 1 abstention.

PBAC report – Amy and Cody reported that they brought a memo to PBAC regarding the GE program finances. There were three potential options for funding. Currently any unallocated revenues go to the Provost and she decides where it goes. The three options were to use the unallocated funds, a temporary small but broad support fee within 149 fund (.25% anticipated) and temporarily setting aside the funding for initiatives and equipment funding. Amy also commented that it could be a combination of three. PBAC disused the options. PBAC was not in favor of the fee across divisions. They felt the best option was the unallocated revenues and if there is insufficient unallocated funds, PBAC would revisit the options.

The committee talked about the budgeting around GE and the concern about not having a better commitment of the funding. The committee will stand by their statement last spring that they will not support implementation without a better budget and dedicated funding.

The FS Budget and Planning Committee cannot support approving implementation of the new GE program in fall 2019 without clear budget numbers and a funding proposal. In particular, we need to see the following before supporting implementation:

A spreadsheet of estimated costs;

A proposal for funding these costs, including details, with firm contingency plans in the case of shortfalls

The new general education program will be a benefit to the entire university, increasing student engagement and student success. As such, we strongly support a university-

wide solution to funding the start-up costs of the new program, including a potential small “tax” across units at the university.

BASC report - Lad asked who this subcommittee reports to. BASC reports to PBAC and they have been given charges.

The committee broke into subgroups for 30 minutes.

Budget summits – The subgroup is working on several questions: Do we have a president’s budget message to set the budget as a whole? Should the GE proposal be going through allocations?

Faculty code changes – The subgroup reported they are working on a structure protection for college budget committee. Should language be in Code vs policy? Maybe have an overarching statement in Code and policy that has things like composition. The subgroup will be sending emails to college budget committee and try and get at least a subset of those faculty into a meeting to get some direction from them.

Implementation work group – The subgroup reported that changing enrollment and the retention plan is the most current. If the GE implementation is postponed how does that effect retention? There is a draft of the retention plan that hasn’t been shared out of the committee yet. Questions about budget implications and do we need data to look at.

Kathy & Ken will potentially do some outreach to the two BOT members who are on the budget committee.

Amy indicated a report from BPC to Senate and BOT could be at least quarterly.

Meeting was adjourned at 11:51 a.m.