

September 13th, 2021

Washington State Office of Financail Management 302 Sid Snyder Ave SW / Mailstop 43113 Olympia, WA 98501-1342

RE: CWU 2022 Capital Budget Outline

This letter transmits the Central Washington University (CWU) Capital Budget Request for the 2022 Supplemental biennium. This request was developed in support of the university's strategic plan, that prioritizes the immediate needs that supports the growth, engagement, and education of the student body of CWU.

#### **Electrical Grid Security**

Central Washington University seeks funding to secure unstable electrical cabling that supports service to 13 highly trafficked academic and residential facilities with a new feeder line. The poor state of the cable and lack of redundancy makes this northern area of the Ellensburg campus vulnerable to a catastrophic electrical outage. This project eliminates the risk of a major outage causing extensive damage and costly repairs.

#### Identify the problem or opportunity addressed. Why is the request a priority?

After a major power outage in May 2021, CWU determined that two feeder lines are vulnerable to a catastrophic electrical outage. There are no redundant lines, so failure would result in loss of power to all the facilities relying on them. If facilities lost power in late fall, winter, or early spring, loss of heating and air distribution in the buildings could produce cascading damages as water lines freeze, burst and cause flooding to the buildings. The power outages also disrupt teaching and learning and result in property loss. During the May power outage, thousands of dollars of food had to be discarded as the refrigerators and freezers in the Dugmore dining hall lost power.

A second issue is that the density of cabling and presence of feeder splices in the existing electrical vaults complicate the process of diagnosing problems and repairing them. Repair is treacherous, however, due to the cable density and splices.

**This request is a priority** because, according to MW Engineers, the cabling that feeds all the buildings on the north end of campus are at or near the end of useful service life. The outage that occurred last May provided insight into how devastating another one could be, especially if it were to occur in the late fall or winter.

This project ensures that CWU will not have to spend thousands or possibly multiple millions of state dollars for repairs caused by a catastrophic outage. In February 2021, Barge Hall suffered a burst water line that was caused by freezing temperatures. The water damage to the building caused over \$1 million in repairs. The feeder lines that are vulnerable to outages are responsible for the electricity in 13 facilities. If an extended outage in the late fall or winter were to cause water lines to fail in multiple buildings, damages would be costly.



## What will the request produce or construct (i.e., building predesign or design, construction of additional space, etc.)? When will the project start and be completed?

Per the recommendation of MW Engineers, CWU proposes to reroute and expand another feeder line around the areas currently served by the two problematic feeder lines. This would provide redundancy (backup) power so that if an outage were to occur, all the buildings could be energized within minutes. This upgrade provides safety and protection for the buildings and removes the urgency in repairing the outage, which could be complicated and lengthy. This proposed project is a single, stand-alone project that should not be phased. If the entire scope of work is not completed, then the new feeder line is useless. Assuming spending authority effective on July 1, 2022, CWU could award a contract in the fall and complete the work by spring 2023.

## How would the request address the problem or opportunity identified in question #1? What would be the result of not taking action?

This request would add redundancy to the existing feeder lines, thereby connecting 13 facilities to a secondary feeder. If an outage occurs on the main feeder line, the buildings could be switched to the new one and have power restored within minutes.

The result of not taking action is that the buildings on the two old feeder lines are vulnerable to a catastrophic outage that could cause multiple millions of dollars' worth of damage to buildings, materials and personal and public property, as well as disrupting teaching, learning, and the housing for 610 students.

# What alternatives were explored? Why was the recommended alternative chosen? Be prepared to provide detailed cost backup. If this project has an associated predesign, please summarize the alternatives the predesign considered

The only alternative to this proposal would be to replace the two old feeders with new cabling. However, that would cost more money, would not provide redundancy, and would require extended power outages mitigated only by temporary power sources. The proposed project submitted would have no interruption in power supply, provide reliable redundancy and cost the state less money. The old feeder lines eventually will have to be replaced, but it is better to add the redundancy now and replace the existing feeders in the future.

## Which clientele would be impacted by the budget request? Where and how many units would be added, people or communities served, etc.

The facilities powered by the two feeder lines serve 11 academic programs, student housing and athletics programs. On a busy day during the academic year, upwards of 3,000 students and university employees could be affected by a major power outage. Over the course of a day, the majority of all CWU students might be scheduled for a class in one or more of the affected buildings. Additionally, the residential facilities served by the feeders have capacity to house 610 students. With impacts of this magnitude, an extended outage will likely impact every single member of the CWU community in some way. For students nearing graduation and whose majors are based in the affected buildings, an extended outage could have detrimental impacts on their progress toward graduation.



Will non-state funds be used to complete the project? How much, what fund source, and could the request result in matching federal, state, local, or private funds? No

Describe how this project supports the agency's strategic master plan or would improve agency performance. Reference feasibility studies, master plans, space programming, and other analyses as appropriate.

This project supports the CWU Capital Master Plan by reducing deferred maintenance and proactively solving a problem before a critical failure occurs; doing so protects the integrity of operations and avoids repair costs. This project supports every aspect of CWU's Strategic Plan because electricity is vital for every single university function. Without power, there are no lights, computers, or heat; everything stops. These two feeder lines serve a large section of campus and an interruption would affect thousands of people and prevent them from teaching, learning, researching, and working.

Does this project include IT-related costs, including hardware, software, cloud-based services, contracts or IT staff? If yes, <u>IT Addendum</u> No

Does this project contribute to statewide goals to reduce carbon pollution and/or improve energy use? If yes, please elaborate.

Yes, because this project is a necessary upgrade to preserve and enhance electrical distribution oncampus. If the CWU campus is to someday have zero reliance on fossil fuels, the reliability and strength of electrical distribution is paramount.

# How is your proposal impacting equity in the state? Which communities are impacted by this proposal? Include both demographic and geographic communities. How are disparities in communities impacted?

CWU is the most diverse public four-year university in Washington. For fall 2020, 40 percent of enrollees were students of color. Along with increasing the number of students of color, CWU has expanded strategies for keeping students enrolled and on-track to graduate. CWU's efforts to support student success has earned six Higher Education Excellence in Diversity Awards from INSIGHT Into Diversity magazine over the last seven years. CWU is the only institution in the state that can boast this record of achievement. This project ensures that these students will not have their academic pursuits disrupted by not having electricity in the classrooms, labs, and student housing.

Regards,

Delano Palmer

Director of Capital Planning and Projects Central Washington University



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Transmittal Letter	1
Table of Contents	2

Page

## 2022 Supplemental Capital Request

Electrical Grid Security CBS 002	6
Electrical Grid Security CBS 003	12
C100 Estimate	18
Expected Use of Bond/COP	31
MW Engineers North Campus Engineering Report	34

Intentionally Left Blank



## 375 - Central Washington University Capital Project Request

2021-23 Biennium

Version: 2A 2021-2023 First Year Supplemental

Report Number: CBS002 Date Run: 9/13/2021 3:35PM

Project Number: 40000121 Project Title: Electrical Grid Security

#### Description

Project Phase Title:	2021-2023 First Year Supplemental Working Version
Starting Fiscal Year:	2023
Project Class:	Preservation
Agency Priority:	0

#### **Project Summary**

CWU seeks funding to secure unstable electrical cabling that supports service to 13 highly trafficked academic and residential facilities with a new feeder line. The poor state of the cable and lack of redundancy makes this northern area of the Ellensburg campus vulnerable to a catastrophic electrical outage. This project eliminates the risk of a major outage causing extensive damage and costly repairs.

#### **Project Description**

Identify the problem or opportunity addressed. Why is the request a priority? After a major power outage in May 2021, CWU determined that two feeder lines are vulnerable to a catastrophic electrical outage. There are no redundant lines, so failure would result in loss of power to all the facilities relying on them. If facilities lost power in late fall, winter, or early spring, loss of heating and air distribution in the buildings could produce cascading damages as water lines freeze, burst and cause flooding to the buildings. The power outages also disrupt teaching and learning and result in property loss. During the May power outage, thousands of dollars of food had to be discarded as the refrigerators and freezers in the Dugmore dining hall lost power. A second issue is that the density of cabling and presence of feeder splices in the existing electrical vaults complicate the process of diagnosing problems and repairing them. Repair is treacherous, however, due to the cable density and splices. This request is a priority because, according to MW Engineers, the cabling that feeds all the buildings on the north end of campus are at or near the end of useful service life. The outage that occurred last May provided insight into how devastating another one could be, especially if it were to occur in the late fall or winter. This project ensures that CWU will not have to spend thousands or possibly multiple millions of state dollars for repairs caused by a catastrophic outage. In February 2021, Barge Hall suffered a burst water line that was caused by freezing temperatures. The water damage to the building caused over \$1 million in repairs. The feeder lines that are vulnerable to outages are responsible for the electricity in 13 facilities. If an extended outage in the late fall or winter were to cause water lines to fail in multiple buildings, damages would be costly. What will the request produce or construct (i.e., building predesign or design, construction of additional space, etc.)? When will the project start and be completed? Per the recommendation of MW Engineers, CWU proposes to reroute and expand another feeder line around the areas currently served by the two problematic feeder lines. This would provide redundancy (backup) power so that if an outage were to occur, all the buildings could be energized within minutes. This upgrade provides safety and protection for the buildings and removes the urgency in repairing the outage, which could be complicated and lengthy. This proposed project is a single, stand -alone project that should not be phased. If the entire scope of work is not completed, then the new feeder line is useless. Assuming spending authority effective on July 1, 2022, CWU could award a contract in the fall and complete the work by spring 2023. How would the request address the problem or opportunity identified in question #1? What would be the result of not taking action? This request would add redundancy to the existing feeder lines, thereby connecting 13 facilities to a secondary feeder. If an outage occurs on the main feeder line, the buildings could be switched to the new one and have power restored within minutes. The result of not taking action is that the buildings on the two old feeder lines are vulnerable to a catastrophic outage that could cause multiple millions of dollars' worth of damage to buildings, materials and personal and public property, as well as disrupting teaching, learning, and the housing for 610 students. What alternatives were explored? Why was the recommended alternative chosen? Be prepared to provide detailed cost backup. If this project has an associated predesign, please summarize the alternatives the predesign considered. The only alternative to this proposal would be to replace the two old feeders with new cabling. However, that would cost more money, would not provide redundancy, and would require extended power outages mitigated only by temporary power sources. The proposed project submitted would have no interruption in power supply, provide reliable redundancy and cost the state less money. The old feeder lines eventually will have to be replaced, but it is better to add the redundancy now and replace the existing feeders in the future. Which clientele would be impacted by the budget request? Where and how many units would be added, people or communities served, etc. The facilities powered by the two feeder lines serve 11 academic programs, student housing and athletics programs. On a busy day during the academic year,

## 375 - Central Washington University Capital Project Request

2021-23 Biennium

Version: 2A 2021-2023 First Year Supplemental

Report Number: CBS002 Date Run: 9/13/2021 3:35PM

Project Number: 40000121

Project Title: Electrical Grid Security

#### Description

upwards of 3,000 students and university employees could be affected by a major power outage. Over the course of a day, the majority of all CWU students might be scheduled for a class in one or more of the affected buildings. Additionally, the residential facilities served by the feeders have capacity to house 610 students. With impacts of this magnitude, an extended outage will likely impact every single member of the CWU community in some way. For students nearing graduation and whose majors are based in the affected buildings, an extended outage could have detrimental impacts on their progress toward graduation. Will non-state funds be used to complete the project? How much, what fund source, and could the request result in matching federal, state, local, or private funds? No Describe how this project supports the agency's strategic master plan or would improve agency performance. Reference feasibility studies, master plans, space programming, and other analyses as appropriate. This project supports the CWU Capital Master Plan by reducing deferred maintenance and proactively solving a problem before a critical failure occurs; doing so protects the integrity of operations and avoids repair costs. This project supports every aspect of CWU's Strategic Plan because electricity is vital for every single university function. Without power, there are no lights, computers, or heat; everything stops. These two feeder lines serve a large section of campus and an interruption would affect thousands of people and prevent them from teaching, learning, researching, and working. Does this project include IT -related costs, including hardware, software, cloud -based services, contracts or IT staff? If yes, IT Addendum. No Does this project contribute to statewide goals to reduce carbon pollution and/or improve energy use? If yes, please elaborate. Yes, because this project is a necessary upgrade to preserve and enhance electrical distribution on-campus. If the CWU campus is to someday have zero reliance on fossil fuels, the reliability and strength of electrical distribution is paramount. How is your proposal impacting equity in the state? Which communities are impacted by this proposal? Include both demographic and geographic communities. How are disparities in communities impacted? CWU is the most diverse public four -year university in Washington. For fall 2020, 40 percent of enrollees were students of color. Along with increasing the number of students of color, CWU has expanded strategies for keeping students enrolled and on-track to graduate. CWU's efforts to support student success has earned six Higher Education Excellence in Diversity Awards from INSIGHT Into Diversity magazine over the last seven years. CWU is the only institution in the state that can boast this record of achievement. This project ensures that these students will not have their academic pursuits disrupted by not having electricity in the classrooms, labs, and student housing.

#### Location

City: Ellensburg

County: Kittitas

Legislative District: 013

#### **Project Type**

Infrastructure (Major Projects)

#### **Growth Management impacts**

CWU is required to comply with the Jeanne Clery act. This federal law requires timely notification of emergencies occurring on or around campus. Central Washington University (CWU) is required to adhere to the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA). The SEPA process is where growth management act impacts are considered. CWU coordinates planning efforts with all applicable city and county jurisdictions.

#### Funding

			2021-23 Fiscal Perio			
Acct Code	Account Title	Estimated Total	Prior Biennium	Current Biennium	Reapprops	New Approps
057 057-1	State Bldg Constr-Unknown State Bldg Constr-State	1,589.000				1,589,000
	Total	1,589,000	0	0	0	1,589,000

**Future Fiscal Periods** 

## 375 - Central Washington University Capital Project Request

2021-23 Biennium

Version: 2A 2021-2023 First Year Supplemental

**Report Number:** CBS002 **Date Run:** 9/13/2021 3:35PM

#### Project Number: 40000121

Project Title: Electrical Grid Security

#### Funding

		2023-25	2025-27	2027-29	2029-31
057	State Bldg Constr-Unknown				
057-1	State Bldg Constr-State				
	Total	0	0	0	0

#### Schedule and Statistics

	Start Date	End Date
Predesign		
Design	7/1/2022	8/1/2022
Construction	8/1/2022	4/1/2023
	Total	
Gross Square Feet:	1	
Usable Square Feet:	1	
Efficiency:	100.0%	
Escalated MACC Cost per Sq. Ft.:	1,082,170	
Construction Type:	Heating and Powe	er Plants
Is this a remodel?	Yes	
A/E Fee Class:	A	
A/E Fee Percentage:	14.63%	

#### **Cost Summary**

Acquisition Costs Total		<u>Escalated Cost</u> 0	<u>% of Project</u> 0.0%
Consultant Services			
Construction Desuments		0	0.0%
Construction Documents		120,003	7.6%
Extra Services		0	0.0%
Other Services		54,570	3.4%
Design Services Contingency		17,603	1.1%
Consultant Services Total		192,175	12.1%
Maximum Allowable Construction Cost(MACC)	1,082,170		
Site work		1,082,170	68.1%
Related Project Costs		0	0.0%
Facility Construction		0	0.0%
GCCM Risk Contingency		0	0.0%
GCCM or Design Build Costs		0	0.0%
Construction Contingencies		109,383	6.9%
Non Taxable Items		0	0.0%

## 375 - Central Washington University Capital Project Request

2021-23 Biennium

Version: 2A 2021-2023 First Year Supplemental

**Report Number:** CBS002 **Date Run:** 9/13/2021 3:35PM

Project Number:40000121Project Title:Electrical Grid Security

#### **Cost Summary**

	Escalated Cost	<u>% of Project</u>
Sales Tax	98 899	6.2%
Construction Contracts Total	1,290,452	81.2%
Equipment		
Equipment	0	0.0%
Non Taxable Items	0	0.0%
Sales Tax	0	0.0%
Equipment Total	0	0.0%
Art Work Total	0	0.0%
Other Costs Total	0	0.0%
Project Management Total	106,579	6.7%
Grand Total Escalated Costs	1,589,206	
Rounded Grand Total Escalated Costs	1,589,000	

#### **Operating Impacts**

**No Operating Impact** 

## **Capital Project Request**

2021-23 Biennium \*

<u>Parameter</u>	Entered As	Interpreted As
Biennium	2021-23	2021-23
Agency	375	375
Version	2A-A	2A-A
Project Classification	*	All Project Classifications
Capital Project Number	40000121	40000121
Sort Order	Project Priority	Priority
Include Page Numbers	Y	Yes
For Word or Excel	Ν	Ν
User Group	Agency Budget	Agency Budget
User Id	*	All User Ids

Intentionally Left Blank



## 375 - Central Washington University Cost Estimate Summary

2021-23 Biennium \*

Cost Estimate Number: Cost Estimate Title:	197 Electrical G	rid Security		Report Number: Date Run: 9/13/	CBS003 2021 3:36PM
Version: Project Number: Project Title: Project Phase Title:	2A 2021-20 40000121 Electrical G 2021-2023	23 First Year Sup rid Security First Year Supple	plemental mental Working Version	Agency Preferred: Yes	
Contact Info	Contact Na	ame: Steve Dup	ont	Contact Number: 509.963.	2111
Statistics					
Gross Sq. Ft.: Usable Sq. Ft.: Space Efficiency: MACC Cost per Sq. Ft. Escalated MACC Cost Remodel?	.: per Sq. Ft.:	1 1 100% 1,050,650 1,082,170 Yes	un Diante		
Construction Type:		Heating and Pow	er Plants		
A/E Fee Class:		A 14.620/			
A/E Fee Percentage:		14.63%	E I D (		
Schedule		Start Date	End Date		
Predesign:					
Design:		07-2022	08-2022		
Construction:		08-2022	04-2023		
Duration of Construction	on (Months):	8			
Cost Summary Esca	alated				
Acquisition Costs Iotal	0				0
Pre-Schematic Design	Services			100.00	0
Construction Documen	IS			120,00	3
Extra Services				F 4 F	0
Other Services				54,5	70
Design Services Contir	ngency			17,60	)3
Consultant Services Tot	tal			1 000 1	192,175
Site work				1,082,1	0
Related Project Costs					0
Facility Construction				100.00	0
	ncies			109,38	33
				00.00	0
Sales lax	Tadal			98,8	99
Construction Contracts	Iotal		4 000 470		1,290,452
Equipment	onstruction	COSI(MACC)	1,082,170		0
Non Tavable Items					0
Sales Tax					0
Equipment Total					0
Art Work Total					0
Other Costs Total					0
Project Management To	tal				106 570
i rojoot managomont ro	(u)				100,579
Grand Total Escalated C	Costs				1,589,206
Rounded Grand Total E	scalated Co	sts			1,589,000
Additional Details					
Alternative Public Worl	ks Project:		No		

## 375 - Central Washington University Cost Estimate Summary

2021-23 Biennium \*

Cost Estimate Number: Cost Estimate Title:	197 Electrical Grid Security		Report Number: CBS003 Date Run: 9/13/2021 3:36PM	
Version: Project Number: Project Title: Project Phase Title:	2A 2021-2023 First Year Supplemental 40000121 Electrical Grid Security 2021-2023 First Year Supplemental Working Version		Agency Preferred: Yes	
Contact Info	Contact Name: Steve Dupont		Contact Number: 509.963.2111	
Additional Details				
State Construction Infla	ation Rate:	3.28%		
Base Month and Year:		09-2021		
Project Administration	By:	AGY		
Project Admin Impact t	o DES that is NOT Included in Project Total:	\$0		

Cost Estimate Number: 197

## 375 - Central Washington University Cost Estimate Detail

2021-23 Biennium \*

Analysis Date: September 08, 2021

Cost Estimate Title:	Electrical Grid	Security			
Detail Title: Project Number: Project Title: Project Phase Title: Location:	Reroute & Exp 40000121 Electrical Grid 2021-2023 Firs Kittitas Co	ansion of Feeder Security st Year Suppleme	r 17 ental Working Version		
Contact Info	Contact Name	e: Steve Dupon	t	Contact Number:	509.963.2111
Statistics					
Gross Sq. Ft.:	1				
Usable Sq. Ft.:	1				
Rentable Sq. Ft.:	0				
Space Efficiency:	100%	)			
Escalated MACC Cost per	Sq. Ft.: 1,082	2,170			
Escalated Cost per S. F. E	xplanation				
Construction Type:	Heatir	ng and Power Pla	ants		
Remodel?	Yes				
A/E Fee Class:	A				
A/E Fee Percentage:	14.63	%			
Contingency Rate:	10.00	%			
Contingency Explanation					
Projected Life of Asset (Ye	ears): 20				
Location Used for Tax Rate	e: Kittita	s Co			
Tax Rate:	8.30%	)			
Art Requirement Applies:	No				
Project Administration by:	AGY				
Higher Education Institutio	n?: No				
Alternative Public Works?	: No				
Project Schedule	5	Start Date	End Date		
Predesign:					
Design:		07-2022	08-2022		
Construction:		08-2022	04-2023		
Duration of Construction (	Nonths):	8			
State Construction Inflation	n Rate:	3.28%			
Base Month and Year:		9-2021			
Project Cost Summa	ıry				
MACC:		\$ 1,050,65	0		
MACC (Escalated):		\$ 1,082,17	0		
Current Project Total:		\$ 1,540,00	0		
Rounded Current Project T	otal:	\$ 1,540,00	0		
Escalated Project Total:		\$ 1,482,62	8		
Rounded Escalated Project	t Total:	\$ 1,483,00	0		
-					

ITEM	Base Amount	<u>Sub Tota</u> l	Escalation Factor	Escalated Cost
CONSULTANT SERVICES				
Construction Documents				
A/E Basic Design Services			_	116,666
Sub lotal: Construction Documents			-	120,003
Other Services Bid/Construction/Closeout				52,415
SubTotal: Other Services			-	54,570
Design Services Contingency Design Services Contingency	16,908		-	<u> </u>
SubTotal: Design Services Contingency		16,908	1.0411	17,603
Total: Consultant Services		185,989	1.0333	192,175
CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS				
Site work	20.000			
G20 - Site Electrical Litilities	1 020 650			
SubTotal: Site work	1,020,030	4 050 050	1 0200 -	4 000 470
Construction Contingencies		1,050,650	-	1,062,170
Allowance for Change Orders	105,065			
SubTotal: Construction Contingencies		105,065	1.0411	109,383
Sales Tax		95,924	1.0310	98,899
Total: Construction Contracts		1,251,639	1.0310	1,290,452
Maximum Allowable Construction Cost (MACC)		1,050,650	1.0300	1,082,170
PROJECT MANAGEMENT				
Agency Project Management	102,372			
Total: Project Management		102,372	1.0411	106,579

## **Cost Estimate Summary and Detail**

2021-23 Biennium

Cost Estimate Number:197Cost Estimate Title:Electrical Grid Security

<u>Parameter</u>	Entered As
Associated or Unassociated	Associated
Biennium	2021-23
Agency	375
Version	2A-A
Project Classification	*

Capital Project Number Cost Estimate Number Sort Order Include Page Numbers For Word or Excel User Group User Id Associated 2021-23 375 2A-A \* 40000121 197 Cost Estimate Title Y N Agency Budget Report Number: CBS003 Date Run: 9/13/2021 3:36PM

#### Interpreted As Associated 2021-23 375 2A-A All Project Classifications 40000121 197 Title Yes N Agency Budget All User Ids

Intentionally Left Blank



#### C-100(2021)

Updated June 2021

#### **Quick Start Guide**

#### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

1) The C-100(2021) tool was created to align with the estimating application in the Capital Budgeting System (CBS). The intended use is to enable project managers to communicate their project cost estimates to budget officers in the standard format required for capital project budget requests/submittals to OFM.

2) This workbook is protected so that the worksheets within it cannot be moved or deleted in the usual manner. This protection is necessary to ensure that the cost estimate details and formulas align with the estimating application in the Capital Budgeting System.

3) The estimating format to develop the maximum allowable construction cost (MACC) is presented in Uniformat II.

4) Form-calculated costs such as A/E Basic Design Service fees and Agency Project Management costs are dependent on other estimated project costs such as Acquisition, MACC, Equipment, etc.

5) Project estimates generated with this tool are not sufficient for budget request submittals to OFM. Use the Capital Budgeting System to submit capital project budget requests.

6) Contact your assigned OFM Capital Budget Analyst with questions.

**OFM Capital Budget Analyst** 

#### INSTRUCTIONS

1) Only green cells are available for data entry.

2) Fill in all known cells in the 'Summary' tab prior to moving on to the cost entry tabs A-G.

3) It is recommended, but not required, to fill out cost entry tabs in the following order:

A. Acquisition, C. Construction Contracts, D. Equipment, G. Other Costs, B. Consultant Services, F. Project Management, then E. Artwork.

4) If additional rows are inserted to capture additional project costs, a description must be provided in the Notes column or within Tab H. Additional Notes. Be particularly detailed for additional costs estimated for contingencies and project management.

#### FORM-CALCULATED COSTS (FEE CALCULATIONS)

1) A/E Basic Design Services: AE Fee % (x) (MACC + Contingency)

2) Design Services Contingency: Contingency % (x) Consultant Services Subtotal

3) Construction Contingency: Contingency % (x) MACC

4) Artwork: 0.5% (x) Total Project Cost

5) Agency Project Management (Greater than \$1million): (AE Fee % - 4%) (x) (Acquisition Total + Consultant Services Total + MACC + Construction Contingency + Other Costs)

## STATE OF WASHINGTON AGENCY / INSTITUTION PROJECT COST SUMMARY

Updated June 2021			
Agency	Central Washington University		
Project Name	Reroute & Expansion of Feeder 17		
OFM Project Number			

Contact Information		
Name	Steve Dupont	
Phone Number	509-963-2111	
Email	Steve.Dupont@cwu.edu	

Statistics			
Gross Square Feet	1	MACC per Square Foot	\$1,050,650
Usable Square Feet	0	Escalated MACC per Square Foot	\$1,082,170
Space Efficiency	0.0%	A/E Fee Class	A
Construction Type	Heating and power plant	A/E Fee Percentage	14.63%
Remodel	Yes	Projected Life of Asset (Years)	20
	Additiona	al Project Details	
Alternative Public Works Project	No	Art Requirement Applies	No
Inflation Rate	3.28%	Higher Ed Institution	Yes
Sales Tax Rate %	8.30%	Location Used for Tax Rate	Ellensburg
Contingency Rate	10%		
Base Month	September-21	OFM UFI# (from FPMT, if available)	
Project Administered By	Agency		

Schedule				
Predesign Start		Predesign End		
Design Start	July-22	Design End	August-22	
Construction Start	August-22	Construction End	April-23	
Construction Duration	8 Months			

Project Cost Estimate				
Total Project	\$1,540,001	Total Project Escalated	\$1,589,210	
		Rounded Escalated Total	\$1,589,000	

## STATE OF WASHINGTON **AGENCY / INSTITUTION PROJECT COST SUMMARY**

Agency Project Name

Updated June 2021 Central Washington University

Reroute & Expansion of Feeder 17

OFM Project Number

## **Cost Estimate Summary**

Acquisition			
Acquisition Subtotal	\$0	Acquisition Subtotal Escalated	\$0

Consultant Services			
Predesign Services	\$0		
A/E Basic Design Services	\$116,666		
Extra Services	\$0		
Other Services	\$52,415		
Design Services Contingency	\$16,908		
Consultant Services Subtotal	\$185,989	Consultant Services Subtotal Escalated	\$192,177

Construction			
Construction Contingencies	\$105,065	Construction Contingencies Escalated	\$109,384
Maximum Allowable Construction Cost (MACC)	\$1,050,650	Maximum Allowable Construction Cost (MACC) Escalated	\$1,082,170
Sales Tax	\$95,924	Sales Tax Escalated	\$98,899
Construction Subtotal	\$1,251,639	Construction Subtotal Escalated	\$1,290,453

Equipment			
Equipment	\$0		
Sales Tax	\$0		
Non-Taxable Items	\$0		
Equipment Subtotal	\$0	Equipment Subtotal Escalated	\$0

Artwork				
Artwork Subtotal	\$0	Artwork Subtotal Escalated	\$0	

	Agency Proj	ect Administration	
Agency Project Administration Subtotal	\$102,372		
DES Additional Services Subtotal	\$0		
Other Project Admin Costs	\$0		
Project Administration Subtotal	\$102,372	Project Administation Subtotal Escalated	\$106,580

Other Costs				
Other Costs Subtotal	\$0	Other Costs Subtotal Escalated	\$0	

	Project C	Cost Estimate	
Total Project	\$1,540,001	Total Project Escalated	\$1,589,210
		Rounded Escalated Total	\$1,589,000

Acquisition Costs					
Itom	Base Amount		Escalation	Escalated Cost	Notos
item	base Amount	base Amount	Factor	Listalated Cost	Notes
Purchase/Lease					
Appraisal and Closing					
Right of Way					
Demolition					
Pre-Site Development					
Other					
Insert Row Here					
ACQUISITION TOTAL	\$0		NA	\$0	

Consultant Services				
Item	Base Amount	Escalation	Escalated Cost	Notos
		Factor		וווונכס
1) Pre-Schematic Design Services				
Programming/Site Analysis				
Environmental Analysis				
Predesign Study				
Other				
Insert Row Here				
Sub TOTAL	\$0	1.0272	\$0	Escalated to Design Start
2) Construction Documents				
A/E Basic Design Services	\$116,666			69% of A/E Basic Services
Other				
Insert Row Here				
Sub TOTAL	\$116,666	1.0286	\$120,003	Escalated to Mid-Design
3) Extra Services				
Civil Design (Above Basic Svcs)				
Geotechnical Investigation				
Commissioning				
Site Survey				
Testing				
LEED Services				
Voice/Data Consultant				
Value Engineering				
Constructability Review				
Environmental Mitigation (EIS)				
Landscape Consultant				
Other				
Insert Row Here	40		4.0	
Sub TOTAL	Ş0	1.0286	\$0	Escalated to Mid-Design
4) Other Services	450.445			
Bid/Construction/Closeout	\$52,415			31% of A/E Basic Services
HVAC Balancing				
Staffing				
Uther				
	¢52.445	1.0411	¢54 570	Feedlated to Mid Count
SUBTOTAL	\$52,415	1.0411	\$54,570	Escalated to Mid-Const.
E) Design Services Contingency				
Decign Services Contingency	¢16 000			
Design Services Contingency	\$10,908			
Uncert Bow Here				
	¢10.000	1 0 4 1 1	647 604	Escalated to Mid Const
Sub IOTAL	\$10'AN8	1.0411	\$17,604	Escalated to Mid-Const.
	¢405.000		6402 477	
CONSULTANT SERVICES TOTAL	\$182,988		\$192,177	
Green cells must be filled in by user				
loreen cens must be mieu in by user				

Construction Contracts					
ltem	Base Amount	Escalation	Escalated Cost	Notes	
item	base Amount	Factor	Estalated Cost	Notes	
1) Site Work					
G10 - Site Preparation					
G20 - Site Improvements	\$30,000				
G30 - Site Mechanical Utilities					
G40 - Site Electrical Utilities	\$1,020,650				
G60 - Other Site Construction					
Other					
Insert Row Here					
Sub TOTAL	\$1,050,650	1.0300	\$1,082,170		
2) Related Project Costs					
Offsite Improvements					
City Utilities Relocation					
Parking Mitigation					
Stormwater Retention/Detention					
Other					
Insert Row Here					
Sub TOTAL	\$0	1.0300	\$0		
3) Facility Construction					
A10 - Foundations					
A20 - Basement Construction					
B10 - Superstructure					
B20 - Exterior Closure					
B30 - Roofing					
C10 - Interior Construction					
C20 - Stairs					
C30 - Interior Finishes					
D10 - Conveying					
D20 - Plumbing Systems					
D30 - HVAC Systems					
D40 - Fire Protection Systems					
D50 - Electrical Systems					
F10 - Special Construction					
F20 - Selective Demolition					
General Conditions					
Other					
Insert Row Here		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Sub TOTAL	\$0	1.0411	\$0		
4) Maximum Allowable Construction C	ost				
MACC Sub TOTAL	\$1,050,650		\$1,082,170		

	This Section is	Intentionally Left	Blank	
7) Construction Contingency				
Allowance for Change Orders	\$105,065			
Other				
Insert Row Here				
Sub TOTAL	\$105,065	1.0411	\$109,384	
•				
8) Non-Taxable Items				
Other				
Insert Row Here				
Sub TOTAL	\$0	1.0411	\$0	
Sales Tax				
Sub TOTAL	\$95,924		\$98 <i>,</i> 899	
CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS TOTAL	\$1,251,639		\$1,290,453	

Equipment					
ltem	Base Amount		Escalation Factor	Escalated Cost	Notes
E10 - Equipment					
E20 - Furnishings					
F10 - Special Construction					
Other					
Insert Row Here		_			
Sub TOTAL	\$0		1.0411	\$0	
-		-			
1) Non Taxable Items					
Other					
Insert Row Here		_			
Sub TOTAL	\$0		1.0411	\$0	
Sales Tax			_		
Sub TOTAL	\$0			\$0	
EQUIPMENT TOTAL	\$0			\$0	

		Art	twork		
Item	Base Amount		Escalation Factor	Escalated Cost	Notes
Project Artwork	\$0				0.5% of total project cost for new construction
Higher Ed Artwork	\$7,946				0.5% of total project cost for new and renewal construction
Other	-\$7,946				
Insert Row Here					
ARTWORK TOTAL	\$0		NA	\$0	

Project Management					
Item	Base Amount	Escalation Factor	Escalated Cost	Notes	
Agency Project Management	\$102,372			<u>.</u>	
Additional Services					
Other					
Insert Row Here					
PROJECT MANAGEMENT TOTAL	\$102,372	1.0411	\$106,580		

Other Costs					
ltom	Base Amount		Escalation	Escalated Cost	Notos
ltem	base Amount		Factor		Notes
Mitigation Costs					
Hazardous Material					
Remediation/Removal					
Historic and Archeological Mitigation					
Other					
Insert Row Here					
OTHER COSTS TOTAL	\$0		1.0300	\$0	

## C-100(2021) **Additional Notes**

Tab A. Acquisition
Insert Row Here
Tab B. Consultant Services
Insert Row Here
Tab C. Construction Contracts
Insert Row Here
Tab D. Equipment
Insert Row Here
Tab E. Artwork
Insert Row Here
Tab F. Project Management
Insert Row Here
Tab G. Other Costs
Insert Row Here

Intentionally Left Blank



## **Expected Use of Bond/COP Proceeds**

Agency No:	375	Agency Name	Central Washir	ngton University		
Contact Name: Phone: Fund(s) Number:		Steve Dupont				
		509-201-0528 057	Fax:			
			Fund Name:	State Building Construction Account		
Project Numl	oer:	40000121	Project Title:	Electrical Grid Security		
Agencies are re collect and forv	quired vard th	to submit this form for all pr e forms to the Office of the	rojects funded with B State Treasurer.	onds or COPs, as applicable. OFM will		

1.	Will any portion of the project or asset ever be owned by any entity other than the state or one of its agencies or departments?	🗌 Yes 🔀 No
2.	Will any portion of the project or asset ever be leased to any entity other than the state or one of its agencies or departments?	🗌 Yes 🕅 No
3.	Will any portion of the project or asset ever be managed or operated by any entity other than the state or one of its agencies or departments?	🗌 Yes 🕅 No
4.	Will any portion of the project or asset be used to perform sponsored research under an agreement with a nongovernmental entity (business, non-profit entity, or the federal government), including any federal department or agency?	🗌 Yes 🔀 No
5.	Does the project involve a public/private venture, or will any entity other than the state or one of its agencies or departments ever have a special priority or other right to use any portion of the project or asset to purchase or otherwise acquire any output of the project or asset such as electric power or water supply?	🗌 Yes 🔀 No
6.	Will any portion of the Bond/COP proceeds be granted or transferred to nongovernmental entities (businesses, non-profit entities, or the federal government) or granted or transferred to other governmental entities which will use the grant for nongovernmental purposes?	🗌 Yes 🔀 No
7.	If you have answered "Yes" to any of the questions above, will your agency or any other state agency receive <u>any payments</u> from any nongovernmental entity, for the use of, or in connection with, the project or assets? A nongovernmental entity is defined as	🗌 Yes 🔀 No
	<ul> <li>a. any person or private entity, such as a corporation, partnership, limited liability company, or association;</li> <li>b. any nonprofit corporation (including any 501(c)(3) organization); or</li> <li>c. the federal governmental (including any federal department or agency).</li> </ul>	
8.	Is any portion of the project or asset, or rights to any portion of the project or asset, expected to be sold to any entity other than the state or one of its agencies or departments?	🗌 Yes 🔀 No
9.	Will any portion of the Bond/COP proceeds be loaned to nongovernmental entities or loaned to other governmental entities that will use the loan for nongovernmental purposes?	🗌 Yes 🔀 No
10.	Will any portion of the Bond/COP proceeds be used for staff costs for tasks not directly related to a financed project(s)?	Tyes No

If all of the answers to the questions above are "No," request tax-exempt funding. If the answer to any of the

questions is "Yes," contact your OFM capital analyst for further review.

Intentionally Left Blank





# CENTRAL WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY NORTH CAMPUS MEDIUM VOLTAGE DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM FEASIBILITY STUDY

Prepared for:	CENTRAL WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY
	ELLENSBURG, WA

Prepared by: MW CONSULTING ENGINEERS SPOKANE, WASHINGTON

Date Prepared: Aug 26, 2021



Page 1 of 10

MW Consulting Engineers was hired by Central Washington University to study the existing north campus medium voltage distribution system to assess existing conditions as well as the options for modification and expansion for future campus growth. The purpose of this report is to outline MW's findings, proposed options, and associated costs so that a decision can be made as to how to proceed with future projects.

Please refer to the attached concept drawings for supplemental information.

## Part 1 - Existing Conditions

A. **Northwest Campus:** The existing northwest area of campus is generally served by existing 12.47kV underground campus feeders 34 and 36. Campus feeder 17 is also available as a backup to feeder 34 and substation 3. That connection occurs at existing line switch LSE1. The "north" campus buildings currently served by each feeder is as follows:

Feeder 34: Psychology, Randall, Brooks Library, Farrell, Dugmore, North Dining

Feeder 36: Purser, Nicholson, Aquatics, Wahle, Tomlinson, Track, L&L

Feeder 17: No loads

Near the intersection of E. Dean Nicholson Blvd & N. Walnut there are existing medium voltage vaults that contain feeders 17, 34 and 36. Some of these feeders transit the same vault multiple times as they route to/from each building and switching equipment. This same condition exists within an existing vault further south at switch LSB2. Because of the density of cabling passing through the existing vaults and the presence of feeder splices in the existing vaults, the safety of working within the vaults is compromised due to the likelihood of physically contacting live cables or terminations during access. This is a safety issue for anyone needing access to the vault for maintenance or trouble shooting. See report attachments for example photos of the existing vault conditions and cable density.

The labeling of cables in the existing vaults is incomplete there are no accurate record drawings of the cabling within the vaults. This results in longer than normal outages during a feeder cable failure. A cable failure can typically be identified within 6-8 hours for standard working conditions with complete labeling and accurate record drawings. Without labeling and record drawings, this time



Page 2 of 10

increases to an estimated 12-24 hours, resulting in longer outages for large portions of campus during a cable failure incident. The lack of labeling and record drawings also creates a safety issue when trying to identify which cable in the vault is not active and can then be safely worked on since some of the feeders pass through the same vault multiple times.

Existing vaults were observed as having standing water. This is common for the CWU campus due to the high level of ground water. Existing vaults are pumped free of water prior to access for maintenance.

The existing housing facilities (Dugmore & Wahle) currently have no level of redundancy in regards to their electrical service. A power outage on feeder 34 or 36 would result in an extended loss of power for these housing facilities. This would be a major issue during the winter season where buildings rely on electric heating and central air handling equipment.

Existing loading of feeder 36 is noted as being higher than feeder 34. It would improve the balancing of the loads on the campus electrical distribution system across campus if loads could be removed from feeder 36. Balancing of the campus electrical loads is recommended to help minimize the impact of a feeder outage to the campus. For example, feeder 36 currently connects to (11) service transformers that would all be impacted by a cable failure on feeder 36. Moving a portion of the feeder 36 loads to feeder 17 would reduce this risk. See report attachments for feeder coverage map and proposed load changes.

Existing buried ductbanks are present at Dugmore and to the north at the track and field complex. The existing duct banks are intended to be used for development of a campus feeder loop to provide electrical services to the northwest corner of the campus. This future loop feeder system could provide redundancy for residence buildings Dugmore & Whale.

Feeder 34 routing for service to L&L and Brooks Library occurs within the footprint for the planned North Academic Complex project that is expected to begin design in 2021-2022. Relocation of feeder 34 will be required in this area of campus to allow for the proposed construction.



Page 3 of 10

## B. North central Campus:

The existing service to Hogue hall contains a medium voltage line switch which feeds a downstream line switch from a switched compartment. This configuration is not desirable and does not meet CWU campus standards. Existing duct bank is present south of Hogue hall to possibly reconfigure this part of the distribution to eliminate this existing condition.

The open green space east of Music is an area of campus where future development may occur. Currently there is no electrical infrastructure present in this green space to support this anticipated growth.

#### C. Northeast Campus:

There is an existing line switch located at the entrance to brooklane village that is used to switch (2) campus feeders for electrical service to the residential housing buildings. The fused side of the line switch is tapped to also provide service to the existing campus pumphouse building transformer. This tap is not desirable and does not meet CWU campus standards. The pumphouse transformer has reached the end of its useful service life and is due for replacement including a new pad.

The open green space north and south of east 18<sup>th</sup> avenue and east of Alder St. is an area of campus where future development may occur. Currently there is no electrical infrastructure present on the south side of east 18<sup>th</sup> avenue to support this anticipated growth.

#### D. General:

The existing 12.47kV underground campus feeders across campus are at or near the end of their useful service life. There are select portions of cabling that have been partially upgraded for new building construction or repairs. Cabling that is at or near the end of useful service life includes, but is not limited to, all of the existing feeders that are north of the irrigation canal. This excludes feeders at brooklane village and student village housing complexes.

There are existing conditions where a 12.47kV line switch is feeding a downstream line switch from its fused supply compartment. Additionally, there are existing conditions where a 12.47kV line switch is feeding a downstream service transformer which feeds a secondary downstream service transformer in series. These conditions do not align with the CWU construction standards and



Page 4 of 10

increase the likelihood of multiple buildings being impacted by an outage due to maintenance or failures. For the north campus, these conditions exists as follows:

- Line switch LSE2 feeds Brooks Library line switch LS1B
- Dugmore Hall transformer feeds Dining Building transformer
- Nicholson Pavilion transformer feeds Tomlinson Stadium transformer

## Part 2 – Proposed Design Options

## A. <u>Reroute & Expansion of Feeder 17:</u> (See NW Campus Attachment)

Revise routing of feeder 17 to extend through the northwest portion of campus to serve Dugmore, North Dining, Track, Wahle, Aquatics, Tomlinson and Purser. This would require new cabling in existing ductbanks and the addition of new line switching equipment. Some additional ductbanks would be required between existing switch LSB6 and the nearest vault in N. Walnut street.

Note that a significant portion of load is being proposed for feeder 17 because the feeder 17 wiring size has a larger ampacity rating (#350 wire) compared to feeder 36 (#4/0 wire). This load shift will also create capacity for loads that will be part of the future North Academic Complex, which is likely to be served from feeder 36.

As part of this scope of work, the contractor would be responsible for proofing out all existing buried pathways in the vicinity of work and providing updated butterfly diagrams for existing & new vaults to document the existing pathway infrastructure and cable routing.

The complete scope of work noted above is recommended to be performed <u>immediately</u>.

Estimated Total Cost\*

\$1,020,650



Page 5 of 10

## B. <u>Reroute of Feeder 34:</u> (See Central Campus Attachment)

Revise routing of feeder 34 to not be within the planned footprint for the North Academic Complex project. This would require new cabling in existing ductbanks and the addition of new line switching equipment. Reroute of feeder 34 would include elimination of cable splices in existing vaults where deemed unnecessary.

As part of this scope of work, the contractor would be responsible for proofing out all existing buried pathways in the vicinity of work and providing updated butterfly diagrams for existing & new vaults to document the existing pathway infrastructure and cable routing.

The complete scope of work noted above is recommended to be performed prior to the connection of the future North Academic Complex.

Estimated Construction Cost\* \$421,150

## C. Alternate Scopes of Work:

1. <u>Revised service at Hogue Hall: (See NC @ Hogue Attachment)</u>

Revise service at Hogue Hall line switch LSC4A to be fed from existing line switch LSC3 or LSC4. This would require new cabling in existing ductbanks.

Estimated Construction Cost\* \$137,750

## 2. Expansion east of Music: (See NC @ Music Attachment)

Add new underground duct banks, cabling and switching equipment to expand feeder 18 to the open green space east of Music.

Estimated Construction Cost\*

\$383,000



Page 6 of 10

## 3. <u>Revised service at Pumphouse:</u> (See NE @ Brooklane Attachment)

Remove existing tap at line switch and add new above grade sectionalizing cabinet. Replace existing pumphouse transformer and provide new housekeeping pad. Transformer to be OFCI. Existing cabling to remain.

## Estimated Construction Cost\* \$28,500

## 4. Expansion south of 18th ave: (See NE @ 18th Attachment)

Add new underground duct banks, cabling and switching equipment to expand feeder 21 or 25 to the open green space south of east 18<sup>th</sup> avenue.

**Estimated Total Cost\*** 

\$982,500



Page 7 of 10

## Part 3 - Recommendations

- A. **Feeder 17** It is recommended that existing feeder 17 be expanded as presented in **Option A** for the following reasons:
  - 1. Expansion of feeder 17 will allow for future growth in the northwest area of campus.
  - 2. Feeder 17 would be designed as a loop system which would provide redundancy for residential buildings Dugmore and Whale.
  - 3. As part of the feeder 17 expansion, loads would be moved from existing feeder 36 & 34 to feeder 17. This would help balance the loads across the campus electrical distribution system.
- B. **Feeder 34** It is recommended that existing feeder 34 be modified as presented in **Option B** for the following reasons:
  - 1. Revised routing for feeder 34 will allow for planned North Academic Complex project.
  - 2. Remediation of excessive splices and cable density in existing vaults at line switch LSE1 & LSB2 to improve maintenance access and safety.
  - 3. Replacement of existing cable that has exceeded its life expectancy of 20 years.



Page 8 of 10

## **Proposed Project Schedule:**

Design Phase	3 Months
Bid Phase	1 Month
Construction Phase	6 Months

## \*Estimating Notes:

Indicated estimates include: 8.9% Sales Tax on Materials only.

Indicated estimates include: 9.0% Design Fees.

Indicated estimates exclude: Construction Contingency.

Indicated estimates assume work will be performed by a contractor, not CWU.

## END OF REPORT



Page 9 of 10

# **ATTACHMENTS**

- Conceptual Drawings
- Photos of Existing Vault Condition
- Campus Feeder Coverage Map Feeders 34 & 36



Page 10 of 10













18th Ave





ARRYAR IS LES C Mastana, Mitali

EE 14th Ave



RARAR

AREA OF WORK



© 2021 Google



NEW CABLING

800 ft

NEW CABLING & CONDUIT

## EXISTING VAULT 011A









#### CAMPUS FEEDER COVERAGE MAP FEEDERS 34 & 36

